



RE: Cates Landing / Lake County
Joseph Garrison to: Joshua.Wayland@stb.dot.gov

02/16/2016 09:48 AM

TENNESSEE STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE
 REVIEW AND COMPLIANCE SECTION
 INITIAL REVIEW REQUEST CHECKLIST

2015

Federal Agency Officials and applicants for Federal assistance must determine whether their projects, programs, or activities are undertakings subject to Section 106 review by using the definitions found at 36 CFR 800.16(y) and 36 CFR 800.3(a). If they determine this to be the case, they must submit the following documentation to the Tennessee State Historic Preservation Office to initiate consultation pursuant to the requirements of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and the 36 CFR 800.3 through .7 regulation.

Beginning October 1, 2014, all Agency Officials and applicants for Federal assistance must submit a copy of this Checklist with their review request package. The Tennessee State Historic Preservation Office Review and Compliance staff will return any review request that fails to contain all the required documentation. Agencies and applicants who submit cultural resources survey reports will continue to do so using the Reporting Standard found on the Tennessee SHPO Section 106 web page.

CHECKLIST

- A SIGNED LETTER ON CONTACT INFORMATION LETTERHEAD requesting Section 106 review of the undertaking _____
- The FULL NAME OF THE FEDERAL AGENCY funding, licensing, or permitting the undertaking, the name and/or position title of the "Agency Official" as defined at 36 CFR Part 800.2(a), and the name, address, and telephone number of the agency staff person who may be contacted regarding the undertaking _____
- If applicable, the IDENTITY OF THE APPLICANT for Federal funding, licensing, or permitting and the name, address, and telephone number of the staff person employed by the applicant organization that may be contacted regarding the undertaking. Please include documentation generated by the Federal agency that authorizes the applicant to act as the Federal agency's representative in the initial Section 106 review process as delineated at 36 CFR Part 800.2(c)(5) _____
- The location (ADDRESS, CITY, AND COUNTY) of the undertaking; and a description of the undertaking's Area of Potential Effects as defined at 36 CFR Part 800.16(d) _____
- The IDENTITIES OF ALL OTHER CONSULTING PARTIES invited to participate in consultation relative to the undertaking and the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of authorized representatives of these additional Consulting Parties who may be contacted regarding the undertaking. Consulting Parties are enumerated at 36 CFR Part 800.2(c). Please include copies of all invitation letters to Consulting Parties and copies of any responses _____

- A description of the agency's or applicant's formal PROCEDURE FOR INVOLVING THE PUBLIC in the Section 106 review process as delineated at 36 CFR Part 800.2(d) _____

- If applicable, a description of the formal PROCESS BY WHICH SECTION 106 REVIEW IS TO BE MELDED INTO OTHER APPROPRIATE FEDERAL AND STATE ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEWS as delineated at 36 CFR Part 800.2(a)(4), 800.3(b), and 800.8 _____

- A USGS 7 1/2 MINUTE, 1-24000 SCALE TOPOGRAPHIC MAP to scale (be sure to include the name) clearly indicating the boundary of the undertaking, the location of all undertaking elements, and the undertaking's Area of Potential Effects _____

- OTHER SUITABLY SCALED MAPS OR SITE PLANS as necessary to depict the extent of the undertaking and its locational relationship to its surroundings and environment _____

- A PROJECT NARRATIVE that clearly describes the undertaking in sufficient detail to enable a reader unfamiliar with the undertaking or its location to gain a full understanding of the undertaking and all of its elements and their potential to affect directly and indirectly any historic properties within the Area of Potential Effects _____

- Original chemical or digital GROUND-LEVEL (NOT AERIAL) PHOTOGRAPHS of the undertaking's Area of Potential Effects that are numbered and clearly keyed to one of the above maps or site plans _____

- Any available information including DATES OF CONSTRUCTION of buildings either inside the undertaking footprint or within view or sound of the undertaking / be sure to include photographs of buildings within the undertaking's Area of Potential Effects DO NOT LIST THE DATE AT WHICH NEW CONSTRUCTION IS PLANNED _____

- A discussion of the RESULTS OF AGENCY OR APPLICANT PRE-CONSULTATION REVIEW of architectural, historical, or archaeological studies that have been previously produced _____

- A LIST OF HISTORIC PROPERTIES located within the Area of Potential Effects that are either National Register of Historic Places listed or have been determined eligible for such listing. This list should include any above ground properties that appear marked on SHPO survey file site maps _____

- LOCATIONS OF SUCH PROPERTIES shown on one of the maps or site plans that are submitted _____

- If pre-consultation review has not discovered eligible or listed properties, a statement to that effect should be included. Properties identified and evaluated as not eligible for listing in the National Register should be listed and mapped _____

- A discussion of any PRE-CONSULTATION FIELDWORK, e.g., reconnaissance survey, which may have been undertaken _____

- Written results of any INTERNAL FEDERAL AGENCY CULTURAL RESOURCES STAFF REVIEW (for undertakings planned by land- or structure-controlling agencies with qualified cultural resources staffs) _____

- Written RESULTS OF THE OUTCOME OF ANY PRE-CONSULTATION with all

Consulting Parties including the SHPO _____

- Additional documentation produced because of a written request from the Tennessee State Historic Preservation Office to the agency or applicant. This documentation must be prepared by a person or persons in the appropriate field who meets applicable professional standards and in consultation with this office (see "Standards and Guidelines for Archaeological and Architectural Resource Identification Studies") and definitions codified at 36 CFR Part 800.2(a)(1) and 800.2(a)(3) _____
- Historic/Architectural Survey and Inventory _____
- Archaeological Site Survey and Inventory _____
- Notice of any executed and ratified Memorandum of Agreement or Programmatic Agreement that may bear upon the undertaking _____

SUBMIT CHECKLIST AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION TO:

Joseph Y. Garrison, PhD
Review and Compliance Coordinator
Tennessee Historical Commission
2941 Lebanon Road
Nashville, Tennessee 37243-0442

Joseph Y. Garrison, PhD
Review and Compliance Coordinator
Tennessee State Historic Preservation Office
Tennessee Historical Commission
2941 Lebanon Road
Nashville, Tennessee 37243-0442

Joseph.Garrison@tn.gov

(615)770-1092

"I can explain it to you, but I can't understand it for you"

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This electronic message is legally privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or action taken in reliance on the contents of these documents is strictly prohibited.

From: Joshua.Wayland@stb.dot.gov [Joshua.Wayland@stb.dot.gov]
Sent: Friday, February 12, 2016 2:06 PM
To: Joseph Garrison
Subject: Re: Cates Landing / Lake County

*** This is an EXTERNAL email. Please exercise caution. DO NOT open

attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email - STS-Security. ***

Dr. Garrison,

Thank you for following up to my recent email. The link you provided does not seem to be working. Can you please provide me with any information regarding the letter that we received from your office last month about the proposed rail construction case at Cates Landing in Lake County? The letter states that the project would adversely affect properties that are eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (see attached). Based on our survey of the project area and our consultations with your office last year, we are not aware of any historic properties in the project area. We had previously received concurrence from you that no historic properties would be affected. If the SHPO's opinion has changed based on new information, we would like to be able to incorporate that opinion into the Final Environmental Assessment that we are preparing for this proposal.

At this point, I believe your office is already in possession of all of the available information regarding this case, including the Cultural Resources Survey, the Draft Environmental Assessment, and applicable correspondence. If you need additional documentation, please let me know what you need and I will do my best to provide it to you immediately.

(See attached file: SHPO Letter January 2016.pdf)

As always, thank you for your time and assistance,

Josh Wayland
Environmental Protection Specialist
Office of Environmental Analysis
Surface Transportation Board
Tel: (202) 245-0330

From: Joseph Garrison <Joseph.Garrison@tn.gov>
To: "Joshua.Wayland@stb.dot.gov" <Joshua.Wayland@stb.dot.gov>
Cc: Jennifer Barnett <Jennifer.Barnett@tn.gov>
Date: 02/12/2016 01:56 PM
Subject: Cates Landing / Lake County

Mr. Wayland,

Thank you for your recent email. Please link to the following URL:

<http://www.tn.gov/environment/history/docs/check12.pdf>

...and submit hard copies of the requested documentation to this office at the address listed below for Section 106 review. Upon receipt of this documentation, we will respond promptly.

Best

Joseph Y. Garrison, PhD
Review and Compliance Coordinator
Tennessee State Historic Preservation Office
Tennessee Historical Commission
2941 Lebanon Road
Nashville, Tennessee 37243-0442

Joseph.Garrison@tn.gov

(615)770-1092

"I can explain it to you, but I can't understand it for you"

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This electronic message is legally privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or action taken in reliance on the contents of these documents is strictly prohibited.