

El - 18461



"Krauthoefer, Tracie A (DNR)"
<tracie.krauthoefer@alaska.gov>

11/22/2010 03:01 PM

To Najah Duvall-Gabriel <ngabriel@achp.gov>, Richard Starzak
<rstarzak@icfi.com>

cc Dave Navecky <david.navecky@stb.dot.gov>, "Bittner,
Judith E (DNR)" <judy.bittner@alaska.gov>, "Gasek,
Douglas F (DNR)" <doug.gasek@alaska.gov>,
bcc

Subject RE: Alaska RR Extension to Port MacKenzie -Outline for
Revised PA

Hi Rick,

We too are still waiting on notes from the meeting and unfortunately due to the volume of projects we're reviewing this time of year, a week is not sufficient time to review anything without prior notice. An agreed upon timeline with all consulting parties would be most beneficial.

As Najah suggested, a conference call with the signatories and consulting parties would be a good next step so that STB can 1) introduce the revised draft PA, 2) point out and discuss the changes that were made based on consulting party comment, and 3) set up a timeline for review, comment and signature.

I am looking at the draft outline today and will submit my comments to you tomorrow morning.

Thank you

Tracie

Tracie Krauthoefer

Archaeologist, Review and Compliance

Alaska State Historic Preservation Office / Office of History and Archaeology

550 W 7th Ave, Ste 1310, Anchorage Alaska 99501-3565

907-269-8722 Phone 907-269-8908 Fax

tracie.krauthoefer@alaska.gov

From: Najah Duvall-Gabriel [mailto:ngabriel@achp.gov]

Sent: Friday, November 19, 2010 12:27 PM

To: Richard Starzak; Krauthoefer, Tracie A (DNR)

Cc: Dave Navecky; Bittner, Judith E (DNR); Gasek, Douglas F (DNR); Summerville, Alan; Bauer, David; Charlene Vaughn

Subject: RE: Alaska RR Extension to Port MacKenzie -Outline for Revised PA

Dear Rick,

We are still awaiting the notes from the Alaska consulting party meeting that was held last month. Have the notes been sent and I overlooked them in my email account or are the notes still being prepared?

Also, we recommend that STB hold a conference call of the signatories and consulting parties to discuss the draft PA and/or next steps in the Section 106 process so that STB can ensure that any concerns or comments from consulting parties are considered and addressed in the draft PA. The conference call would also facilitate the consulting parties' discussion of the PA stipulations amongst themselves, especially considering the proposed timeframe for concluding the Section 106 review for this

undertaking.

Are SHPO and other consulting parties aware of and comfortable with the mid-December timeframe for signing this PA? Have you received revisions and comments so far from consulting parties on the draft PA?

Sincerely,

Najah Duvall-Gabriel

Historic Preservation Specialist

Advisory Council on Historic Preservation

(o) 202.606.8585 (f) 202.606.5072

ngabriel@achp.gov

From: Starzak, Richard [mailto:RStarzak@icfi.com]

Sent: Friday, November 19, 2010 3:41 PM

To: Najah Duvall-Gabriel; Krauthoefer, Tracie A (DNR)

Cc: Dave Navecky; judith.bittner@alaska.gov; Doug Gasek; Summerville, Alan; Bauer, David

Subject: FW: Alaska RR Extension to Port MacKenzie -Outline for Revised PA

Dear Najah and Tracie,

As a reminder, it has been a week since the revised PA outline (attached) was sent to you, and we have not received your comments. As you know, execution of the PA is becoming a critical path item for project approval and the holidays are upon us. If you don't have any comments on the revised outline, then our preference would be to simply update the March 5, 2010 draft PA and send it out for your final review and signature. Based on Section 106 consultation since March 2010, only the following sections of the draft PA would need to be revised:

- Stipulation III. Tribal Consultation: to reflect specific roles and participation levels recently expressed by the tribes and Alaska Native organizations
- Stipulation IV. Identification: to reflect the eligibility finding of the dog sledding landscape and steps to complete the evaluation of the Dena'ina landscape
- Stipulation VIII. Reports: simplify the requirements.
- Signatories: update the participants per recent consultation.
- Attachment B: Plan for Tribal Consultation: update based on recent consultation efforts

Please let me know if you plan to send comments soon, and if I don't hear from you, I will follow up the week of November 29. We really need to get the PA executed in the first half of December before signatories disperse for the holidays.

Sincerely,

Rick Starzak

From: Starzak, Richard

Sent: Friday, November 12, 2010 8:24 AM

To: 'Najah Duvall-Gabriel'; 'Krauthoefer, Tracie A (DNR)'

Cc: Charlene Vaughn; 'judith.bittner@alaska.gov'; Gasek, Douglas F (DNR); 'David.Navecky@stb.dot.gov'; Summerville, Alan

Subject: Alaska RR Extension to Port MacKenzie -Outline for Revised PA

Hi Najah and Tracie,

Based on the comments received during our meeting on October 21, we have developed the attached outline to revise the Programmatic Agreement (PA) for the Extension of the Alaska Railroad to Port MacKenzie. For your reference, the draft PA that was in the Draft EIS is attached. Please let me know if you have any comments or revisions.

Thanks very much,
Rick

RICHARD STARZAK | Senior Architectural Historian/Technical Director | 213.627-5376 x 222 |
rstarzak@icfi.com | icfi.com

ICF INTERNATIONAL | 811 W. 7th Street, Suite 800, Los Angeles, CA 90017 | 213 627-6853 (f) or 213 840-7480 (m)

In January, ICF Jones & Stokes became ICF International.

Check out icfi.com/evolution.



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.