



Karen Bane
<karendbane@yahoo.com>
11/16/2007 05:10 PM

To Diana.Wood@stb.dot.gov
cc
bcc
Subject Re: Docket #34284 Medina county Texas

PEI-3226
FD-34284

ms wood i live approx 2 north on cr 265 from hwy 2676. if the srg is forced to use the MCEAA route we will be required to cross the sgr tracts 2 TIMES within a 1/2 mile to reach 911 care . if this is allowed the STB will have endangered my family and many other families on cr 265. i will have no choice but to ask the courts for a stay or to take other legal action. i pray that STB will not make this error in judgement and risk our lives for the MCEAA group. i have nothing to gain from the quarry but alot to lose from your decision. thank you in advance for your consideration.

Diana.Wood@stb.dot.gov wrote:

Thank you for your comments on the Draft Programmatic Agreement. I will incorporate your email into the public record; however, be advised that the PA only pertains to the Eastern Bypass Route and the MCEAA Route, or a modification of one of these two routes. It doesn't take into consideration any of the western routes. We had significant dealings with the Texas Historical Commission, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation and the Keeper of the National Register on the western routes and had to rule them out as options due to their historic significance. In addition, the Keeper requested extensive study of the area to the west, so the railroad completely dropped its support for any of the routes in this location. SGR now supports the Eastern Bypass.

Taking the rail line up Route 173, as you suggest, would require a completely new study. We are in the process of finalizing this study.

Where do you live in relation to the two eastern routes?

Language taken from Draft PA -

NOW THEREFORE, the STB, the Council, the SHPO and SGR agree that upon any final approval of the SGR Petition by the STB and selection of the Eastern Bypass Route and/or MCEAA Medina Dam Alternative and/or a modification of one of these two routes as the Approved Alignments, this Agreement shall be implemented in accordance with the following stipulations.

Please call me if you have any questions.

Diana F. Wood
Section of Environmental Analysis
Surface Transportation Board
395 E Street S.W. Room 1110
Washington, DC 20423
202-245-0302 (phone)
202-245-0454 (fax)

Karen Bane <karendbane@yahoo.com>
11/12/2007 11:52 AM

To woodd@stb.dot.gov
cc
Subject Docket #34284 Medina county Texas

ms wood the SGR should take the most direct route to the quarry. A few bleeding hearts are taking this action for their own personal gains. STB should consider the living before a few old buildings and some old Indian artifacts. This entire country was the Indians at one point. Moving the SGR many miles to the east will more likely disturb more Indian land than the shortest route. How many family are you willing to hurt to appease few people. My land is east and I bough for the quiet not to have a train drive through my back yard or to lower my land value. You can find Indian artifact all over this country and even at the new quarry site. Why not move the SGR to the west the land is flat and the route would be shorter to the quarry. Take the rail line up HWY 173 north then east to the quarry it is mostly farm land and cheaper to build and better for the environment. Consider this as my written comment to your letter dated 10/18/2007 docket # 34284 SGR Medina County, Texas. Thank for consideration Loren D Bane contact # 210 912 0333

Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
<http://mail.yahoo.com>

Be a better sports nut! Let your teams follow you with Yahoo Mobile. [Try it now.](#)