

E1-18464



"Krauthoefer, Tracie A (DNR)"
<tracie.krauthoefer@alaska.gov>

01/19/2011 01:59 PM

To Najah Duvall-Gabriel <ngabriel@achp.gov>

cc Charlene Vaughn <cvaughn@achp.gov>, Valerie Hauser
<vhauser@achp.gov>, Dave Navecky
<david.navecky@stb.dot.gov>

bcc

Subject RE: Section 106 consultation

History:

✉ This message has been forwarded.

Hi Najah,

I don't think we ever discussed a preference for working strictly with STB in that light, we were asked to provide comments on a very draft agreement document and so were trying to provide those to ICF as expeditiously as possible. We've suggested on more than one occasion that the other parties be involved. I've excerpted a portion of an email sent in mid-December to the ICF consultant we have been working with:

"Also, remember that you'll need to involve the ACHP and other signatories at some point for input/review. We are happy to help get it to a certain point and beyond the rough/outline phase, but then the other parties should be consulted, particularly the ARRC and Knik TC (and you may already be doing this individually, I don't know). I was in a meeting with ARRC on Monday and they did inquire as to the status of the PA and consultation so you may want to check back in with the invited signatories and let them know where the project is and what the timeline is for review and ultimately signature."

Also, to date, our communication has been with STB's consultant at ICF, we have not worked directly with STB other than when they were up here for the consultation meeting.

Let me know if you have any other questions or would like to talk further.

Thank you

Tracie

Tracie Krauthoefer

Archaeologist, Review and Compliance

Alaska State Historic Preservation Office / Office of History and Archaeology

550 W 7th Ave, Ste 1310, Anchorage Alaska 99501-3565

907-269-8722 Phone 907-269-8908 Fax

tracie.krauthoefer@alaska.gov

From: Najah Duvall-Gabriel [mailto:ngabriel@achp.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2011 8:41 AM

To: Krauthoefer, Tracie A (DNR)

Cc: Charlene Vaughn; Valerie Hauser; Dave Navecky

Subject: Section 106 consultation

Hi Tracie,

I would like to ask you a question about the Section 106 consultation for the Alaska Railroad extension. I understand that SHPO has expressed the preference to work with STB on developing the PA prior to STB submitting it to consulting parties for review. Is this correct?

Thanks,
Najah

Najah Duvall-Gabriel
Historic Preservation Specialist
Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
(o) 202.606.8585 (f) 202.606.5072
ngabriel@achp.gov