

EI-18597



Re: PA language regarding KTC invited signatory status and termination authority

Charlene Vaughn to: Najah Duvall-Gabriel, Dave Navecky

03/25/2011 09:42 AM

From: "Charlene Vaughn" <cvaughn@achp.gov>

To: "Najah Duvall-Gabriel" <ngabriel@achp.gov>, "Dave Navecky" <david.navecky@stb.dot.gov>

History: This message has been forwarded.

This is the approach discussed with Judy Bittner and Vickie on Monday's call. Let's proceed accordingly to avoid prolonging this discussion.

Thanks.

----- Original Message -----

From: Najah Duvall-Gabriel

To: Dave Navecky

Cc: Charlene Vaughn

Sent: Fri Mar 25 09:39:43 2011

Subject: PA language regarding KTC invited signatory status and termination authority

Dave,

I spoke with Charlene and she concurred with the discussion and proposal expressed on the conference call to keep the Knik Tribal Council as an invited signatory, but indicate in the PA that KTC does not have authority to terminate the PA AND that KTC's proposed amendments to the PA should be proposed to STB first before being presented to the other signatories for consideration. She said this approach is frequently taken in Section 106 agreement documents. We'd be happy to take a look at language that you develop in this regard, if you'd like.

Najah

Najah Duvall-Gabriel

Historic Preservation Specialist

Advisory Council on Historic Preservation

(o) 202.606.8585 (f) 202.606.5072

ngabriel@achp.gov