



## Extend the Comment Deadline for the Tongue River Railroad Scoping Process

Karren Baird-Olson to: blodgettk

01/11/2013 12:19 AM

Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

From: Karren Baird-Olson <karren.bairdolson@csun.edu>

To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Please respond to Karren Baird-Olson <karren.bairdolson@csun.edu>

Jan 10, 2013

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett  
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

On the eve of the Christmas and New Year holidays and after all public scoping meetings had concluded in Montana, the Tongue River Railroad Company submitted a supplemental revised application for Tongue River Railroad, in which it identified for the first time in the project's 30-year history the "Colstrip route" as the company's preferred route for the railroad. At the same time, STB released to the public a new map of the Colstrip route. Several local landowners received yet another map that depicts a Colstrip route that is different from the route depicted in the map available on STB's website. Though this latter map has not been made available to the general public, it reportedly shows the proposed route of the railroad traversing land owned by an Amish community--land not shown to be impacted by any other map. In light of this confusion, I request that you extend the deadline for scoping comments so that the public can make meaningful comments on the actual preferred route, not on speculation.

We further request an expanded scoping process, including an extension of the public comment period and an additional public meeting to be held in the Colstrip area. Scoping hearings were held in many communities in south east Montana, but Colstrip was excluded. Now Colstrip is the end of the line, according to the new preferred route. Although the Colstrip route has been included among the railroad's alternatives since the project was first proposed, it was previously rejected as technically infeasible. The public has just become aware of this change when the Company submitted its supplemental revised application on December 17, 2012. Thus, Colstrip-area residents--including those ranches that will be effectively split by this train--had no reason to suspect they would be so heavily and adversely impacted by the preferred route.

Please consider this request seriously and take the right action. The very nature of the Tongue River Railroad has changed with this alteration of route. Now different people and different communities will be impacted. Extend the scoping period and uphold the spirit of all of the laws on the books that guarantee the public's right to participate in decisions that impact them. To do otherwise is to make a mockery of our process.

Sincerely,

Karren Baird-Olson  
708 E Montana St  
Lewistown, MT 59457-1825  
(818) 606-9061



## Extend the Comment Deadline for the Tongue River Railroad Scoping Process

**Lori Byron** to: blodgettk

01/11/2013 12:19 AM

Sent by: **Sierra Club** <information@sierraclub.org>

From: Lori Byron <lori.byron@gmail.com>

To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

**Please respond to Lori Byron** <lori.byron@gmail.com>

Jan 10, 2013

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett  
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

On the eve of the Christmas and New Year holidays and after all public scoping meetings had concluded in Montana, the Tongue River Railroad Company submitted a supplemental revised application for Tongue River Railroad, in which it identified for the first time in the project's 30-year history the "Colstrip route" as the company's preferred route for the railroad. At the same time, STB released to the public a new map of the Colstrip route. Several local landowners received yet another map that depicts a Colstrip route that is different from the route depicted in the map available on STB's website. Though this latter map has not been made available to the general public, it reportedly shows the proposed route of the railroad traversing land owned by an Amish community--land not shown to be impacted by any other map. In light of this confusion, I request that you extend the deadline for scoping comments so that the public can make meaningful comments on the actual preferred route, not on speculation.

We further request an expanded scoping process, including an extension of the public comment period and an additional public meeting to be held in the Colstrip area. Scoping hearings were held in many communities in south east Montana, but Colstrip was excluded. Now Colstrip is the end of the line, according to the new preferred route. Although the Colstrip route has been included among the railroad's alternatives since the project was first proposed, it was previously rejected as technically infeasible. The public has just become aware of this change when the Company submitted its supplemental revised application on December 17, 2012. Thus, Colstrip-area residents--including those ranches that will be effectively split by this train--had no reason to suspect they would be so heavily and adversely impacted by the preferred route.

Please consider this request seriously and take the right action. The very nature of the Tongue River Railroad has changed with this alteration of route. Now different people and different communities will be impacted. Extend the scoping period and uphold the spirit of all of the laws on the books that guarantee the public's right to participate in decisions that impact them. To do otherwise is to make a mockery of our process.

Sincerely,

Lori Byron  
RR 1 Box 1079  
Hardin, MT 59034-9712  
(406) 623-5170



## Extend the Comment Deadline for the Tongue River Railroad Scoping Process

**Jim Murphey** to: blodgettk

01/10/2013 10:49 PM

Sent by: **Sierra Club** <information@sierraclub.org>

From: Jim Murphey <jpmurphey@juno.com>

To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

**Please respond to Jim Murphey** <jpmurphey@juno.com>

Jan 10, 2013

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett  
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

On the eve of the Christmas and New Year holidays and after all public scoping meetings had concluded in Montana, the Tongue River Railroad Company submitted a supplemental revised application for Tongue River Railroad, in which it identified for the first time in the project's 30-year history the "Colstrip route" as the company's preferred route for the railroad. At the same time, STB released to the public a new map of the Colstrip route. Several local landowners received yet another map that depicts a Colstrip route that is different from the route depicted in the map available on STB's website. Though this latter map has not been made available to the general public, it reportedly shows the proposed route of the railroad traversing land owned by an Amish community--land not shown to be impacted by any other map. In light of this confusion, I request that you extend the deadline for scoping comments so that the public can make meaningful comments on the actual preferred route, not on speculation.

We further request an expanded scoping process, including an extension of the public comment period and an additional public meeting to be held in the Colstrip area. Scoping hearings were held in many communities in south east Montana, but Colstrip was excluded. Now Colstrip is the end of the line, according to the new preferred route. Although the Colstrip route has been included among the railroad's alternatives since the project was first proposed, it was previously rejected as technically infeasible. The public has just become aware of this change when the Company submitted its supplemental revised application on December 17, 2012. Thus, Colstrip-area residents--including those ranches that will be effectively split by this train--had no reason to suspect they would be so heavily and adversely impacted by the preferred route.

Please consider this request seriously and take the right action. The very nature of the Tongue River Railroad has changed with this alteration of route. Now different people and different communities will be impacted. Extend the scoping period and uphold the spirit of all of the laws on the books that guarantee the public's right to participate in decisions that impact them. To do otherwise is to make a mockery of our process.

Sincerely,

Jim Murphey  
1017 S Black Ave  
Bozeman, MT 59715-5311



## Extend the Comment Deadline for the Tongue River Railroad Scoping Process

**Sandi Nichols** to: blodgettk

01/10/2013 10:49 PM

Sent by: **Sierra Club** <information@sierraclub.org>

From: Sandi Nichols <sandrika.n@hotmail.com>

To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

**Please respond to Sandi Nichols** <sandrika.n@hotmail.com>

Jan 10, 2013

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett  
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

On the eve of the Christmas and New Year holidays and after all public scoping meetings had concluded in Montana, the Tongue River Railroad Company submitted a supplemental revised application for Tongue River Railroad, in which it identified for the first time in the project's 30-year history the "Colstrip route" as the company's preferred route for the railroad. At the same time, STB released to the public a new map of the Colstrip route. Several local landowners received yet another map that depicts a Colstrip route that is different from the route depicted in the map available on STB's website. Though this latter map has not been made available to the general public, it reportedly shows the proposed route of the railroad traversing land owned by an Amish community--land not shown to be impacted by any other map. In light of this confusion, I request that you extend the deadline for scoping comments so that the public can make meaningful comments on the actual preferred route, not on speculation.

We further request an expanded scoping process, including an extension of the public comment period and an additional public meeting to be held in the Colstrip area. Scoping hearings were held in many communities in south east Montana, but Colstrip was excluded. Now Colstrip is the end of the line, according to the new preferred route. Although the Colstrip route has been included among the railroad's alternatives since the project was first proposed, it was previously rejected as technically infeasible. The public has just become aware of this change when the Company submitted its supplemental revised application on December 17, 2012. Thus, Colstrip-area residents--including those ranches that will be effectively split by this train--had no reason to suspect they would be so heavily and adversely impacted by the preferred route.

Please consider this request seriously and take the right action. The very nature of the Tongue River Railroad has changed with this alteration of route. Now different people and different communities will be impacted. Extend the scoping period and uphold the spirit of all of the laws on the books that guarantee the public's right to participate in decisions that impact them. To do otherwise is to make a mockery of our process.

Sincerely,

Sandi Nichols  
PO Box 626  
Butte, MT 59703-0626



## Extend the Comment Deadline for the Tongue River Railroad Scoping Process

**Danielle Perrine** to: blodgettk

01/10/2013 09:49 PM

Sent by: **Sierra Club** <information@sierraclub.org>

From: Danielle Perrine <dpinmt@optimum.net>

To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

**Please respond to Danielle Perrine** <dpinmt@optimum.net>

Jan 10, 2013

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett  
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

On the eve of the Christmas and New Year holidays and after all public scoping meetings had concluded in Montana, the Tongue River Railroad Company submitted a supplemental revised application for Tongue River Railroad, in which it identified for the first time in the project's 30-year history the "Colstrip route" as the company's preferred route for the railroad. At the same time, STB released to the public a new map of the Colstrip route. Several local landowners received yet another map that depicts a Colstrip route that is different from the route depicted in the map available on STB's website. Though this latter map has not been made available to the general public, it reportedly shows the proposed route of the railroad traversing land owned by an Amish community--land not shown to be impacted by any other map. In light of this confusion, I request that you extend the deadline for scoping comments so that the public can make meaningful comments on the actual preferred route, not on speculation.

We further request an expanded scoping process, including an extension of the public comment period and an additional public meeting to be held in the Colstrip area. Scoping hearings were held in many communities in south east Montana, but Colstrip was excluded. Now Colstrip is the end of the line, according to the new preferred route. Although the Colstrip route has been included among the railroad's alternatives since the project was first proposed, it was previously rejected as technically infeasible. The public has just become aware of this change when the Company submitted its supplemental revised application on December 17, 2012. Thus, Colstrip-area residents--including those ranches that will be effectively split by this train--had no reason to suspect they would be so heavily and adversely impacted by the preferred route.

Please consider this request seriously and take the right action. The very nature of the Tongue River Railroad has changed with this alteration of route. Now different people and different communities will be impacted. Extend the scoping period and uphold the spirit of all of the laws on the books that guarantee the public's right to participate in decisions that impact them. To do otherwise is to make a mockery of our process.

Sincerely,

Danielle Perrine  
806 Maynard Rd  
Helena, MT 59602-6831



## Extend the Comment Deadline for the Tongue River Railroad Scoping Process

Stephen Mcevoy to: blodgettk

01/10/2013 08:49 PM

Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

From: Stephen Mcevoy <samcevoy@wildblue.net>

To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

**Please respond to Stephen Mcevoy <samcevoy@wildblue.net>**

Jan 10, 2013

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett  
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

On the eve of the Christmas and New Year holidays and after all public scoping meetings had concluded in Montana, the Tongue River Railroad Company submitted a supplemental revised application for Tongue River Railroad, in which it identified for the first time in the project's 30-year history the "Colstrip route" as the company's preferred route for the railroad. At the same time, STB released to the public a new map of the Colstrip route. Several local landowners received yet another map that depicts a Colstrip route that is different from the route depicted in the map available on STB's website. Though this latter map has not been made available to the general public, it reportedly shows the proposed route of the railroad traversing land owned by an Amish community--land not shown to be impacted by any other map. In light of this confusion, I request that you extend the deadline for scoping comments so that the public can make meaningful comments on the actual preferred route, not on speculation.

We further request an expanded scoping process, including an extension of the public comment period and an additional public meeting to be held in the Colstrip area. Scoping hearings were held in many communities in south east Montana, but Colstrip was excluded. Now Colstrip is the end of the line, according to the new preferred route. Although the Colstrip route has been included among the railroad's alternatives since the project was first proposed, it was previously rejected as technically infeasible. The public has just become aware of this change when the Company submitted its supplemental revised application on December 17, 2012. Thus, Colstrip-area residents--including those ranches that will be effectively split by this train--had no reason to suspect they would be so heavily and adversely impacted by the preferred route.

Please consider this request seriously and take the right action. The very nature of the Tongue River Railroad has changed with this alteration of route. Now different people and different communities will be impacted. Extend the scoping period and uphold the spirit of all of the laws on the books that guarantee the public's right to participate in decisions that impact them. To do otherwise is to make a mockery of our process.

Sincerely,

Stephen Mcevoy  
4430 Helberg Dr  
Helena, MT 59602-8883



## Extend the Comment Deadline for the Tongue River Railroad Scoping Process

**Michael Old Turtle** to: blodgettk

01/10/2013 08:48 PM

Sent by: **Sierra Club** <information@sierraclub.org>

From: Michael Old Turtle <michael.d.oldturtle@msn.com>

To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

**Please respond to Michael Old Turtle** <michael.d.oldturtle@msn.com>

Jan 10, 2013

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett  
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

On the eve of the Christmas and New Year holidays and after all public scoping meetings had concluded in Montana, the Tongue River Railroad Company submitted a supplemental revised application for Tongue River Railroad, in which it identified for the first time in the project's 30-year history the "Colstrip route" as the company's preferred route for the railroad. At the same time, STB released to the public a new map of the Colstrip route. Several local landowners received yet another map that depicts a Colstrip route that is different from the route depicted in the map available on STB's website. Though this latter map has not been made available to the general public, it reportedly shows the proposed route of the railroad traversing land owned by an Amish community--land not shown to be impacted by any other map. In light of this confusion, I request that you extend the deadline for scoping comments so that the public can make meaningful comments on the actual preferred route, not on speculation.

We further request an expanded scoping process, including an extension of the public comment period and an additional public meeting to be held in the Colstrip area. Scoping hearings were held in many communities in south east Montana, but Colstrip was excluded. Now Colstrip is the end of the line, according to the new preferred route. Although the Colstrip route has been included among the railroad's alternatives since the project was first proposed, it was previously rejected as technically infeasible. The public has just become aware of this change when the Company submitted its supplemental revised application on December 17, 2012. Thus, Colstrip-area residents--including those ranches that will be effectively split by this train--had no reason to suspect they would be so heavily and adversely impacted by the preferred route.

Please consider this request seriously and take the right action. The very nature of the Tongue River Railroad has changed with this alteration of route. Now different people and different communities will be impacted. Extend the scoping period and uphold the spirit of all of the laws on the books that guarantee the public's right to participate in decisions that impact them. To do otherwise is to make a mockery of our process.

Sincerely,

Michael Old Turtle  
PO Box 588  
Great Falls, MT 59403-0588



## Extend the Comment Deadline for the Tongue River Railroad Scoping Process

**Laura Black** to: blodgettk

01/10/2013 08:18 PM

Sent by: **Sierra Club** <information@sierraclub.org>

From: Laura Black <lblack@alum.mit.edu>

To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

**Please respond to Laura Black** <lblack@alum.mit.edu>

Jan 10, 2013

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett  
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

On the eve of the Christmas and New Year holidays and after all public scoping meetings had concluded in Montana, the Tongue River Railroad Company submitted a supplemental revised application for Tongue River Railroad, in which it identified for the first time in the project's 30-year history the "Colstrip route" as the company's preferred route for the railroad. At the same time, STB released to the public a new map of the Colstrip route. Several local landowners received yet another map that depicts a Colstrip route that is different from the route depicted in the map available on STB's website. Though this latter map has not been made available to the general public, it reportedly shows the proposed route of the railroad traversing land owned by an Amish community--land not shown to be impacted by any other map. In light of this confusion, I request that you extend the deadline for scoping comments so that the public can make meaningful comments on the actual preferred route, not on speculation.

We further request an expanded scoping process, including an extension of the public comment period and an additional public meeting to be held in the Colstrip area. Scoping hearings were held in many communities in south east Montana, but Colstrip was excluded. Now Colstrip is the end of the line, according to the new preferred route. Although the Colstrip route has been included among the railroad's alternatives since the project was first proposed, it was previously rejected as technically infeasible. The public has just become aware of this change when the Company submitted its supplemental revised application on December 17, 2012. Thus, Colstrip-area residents--including those ranches that will be effectively split by this train--had no reason to suspect they would be so heavily and adversely impacted by the preferred route.

Please consider this request seriously. The very nature of the Tongue River Railroad has changed with this alteration of route. Now different people and different communities will be impacted. Please extend the scoping period and so uphold the spirit of all of the laws on the books that guarantee the public's right to participate in decisions that impact them. To do otherwise is to make a mockery of our process.

Sincerely,

Laura Black  
413 Overbrook Dr  
Bozeman, MT 59715-7149  
(406) 586-7871



## Extend the Comment Deadline for the Tongue River Railroad Scoping Process

**Debra Strode** to: blodgettk

01/10/2013 07:17 PM

Sent by: **Sierra Club** <information@sierraclub.org>

From: Debra Strode <thebigcat@live.com>

To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

**Please respond to Debra Strode** <thebigcat@live.com>

Jan 10, 2013

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett  
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

On the eve of the Christmas and New Year holidays and after all public scoping meetings had concluded in Montana, the Tongue River Railroad Company submitted a supplemental revised application for Tongue River Railroad, in which it identified for the first time in the project's 30-year history the "Colstrip route" as the company's preferred route for the railroad. At the same time, STB released to the public a new map of the Colstrip route. Several local landowners received yet another map that depicts a Colstrip route that is different from the route depicted in the map available on STB's website. Though this latter map has not been made available to the general public, it reportedly shows the proposed route of the railroad traversing land owned by an Amish community--land not shown to be impacted by any other map. In light of this confusion, I request that you extend the deadline for scoping comments so that the public can make meaningful comments on the actual preferred route, not on speculation.

We further request an expanded scoping process, including an extension of the public comment period and an additional public meeting to be held in the Colstrip area. Scoping hearings were held in many communities in south east Montana, but Colstrip was excluded. Now Colstrip is the end of the line, according to the new preferred route. Although the Colstrip route has been included among the railroad's alternatives since the project was first proposed, it was previously rejected as technically infeasible. The public has just become aware of this change when the Company submitted its supplemental revised application on December 17, 2012. Thus, Colstrip-area residents--including those ranches that will be effectively split by this train--had no reason to suspect they would be so heavily and adversely impacted by the preferred route.

Please consider this request seriously and take the right action. The very nature of the Tongue River Railroad has changed with this alteration of route. Now different people and different communities will be impacted. Extend the scoping period and uphold the spirit of all of the laws on the books that guarantee the public's right to participate in decisions that impact them. To do otherwise is to make a mockery of our process.

Sincerely,

Debra Strobe  
409 N Willson Ave Trlr 17  
Bozeman, MT 59715-3561



## Extend the Comment Deadline for the Tongue River Railroad Scoping Process

**Karen Renne** to: blodgettk

01/10/2013 07:17 PM

Sent by: **Sierra Club** <information@sierraclub.org>

From: Karen Renne <renne.karen@gmail.com>

To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

**Please respond to Karen Renne** <renne.karen@gmail.com>

Jan 10, 2013

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett  
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

On the eve of the Christmas and New Year holidays and after all public scoping meetings had concluded in Montana, the Tongue River Railroad Company submitted a supplemental revised application for Tongue River Railroad, in which it identified for the first time in the project's 30-year history the "Colstrip route" as the company's preferred route for the railroad. At the same time, STB released to the public a new map of the Colstrip route. Several local landowners received yet another map that depicts a Colstrip route that is different from the route depicted in the map available on STB's website. Though this latter map has not been made available to the general public, it reportedly shows the proposed route of the railroad traversing land owned by an Amish community--land not shown to be impacted by any other map. In light of this confusion, I request that you extend the deadline for scoping comments so that the public can make meaningful comments on the actual preferred route, not on speculation.

We further request an expanded scoping process, including an extension of the public comment period and an additional public meeting to be held in the Colstrip area. Scoping hearings were held in many communities in south east Montana, but Colstrip was excluded. Now Colstrip is the end of the line, according to the new preferred route. Although the Colstrip route has been included among the railroad's alternatives since the project was first proposed, it was previously rejected as technically infeasible. The public has just become aware of this change when the Company submitted its supplemental revised application on December 17, 2012. Thus, Colstrip-area residents--including those ranches that will be effectively split by this train--had no reason to suspect they would be so heavily and adversely impacted by the preferred route.

Please consider this request seriously and take the right action. The very nature of the Tongue River Railroad has changed with this alteration of route. Now different people and different communities will be impacted. Extend the scoping period and uphold the spirit of all of the laws on the books that guarantee the public's right to participate in decisions that impact them. To do otherwise is to make a mockery of our process.

Sincerely,

Karen Renne  
PO Box 6519  
Bozeman, MT 59771-6519



## Extend the Comment Deadline for the Tongue River Railroad Scoping Process

**Sharon Castro** to: blodgettk

01/10/2013 06:48 PM

Sent by: **Sierra Club** <information@sierraclub.org>

From: Sharon Castro <scastr@corenterprises.com>

To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

**Please respond to Sharon Castro** <scastr@corenterprises.com>

Jan 10, 2013

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett  
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

On the eve of the Christmas and New Year holidays and after all public scoping meetings had concluded in Montana, the Tongue River Railroad Company submitted a supplemental revised application for Tongue River Railroad, in which it identified for the first time in the project's 30-year history the "Colstrip route" as the company's preferred route for the railroad. At the same time, STB released to the public a new map of the Colstrip route. Several local landowners received yet another map that depicts a Colstrip route that is different from the route depicted in the map available on STB's website. Though this latter map has not been made available to the general public, it reportedly shows the proposed route of the railroad traversing land owned by an Amish community--land not shown to be impacted by any other map. In light of this confusion, I request that you extend the deadline for scoping comments so that the public can make meaningful comments on the actual preferred route, not on speculation.

We further request an expanded scoping process, including an extension of the public comment period and an additional public meeting to be held in the Colstrip area. Scoping hearings were held in many communities in south east Montana, but Colstrip was excluded. Now Colstrip is the end of the line, according to the new preferred route. Although the Colstrip route has been included among the railroad's alternatives since the project was first proposed, it was previously rejected as technically infeasible. The public has just become aware of this change when the Company submitted its supplemental revised application on December 17, 2012. Thus, Colstrip-area residents--including those ranches that will be effectively split by this train--had no reason to suspect they would be so heavily and adversely impacted by the preferred route.

Please consider this request seriously and take the right action. The very nature of the Tongue River Railroad has changed with this alteration of route. Now different people and different communities will be impacted. Extend the scoping period and uphold the spirit of all of the laws on the books that guarantee the public's right to participate in decisions that impact them. To do otherwise is to make a mockery of our process.

Sincerely,

Sharon Castro  
PO Box 21264  
Billings, MT 59104-1264  
(406) 694-6115



## Extend the Comment Deadline for the Tongue River Railroad Scoping Process

**Pamela Cersosimo** to: blodgettk

01/10/2013 06:47 PM

Sent by: **Sierra Club** <information@sierraclub.org>

From: Pamela Cersosimo <flatrocklimited@hotmail.com>

To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

**Please respond to Pamela Cersosimo** <flatrocklimited@hotmail.com>

Jan 10, 2013

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett  
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

On the eve of the Christmas and New Year holidays and after all public scoping meetings had concluded in Montana, the Tongue River Railroad Company submitted a supplemental revised application for Tongue River Railroad, in which it identified for the first time in the project's 30-year history the "Colstrip route" as the company's preferred route for the railroad. At the same time, STB released to the public a new map of the Colstrip route. Several local landowners received yet another map that depicts a Colstrip route that is different from the route depicted in the map available on STB's website. Though this latter map has not been made available to the general public, it reportedly shows the proposed route of the railroad traversing land owned by an Amish community--land not shown to be impacted by any other map. In light of this confusion, I request that you extend the deadline for scoping comments so that the public can make meaningful comments on the actual preferred route, not on speculation.

We further request an expanded scoping process, including an extension of the public comment period and an additional public meeting to be held in the Colstrip area. Scoping hearings were held in many communities in south east Montana, but Colstrip was excluded. Now Colstrip is the end of the line, according to the new preferred route. Although the Colstrip route has been included among the railroad's alternatives since the project was first proposed, it was previously rejected as technically infeasible. The public has just become aware of this change when the Company submitted its supplemental revised application on December 17, 2012. Thus, Colstrip-area residents--including those ranches that will be effectively split by this train--had no reason to suspect they would be so heavily and adversely impacted by the preferred route.

Please consider this request seriously and take the right action. The very nature of the Tongue River Railroad has changed with this alteration of route. Now different people and different communities will be impacted. Extend the scoping period and uphold the spirit of all of the laws on the books that guarantee the public's right to participate in decisions that impact them. To do otherwise is to make a mockery of our process.

Sincerely,

Pamela Cersosimo  
PO Box 160908  
Big Sky, MT 59716-0908  
(406) 222-7996



## Extend the Comment Deadline for the Tongue River Railroad Scoping Process

**Robin Dewey** to: blodgettk

01/10/2013 06:19 PM

Sent by: **Sierra Club** <information@sierraclub.org>

From: Robin Dewey <rdewey1@gmail.com>

To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

**Please respond to Robin Dewey** <rdewey1@gmail.com>

Jan 10, 2013

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett  
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

On the eve of the Christmas and New Year holidays and after all public scoping meetings had concluded in Montana, the Tongue River Railroad Company submitted a supplemental revised application for Tongue River Railroad, in which it identified for the first time in the project's 30-year history the "Colstrip route" as the company's preferred route for the railroad. At the same time, STB released to the public a new map of the Colstrip route. Several local landowners received yet another map that depicts a Colstrip route that is different from the route depicted in the map available on STB's website. Though this latter map has not been made available to the general public, it reportedly shows the proposed route of the railroad traversing land owned by an Amish community--land not shown to be impacted by any other map. In light of this confusion, I request that you extend the deadline for scoping comments so that the public can make meaningful comments on the actual preferred route, not on speculation.

We further request an expanded scoping process, including an extension of the public comment period and an additional public meeting to be held in the Colstrip area. Scoping hearings were held in many communities in south east Montana, but Colstrip was excluded. Now Colstrip is the end of the line, according to the new preferred route. Although the Colstrip route has been included among the railroad's alternatives since the project was first proposed, it was previously rejected as technically infeasible. The public has just become aware of this change when the Company submitted its supplemental revised application on December 17, 2012. Thus, Colstrip-area residents--including those ranches that will be effectively split by this train--had no reason to suspect they would be so heavily and adversely impacted by the preferred route.

Please consider this request seriously and take the right action. The very nature of the Tongue River Railroad has changed with this alteration of route. Now different people and different communities will be impacted. Extend the scoping period and uphold the spirit of all of the laws on the books that guarantee the public's right to participate in decisions that impact them. To do otherwise is to make a mockery of our process.

Sincerely,

Robin Dewey  
517 Jared Way  
Corvallis, MT 59828-9233



## Extend the Comment Deadline for the Tongue River Railroad Scoping Process

**Penny Ryan** to: blodgettk

01/10/2013 05:17 PM

Sent by: **Sierra Club** <information@sierraclub.org>

From: Penny Ryan <pennylatepate@q.com>

To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

**Please respond to Penny Ryan** <pennylatepate@q.com>

Jan 10, 2013

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett  
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

On the eve of the Christmas and New Year holidays and after all public scoping meetings had concluded in Montana, the Tongue River Railroad Company submitted a supplemental revised application for Tongue River Railroad, in which it identified for the first time in the project's 30-year history the "Colstrip route" as the company's preferred route for the railroad. At the same time, STB released to the public a new map of the Colstrip route. Several local landowners received yet another map that depicts a Colstrip route that is different from the route depicted in the map available on STB's website. Though this latter map has not been made available to the general public, it reportedly shows the proposed route of the railroad traversing land owned by an Amish community--land not shown to be impacted by any other map. In light of this confusion, I request that you extend the deadline for scoping comments so that the public can make meaningful comments on the actual preferred route, not on speculation.

We further request an expanded scoping process, including an extension of the public comment period and an additional public meeting to be held in the Colstrip area. Scoping hearings were held in many communities in south east Montana, but Colstrip was excluded. Now Colstrip is the end of the line, according to the new preferred route. Although the Colstrip route has been included among the railroad's alternatives since the project was first proposed, it was previously rejected as technically infeasible. The public has just become aware of this change when the Company submitted its supplemental revised application on December 17, 2012. Thus, Colstrip-area residents--including those ranches that will be effectively split by this train--had no reason to suspect they would be so heavily and adversely impacted by the preferred route.

Please consider this request seriously and take the right action. The very nature of the Tongue River Railroad has changed with this alteration of route. Now different people and different communities will be impacted. Extend the scoping period and uphold the spirit of all of the laws on the books that guarantee the public's right to participate in decisions that impact them. To do otherwise is to make a mockery of our process.

Sincerely,

Penny Ryan  
302 Stewart St  
Anaconda, MT 59711-9327



## Extend the Comment Deadline for the Tongue River Railroad Scoping Process

Wm Schultz to: blodgettk

01/10/2013 05:17 PM

Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

From: Wm Schultz <snuhwolf@aim.com>

To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Please respond to Wm Schultz <snuhwolf@aim.com>

Jan 10, 2013

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett  
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

On the eve of the Christmas and New Year holidays and after all public scoping meetings had concluded in Montana, the Tongue River Railroad Company submitted a supplemental revised application for Tongue River Railroad, in which it identified for the first time in the project's 30-year history the "Colstrip route" as the company's preferred route for the railroad. At the same time, STB released to the public a new map of the Colstrip route. Several local landowners received yet another map that depicts a Colstrip route that is different from the route depicted in the map available on STB's website. Though this latter map has not been made available to the general public, it reportedly shows the proposed route of the railroad traversing land owned by an Amish community--land not shown to be impacted by any other map. In light of this confusion, I request that you extend the deadline for scoping comments so that the public can make meaningful comments on the actual preferred route, not on speculation.

We further request an expanded scoping process, including an extension of the public comment period and an additional public meeting to be held in the Colstrip area. Scoping hearings were held in many communities in south east Montana, but Colstrip was excluded. Now Colstrip is the end of the line, according to the new preferred route. Although the Colstrip route has been included among the railroad's alternatives since the project was first proposed, it was previously rejected as technically infeasible. The public has just become aware of this change when the Company submitted its supplemental revised application on December 17, 2012. Thus, Colstrip-area residents--including those ranches that will be effectively split by this train--had no reason to suspect they would be so heavily and adversely impacted by the preferred route.

Please consider this request seriously and take the right action. The very nature of the Tongue River Railroad has changed with this alteration of route. Now different people and different communities will be impacted. Extend the scoping period and uphold the spirit of all of the laws on the books that guarantee the public's right to participate in decisions that impact them. To do otherwise is to make a mockery of our process.

Sincerely,

Wm Schultz  
339 4th St W  
Whitefish, MT 59937-3028  
(406) 253-7810



## Extend the Comment Deadline for the Tongue River Railroad Scoping Process

Jennifer Jones to: blodgettk

01/10/2013 04:48 PM

Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

From: Jennifer Jones <jenniferjones90@netzero.com>

To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Please respond to Jennifer Jones <jenniferjones90@netzero.com>

Jan 10, 2013

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett  
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

On the eve of the Christmas and New Year holidays and after all public scoping meetings had concluded in Montana, the Tongue River Railroad Company submitted a supplemental revised application for Tongue River Railroad, in which it identified for the first time in the project's 30-year history the "Colstrip route" as the company's preferred route for the railroad. At the same time, STB released to the public a new map of the Colstrip route. Several local landowners received yet another map that depicts a Colstrip route that is different from the route depicted in the map available on STB's website. Though this latter map has not been made available to the general public, it reportedly shows the proposed route of the railroad traversing land owned by an Amish community--land not shown to be impacted by any other map. In light of this confusion, I request that you extend the deadline for scoping comments so that the public can make meaningful comments on the actual preferred route, not on speculation.

We further request an expanded scoping process, including an extension of the public comment period and an additional public meeting to be held in the Colstrip area. Scoping hearings were held in many communities in south east Montana, but Colstrip was excluded. Now Colstrip is the end of the line, according to the new preferred route. Although the Colstrip route has been included among the railroad's alternatives since the project was first proposed, it was previously rejected as technically infeasible. The public has just become aware of this change when the Company submitted its supplemental revised application on December 17, 2012. Thus, Colstrip-area residents--including those ranches that will be effectively split by this train--had no reason to suspect they would be so heavily and adversely impacted by the preferred route.

Please consider this request seriously and take the right action. The very nature of the Tongue River Railroad has changed with this alteration of route. Now different people and different communities will be impacted. Extend the scoping period and uphold the spirit of all of the laws on the books that guarantee the public's right to participate in decisions that impact them. To do otherwise is to make a mockery of our process.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Jones  
9348 US Highway 212  
Joliet, MT 59041-9481  
(406) 962-3269



## Extend the Comment Deadline for the Tongue River Railroad Scoping Process

**Marty Kay** to: blodgettk

01/10/2013 04:18 PM

Sent by: **Sierra Club** <information@sierraclub.org>

From: Marty Kay <kittenone@bresnan.net>

To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

**Please respond to Marty Kay** <kittenone@bresnan.net>

Jan 10, 2013

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett  
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

On the eve of the Christmas and New Year holidays and after all public scoping meetings had concluded in Montana, the Tongue River Railroad Company submitted a supplemental revised application for Tongue River Railroad, in which it identified for the first time in the project's 30-year history the "Colstrip route" as the company's preferred route for the railroad. At the same time, STB released to the public a new map of the Colstrip route. Several local landowners received yet another map that depicts a Colstrip route that is different from the route depicted in the map available on STB's website. Though this latter map has not been made available to the general public, it reportedly shows the proposed route of the railroad traversing land owned by an Amish community--land not shown to be impacted by any other map. In light of this confusion, I request that you extend the deadline for scoping comments so that the public can make meaningful comments on the actual preferred route, not on speculation.

We further request an expanded scoping process, including an extension of the public comment period and an additional public meeting to be held in the Colstrip area. Scoping hearings were held in many communities in south east Montana, but Colstrip was excluded. Now Colstrip is the end of the line, according to the new preferred route. Although the Colstrip route has been included among the railroad's alternatives since the project was first proposed, it was previously rejected as technically infeasible. The public has just become aware of this change when the Company submitted its supplemental revised application on December 17, 2012. Thus, Colstrip-area residents--including those ranches that will be effectively split by this train--had no reason to suspect they would be so heavily and adversely impacted by the preferred route.

Please consider this request seriously and take the right action. The very nature of the Tongue River Railroad has changed with this alteration of route. Now different people and different communities will be impacted. Extend the scoping period and uphold the spirit of all of the laws on the books that guarantee the public's right to participate in decisions that impact them. To do otherwise is to make a mockery of our process.

Sincerely,

Marty Kay  
6915 Donovan Creek Rd  
Clinton, MT 59825-9746  
(406) 360-2756



## Extend the Comment Deadline for the Tongue River Railroad Scoping Process

Nick Engelfried to: blodgettk

01/10/2013 03:48 PM

Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

From: Nick Engelfried <nicke.activism@gmail.com>

To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

**Please respond to Nick Engelfried <nicke.activism@gmail.com>**

Jan 10, 2013

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett  
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

On the eve of the Christmas and New Year holidays and after all public scoping meetings had concluded in Montana, the Tongue River Railroad Company submitted a supplemental revised application for Tongue River Railroad, in which it identified for the first time in the project's 30-year history the "Colstrip route" as the company's preferred route for the railroad. At the same time, STB released to the public a new map of the Colstrip route. Several local landowners received yet another map that depicts a Colstrip route that is different from the route depicted in the map available on STB's website. Though this latter map has not been made available to the general public, it reportedly shows the proposed route of the railroad traversing land owned by an Amish community--land not shown to be impacted by any other map. In light of this confusion, I request that you extend the deadline for scoping comments so that the public can make meaningful comments on the actual preferred route, not on speculation.

We further request an expanded scoping process, including an extension of the public comment period and an additional public meeting to be held in the Colstrip area. Scoping hearings were held in many communities in south east Montana, but Colstrip was excluded. Now Colstrip is the end of the line, according to the new preferred route. Although the Colstrip route has been included among the railroad's alternatives since the project was first proposed, it was previously rejected as technically infeasible. The public has just become aware of this change when the Company submitted its supplemental revised application on December 17, 2012. Thus, Colstrip-area residents--including those ranches that will be effectively split by this train--had no reason to suspect they would be so heavily and adversely impacted by the preferred route.

Please consider this request seriously and take the right action. The very nature of the Tongue River Railroad has changed with this alteration of route. Now different people and different communities will be impacted. Extend the scoping period and uphold the spirit of all of the laws on the books that guarantee the public's right to participate in decisions that impact them. To do otherwise is to make a mockery of our process.

Sincerely,

Nick Engelfried  
203 W Harlem St Apt 4  
Missoula, MT 59801-3776  
(406) 728-0975



## Extend the Comment Deadline for the Tongue River Railroad Scoping Process

**Lisa Anne Marshall** to: blodgettk

01/10/2013 03:48 PM

Sent by: **Sierra Club** <information@sierraclub.org>

From: Lisa Anne Marshall <lisaanne404@gmail.com>

To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

**Please respond to Lisa Anne Marshall** <lisaanne404@gmail.com>

Jan 10, 2013

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett  
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

On the eve of the Christmas and New Year holidays and after all public scoping meetings had concluded in Montana, the Tongue River Railroad Company submitted a supplemental revised application for Tongue River Railroad, in which it identified for the first time in the project's 30-year history the "Colstrip route" as the company's preferred route for the railroad. At the same time, STB released to the public a new map of the Colstrip route. Several local landowners received yet another map that depicts a Colstrip route that is different from the route depicted in the map available on STB's website. Though this latter map has not been made available to the general public, it reportedly shows the proposed route of the railroad traversing land owned by an Amish community--land not shown to be impacted by any other map. In light of this confusion, I request that you extend the deadline for scoping comments so that the public can make meaningful comments on the actual preferred route, not on speculation.

We further request an expanded scoping process, including an extension of the public comment period and an additional public meeting to be held in the Colstrip area. Scoping hearings were held in many communities in south east Montana, but Colstrip was excluded. Now Colstrip is the end of the line, according to the new preferred route. Although the Colstrip route has been included among the railroad's alternatives since the project was first proposed, it was previously rejected as technically infeasible. The public has just become aware of this change when the Company submitted its supplemental revised application on December 17, 2012. Thus, Colstrip-area residents--including those ranches that will be effectively split by this train--had no reason to suspect they would be so heavily and adversely impacted by the preferred route.

Please consider this request seriously and take the right action. The very nature of the Tongue River Railroad has changed with this alteration of route. Now different people and different communities will be impacted. Extend the scoping period and uphold the spirit of all of the laws on the books that guarantee the public's right to participate in decisions that impact them. To do otherwise is to make a mockery of our process.

Sincerely,

Lisa Anne Marshall  
195 Tamarack Meadow Rd  
Whitefish, MT 59937-8826  
(406) 862-0842



## Extend the Comment Deadline for the Tongue River Railroad Scoping Process

**Elissa Chott** to: blodgettk

01/10/2013 03:20 PM

Sent by: **Sierra Club** <information@sierraclub.org>

From: Elissa Chott <emchott@gmail.com>

To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

**Please respond to Elissa Chott** <emchott@gmail.com>

Jan 10, 2013

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett  
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

On the eve of the Christmas and New Year holidays and after all public scoping meetings had concluded in Montana, the Tongue River Railroad Company submitted a supplemental revised application for Tongue River Railroad, in which it identified for the first time in the project's 30-year history the "Colstrip route" as the company's preferred route for the railroad. At the same time, STB released to the public a new map of the Colstrip route. Several local landowners received yet another map that depicts a Colstrip route that is different from the route depicted in the map available on STB's website. Though this latter map has not been made available to the general public, it reportedly shows the proposed route of the railroad traversing land owned by an Amish community--land not shown to be impacted by any other map. In light of this confusion, I request that you extend the deadline for scoping comments so that the public can make meaningful comments on the actual preferred route, not on speculation.

We further request an expanded scoping process, including an extension of the public comment period and an additional public meeting to be held in the Colstrip area. Scoping hearings were held in many communities in south east Montana, but Colstrip was excluded. Now Colstrip is the end of the line, according to the new preferred route. Although the Colstrip route has been included among the railroad's alternatives since the project was first proposed, it was previously rejected as technically infeasible. The public has just become aware of this change when the Company submitted its supplemental revised application on December 17, 2012. Thus, Colstrip-area residents--including those ranches that will be effectively split by this train--had no reason to suspect they would be so heavily and adversely impacted by the preferred route.

Please consider this request seriously and take the right action. The very nature of the Tongue River Railroad has changed with this alteration of route. Now different people and different communities will be impacted. Extend the scoping period and uphold the spirit of all of the laws on the books that guarantee the public's right to participate in decisions that impact them. To do otherwise is to make a mockery of our process.

Sincerely,

Elissa Chott  
PO Box 9383  
Missoula, MT 59807-9383



## Extend the Comment Deadline for the Tongue River Railroad Scoping Process

Scott Eggeman to: blodgettk

01/10/2013 03:19 PM

Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

From: Scott Eggeman <eggemanscott@hotmail.com>

To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

**Please respond to Scott Eggeman <eggemanscott@hotmail.com>**

Jan 10, 2013

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett  
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

On the eve of the Christmas and New Year holidays and after all public scoping meetings had concluded in Montana, the Tongue River Railroad Company submitted a supplemental revised application for Tongue River Railroad, in which it identified for the first time in the project's 30-year history the "Colstrip route" as the company's preferred route for the railroad. At the same time, STB released to the public a new map of the Colstrip route. Several local landowners received yet another map that depicts a Colstrip route that is different from the route depicted in the map available on STB's website. Though this latter map has not been made available to the general public, it reportedly shows the proposed route of the railroad traversing land owned by an Amish community--land not shown to be impacted by any other map. In light of this confusion, I request that you extend the deadline for scoping comments so that the public can make meaningful comments on the actual preferred route, not on speculation.

We further request an expanded scoping process, including an extension of the public comment period and an additional public meeting to be held in the Colstrip area. Scoping hearings were held in many communities in south east Montana, but Colstrip was excluded. Now Colstrip is the end of the line, according to the new preferred route. Although the Colstrip route has been included among the railroad's alternatives since the project was first proposed, it was previously rejected as technically infeasible. The public has just become aware of this change when the Company submitted its supplemental revised application on December 17, 2012. Thus, Colstrip-area residents--including those ranches that will be effectively split by this train--had no reason to suspect they would be so heavily and adversely impacted by the preferred route.

Please consider this request seriously and take the right action. The very nature of the Tongue River Railroad has changed with this alteration of route. Now different people and different communities will be impacted. Extend the scoping period and uphold the spirit of all of the laws on the books that guarantee the public's right to participate in decisions that impact them. To do otherwise is to make a mockery of our process.

Sincerely,

Scott Eggeman  
2231 W Central Ave  
Missoula, MT 59801-6523  
(616) 455-1949



## Extend the Comment Deadline for the Tongue River Railroad Scoping Process

**Pamela Hall** to: blodgettk

01/10/2013 02:50 PM

Sent by: **Sierra Club** <information@sierraclub.org>

From: Pamela Hall <pamelahall13@yahoo.com>

To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

**Please respond to Pamela Hall** <pamelahall13@yahoo.com>

Jan 10, 2013

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett  
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

On the eve of the Christmas and New Year holidays and after all public scoping meetings had concluded in Montana, the Tongue River Railroad Company submitted a supplemental revised application for Tongue River Railroad, in which it identified for the first time in the project's 30-year history the "Colstrip route" as the company's preferred route for the railroad. At the same time, STB released to the public a new map of the Colstrip route. Several local landowners received yet another map that depicts a Colstrip route that is different from the route depicted in the map available on STB's website. Though this latter map has not been made available to the general public, it reportedly shows the proposed route of the railroad traversing land owned by an Amish community--land not shown to be impacted by any other map. In light of this confusion, I request that you extend the deadline for scoping comments so that the public can make meaningful comments on the actual preferred route, not on speculation.

We further request an expanded scoping process, including an extension of the public comment period and an additional public meeting to be held in the Colstrip area. Scoping hearings were held in many communities in south east Montana, but Colstrip was excluded. Now Colstrip is the end of the line, according to the new preferred route. Although the Colstrip route has been included among the railroad's alternatives since the project was first proposed, it was previously rejected as technically infeasible. The public has just become aware of this change when the Company submitted its supplemental revised application on December 17, 2012. Thus, Colstrip-area residents--including those ranches that will be effectively split by this train--had no reason to suspect they would be so heavily and adversely impacted by the preferred route.

Please consider this request seriously and take the right action. The very nature of the Tongue River Railroad has changed with this alteration of route. Now different people and different communities will be impacted. Extend the scoping period and uphold the spirit of all of the laws on the books that guarantee the public's right to participate in decisions that impact them. To do otherwise is to make a mockery of our process.

Sincerely,

Pamela Hall  
PO Box 182  
Joliet, MT 59041-0182



## Extend the Comment Deadline for the Tongue River Railroad Scoping Process

**Kathrine Jenkins** to: blodgettk

01/10/2013 02:48 PM

Sent by: **Sierra Club** <information@sierraclub.org>

From: Kathrine Jenkins <montanaokie@gmail.com>

To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

**Please respond to Kathrine Jenkins** <montanaokie@gmail.com>

Jan 10, 2013

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett  
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

On the eve of the Christmas and New Year holidays and after all public scoping meetings had concluded in Montana, the Tongue River Railroad Company submitted a supplemental revised application for Tongue River Railroad, in which it identified for the first time in the project's 30-year history the "Colstrip route" as the company's preferred route for the railroad. At the same time, STB released to the public a new map of the Colstrip route. Several local landowners received yet another map that depicts a Colstrip route that is different from the route depicted in the map available on STB's website. Though this latter map has not been made available to the general public, it reportedly shows the proposed route of the railroad traversing land owned by an Amish community--land not shown to be impacted by any other map. In light of this confusion, I request that you extend the deadline for scoping comments so that the public can make meaningful comments on the actual preferred route, not on speculation.

We further request an expanded scoping process, including an extension of the public comment period and an additional public meeting to be held in the Colstrip area. Scoping hearings were held in many communities in south east Montana, but Colstrip was excluded. Now Colstrip is the end of the line, according to the new preferred route. Although the Colstrip route has been included among the railroad's alternatives since the project was first proposed, it was previously rejected as technically infeasible. The public has just become aware of this change when the Company submitted its supplemental revised application on December 17, 2012. Thus, Colstrip-area residents--including those ranches that will be effectively split by this train--had no reason to suspect they would be so heavily and adversely impacted by the preferred route.

Please consider this request seriously and take the right action. The very nature of the Tongue River Railroad has changed with this alteration of route. Now different people and different communities will be impacted. Extend the scoping period and uphold the spirit of all of the laws on the books that guarantee the public's right to participate in decisions that impact them. To do otherwise is to make a mockery of our process.

Sincerely,

Kathrine Jenkins  
PO Box 31  
Ulm, MT 59485-0031  
(406) 781-2808