



Docket No. FD 30186

Angela Dansie to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/10/2013 02:30 PM

From: Angela Dansie <montanadansieclan@gmail.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Angela Dansie <montanadansieclan@gmail.com>

Jan 10, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Angela Dansie
PO Box 343
Dillon, MT 59725-0343
(406) 925-9403



Docket No. FD 30186

stephen arno to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/10/2013 02:06 PM

From: stephen arno <sfarno@msn.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to stephen arno <sfarno@msn.com>

Jan 10, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

I am very concerned about the environmental impact consequences of the Tongue River Railroad and massive coal strip-mines that are proposed. Not only the impacts in the Tongue valley, but also along the route of the coal trains to west coast ports. Are we going to sell our healthy environment on the cheap for the benefit of China?

Sincerely,
Stephen F. Arno,
5755 Lupine Lane
Florence, MT 59833

Sincerely,

stephen arno
5755 Lupine Ln
Florence, MT 59833-6503
(406) 273-6271



Docket No. FD 30186

Brian Leisz to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/10/2013 02:03 PM

From: Brian Leisz <fallcrk@yahoo.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Brian Leisz <fallcrk@yahoo.com>

Jan 10, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Brian Leisz
1856 Lake Creek Rd
Troy, MT 59935-9360
(406) 295-5204



Docket No. FD 30186

Stanley Rose to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/10/2013 01:58 PM

From: Stanley Rose <slrose@mtwi.net>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Stanley Rose <slrose@mtwi.net>

Jan 10, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Stanley Rose
1440 Mountain View Dr
Missoula, MT 59802-3358
(406) 549-6789



Docket No. FD 30186

tetyana gobenko to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/10/2013 01:37 PM

From: tetyana gobenko <tetyana.gobenko@gmail.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to tetyana gobenko <tetyana.gobenko@gmail.com>

Jan 10, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

tetyana gobenko
2350 E street
2nd Floor
Brooklyn, NY 11229
(646) 463-1890



Docket No. FD 30186

Mary Bjanesoy to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/10/2013 01:36 PM

From: Mary Bjanesoy <mary_molly8@hotmail.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Mary Bjanesoy <mary_molly8@hotmail.com>

Jan 10, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Mary Bjanesoy
114 Jesse Hall
Missoula, MT 59801-9373
(406) 274-5836



Docket No. FD 30186

Frances Wade to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/10/2013 12:59 PM

From: Frances Wade <tashina816@yahoo.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Frances Wade <tashina816@yahoo.com>

Jan 10, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

On principal I oppose eminent domain as a violation of Property Rights guaranteed by the 5th Amendment. No "just compensation" exists if a person does not want to sell his property. To grant such a ruling to a fossil fuel company whose only interest is the Bottom Line without regard for the people harmed in their rush to PROFITS, is obscene. Stop this atrocity NOW>

Sincerely,

Frances Wade
PO Box 59
Bigfork, MT 59911-0059
(406) 837-1002



Docket No. FD 30186

Kyrie Smith to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/10/2013 12:05 PM

From: Kyrie Smith <wolfcat87@yahoo.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Kyrie Smith <wolfcat87@yahoo.com>

Jan 10, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Kyrie Smith
95 Brandy Wine Ln
Great Falls, MT 59404-6118
(406) 952-4767



Docket No. FD 30186

Lisa Buehler to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/10/2013 11:04 AM

From: Lisa Buehler <chipmunk5@centurytel.net>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Lisa Buehler <chipmunk5@centurytel.net>

Jan 10, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Lisa Buehler
PO Box 917
Lakeside, MT 59922-0917
(406) 844-0258



Docket No. FD 30186

Clinton Nagel to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/10/2013 10:51 AM

From: Clinton Nagel <clint_nagel@yahoo.com>
To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov
Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>
Please respond to Clinton Nagel <clint_nagel@yahoo.com>

Jan 10, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Clinton Nagel
266 Hanley Ave Apt A
Bozeman, MT 59718-2031
(406) 600-1792



Docket No. FD 30186

jennifer kirby to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/10/2013 08:44 AM

From: jennifer kirby <jnnfr.krby@gmail.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to jennifer kirby <jnnfr.krby@gmail.com>

Jan 10, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

jennifer kirby
12100 Fred Ln
Missoula, MT 59808-8524
(406) 218-9821



Docket No. FD 30186

Trachet Hugues to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/10/2013 06:56 AM

From: Trachet Hugues <hugues_trachet@hotmail.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Trachet Hugues <hugues_trachet@hotmail.com>

Jan 10, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Trachet Hugues
2043 B rue de Lille
Sainghin en Mélantois, None 59262
0033000000000



Docket No. FD 30186

Joan Daniels to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/10/2013 04:54 AM

From: Joan Daniels <wildwestwools@gmail.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Joan Daniels <wildwestwools@gmail.com>

Jan 10, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Joan Daniels
192 Grey Eagle Rd
Stevensville, MT 59870-6462
(406) 218-8536



Docket No. FD 30186

Pamela Baillio to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/10/2013 02:24 AM

From: Pamela Baillio <pbaillio@yahoo.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Pamela Baillio <pbaillio@yahoo.com>

Jan 10, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Pamela Baillio
PO Box 161410
Big Sky, MT 59716-1410
(406) 995-2885



Docket No. FD 30186

Justin McCoy to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/10/2013 12:55 AM

From: Justin McCoy <yoccmnitsuj@gmail.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Justin McCoy <yoccmnitsuj@gmail.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Justin McCoy
624 Groff Ln
Stevensville, MT 59870-6949
(406) 777-2479



Docket No. FD 30186

Madison Ambrose Hall to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/10/2013 12:55 AM

From: Madison Ambrose Hall <maddielaurel@msn.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Madison Ambrose Hall <maddielaurel@msn.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Madison Ambrose Hall
1632 S 13th St W
Apt B
Missoula, MT 59801-4981
(406) 274-2930



Docket No. FD 30186

Solmaz Mohadjer to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/10/2013 12:24 AM

From: Solmaz Mohadjer <solmaz.mohadjer@gmail.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Solmaz Mohadjer <solmaz.mohadjer@gmail.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Solmaz Mohadjer
323 W Lamme St
Bozeman, MT 59715-3428
(202) 479-3254



Docket No. FD 30186

H McFadden to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/10/2013 12:24 AM

From: H McFadden <hbmcfadden@yahoo.com>
To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov
Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>
Please respond to H McFadden <hbmcfadden@yahoo.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

H McFadden
720 Haggerty Ln
Bozeman, MT 59715-9407
(777) 777-7777



Docket No. FD 30186

Jerry Voss to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/10/2013 12:24 AM

From: Jerry Voss <jvossphoto@gmail.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Jerry Voss <jvossphoto@gmail.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Jerry Voss
1837 S 13th St W
Missoula, MT 59801-4935
(406) 543-2323



Docket No. FD 30186

Tana Patterson to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 11:24 PM

From: Tana Patterson <tananmontana@hotmail.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Tana Patterson <tananmontana@hotmail.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Tana Patterson
1712 Lewis Ave
Billings, MT 59102-4045
(406) 245-1037



Docket No. FD 30186

Sally Stransky to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 11:24 PM

From: Sally Stransky <sallystransky@yahoo.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Sally Stransky <sallystransky@yahoo.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Sally Stransky
750 Conrad Dr # A
Kalispell, MT 59901-4614
(406) 755-2197



Docket No. FD 30186

Janie Martinez to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 10:54 PM

From: Janie Martinez <jgfm1969@att.net>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Janie Martinez <jgfm1969@att.net>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Janie Martinez
14210 Bateau Dr
Cypress, TX 77429-2553
(281) 807-9660



Docket No. FD 30186

Jenna Fallaw to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 10:54 PM

From: Jenna Fallaw <jenna_grimm@hotmail.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Jenna Fallaw <jenna_grimm@hotmail.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Jenna Fallaw
1309 S Montana Ave
Bozeman, MT 59715-5742
(406) 587-1973



Docket No. FD 30186

James Sweaney to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 10:54 PM

From: James Sweaney <jns@wispwest.net>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to James Sweaney <jns@wispwest.net>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

James Sweaney
PO Box 613
Gardiner, MT 59030-0613
(406) 848-7602



Docket No. FD 30186

Leo Libby to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 10:24 PM

From: Leo Libby <leoalibby@yahoo.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Leo Libby <leoalibby@yahoo.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Leo Libby
53 Appleway Dr Apt 1
Kalispell, MT 59901-1602
(406) 755-0144



Docket No. FD 30186

Dan Schaeffer to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 10:24 PM

From: Dan Schaeffer <schaeffer84@hotmail.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Dan Schaeffer <schaeffer84@hotmail.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Dan Schaeffer
730 Parkhill Dr
Billings, MT 59102-3518
(406) 534-4942



Docket No. FD 30186

Robin Vogler to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 09:54 PM

From: Robin Vogler <vogler_robin@yahoo.com>
To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov
Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>
Please respond to Robin Vogler <vogler_robin@yahoo.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Robin Vogler
958 Blue Lake Ln
Bigfork, MT 59911-6022
(406) 837-4543



Docket No. FD 30186

Cindie Jobe to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 09:24 PM

From: Cindie Jobe <ckjobe@montanasky.us>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Cindie Jobe <ckjobe@montanasky.us>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Cindie Jobe
PO Box 115
Kalispell, MT 59903-0115
(406) 257-0256



Docket No. FD 30186

Fern Moncrief to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 09:24 PM

From: Fern Moncrief <fern@thehumanxperience.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Fern Moncrief <fern@thehumanxperience.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Fern Moncrief
1765 S Alaska Rd
Belgrade, MT 59714-9043
(406) 451-2265



Docket No. FD 30186

Janet Dunham to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 09:24 PM

From: Janet Dunham <janet@cybernet1.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Janet Dunham <janet@cybernet1.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Janet Dunham
291 Owings Creek Rd
Hamilton, MT 59840-9539
(406) 363-3288



Docket No. FD 30186

Marianne Benezet to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 08:24 PM

From: Marianne Benezet <pmbenezet@yahoo.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Marianne Benezet <pmbenezet@yahoo.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Marianne Benezet
801 N Orange St Unit 306
Missoula, MT 59802-2945
(808) 651-0000



Docket No. FD 30186

Roberta Mccanse to: BlodgettK

01/09/2013 08:24 PM

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

From: Roberta Mccanse <robertamcc8@gmail.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Roberta Mccanse <robertamcc8@gmail.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Further, these trains come right through Libby where hundreds of people already have lung disease thanks to corporate greed

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and

safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Roberta Mccanse
270 Indian Pipe Rd
Libby, MT 59923-9729
(816) 868-4172



Docket No. FD 30186

Jennifer Macy to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 08:24 PM

From: Jennifer Macy <jnmacy@hotmail.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Jennifer Macy <jnmacy@hotmail.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Macy
PO Box 134
Emigrant, MT 59027-0134
(701) 202-0173



Docket No. FD 30186

Nancy Cochran to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 08:24 PM

From: Nancy Cochran <nqmontana@gmail.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Nancy Cochran <nqmontana@gmail.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of Mr. Ken Blodgett:

I would like to add my voice and signature to the effort to turn about the proposed building of the Tongue River railroad, and for the following reasons. I hope you and the board will consider them carefully. Thank you for reading this.

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic

substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Nancy Cochran
450 E Central Ave
Missoula, MT 59801-7025
(406) 728-2925



Docket No. FD 30186

Erin Barb to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 07:54 PM

From: Erin Barb <ebarb80@gmail.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Erin Barb <ebarb80@gmail.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Erin Barb
404 E Florence St
Missoula, MT 59801-4154
(618) 559-8779



Docket No. FD 30186

Gil Jordan to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 07:24 PM

From: Gil Jordan <ontherun@aboutmontana.net>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Gil Jordan <ontherun@aboutmontana.net>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Gil Jordan
PO Box 130373
Coram, MT 59913-0373
(406) 387-5814



Docket No. FD 30186

Ruth Grindinger to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 07:24 PM

From: Ruth Grindinger <ruthgrindinger@gmail.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Ruth Grindinger <ruthgrindinger@gmail.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Ruth Grindinger
1106 W Park St
255
Livingston, MT 59047-2955
(210) 912-1854



Docket No. FD 30186

Terry Riley to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 07:24 PM

From: Terry Riley <rileytar43@yahoo.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Terry Riley <rileytar43@yahoo.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Terry Riley
124 S Haggin Ave
Red Lodge, MT 59068-9027
(000) 000-0000



Docket No. FD 30186

Debbie Moon to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 07:24 PM

From: Debbie Moon <mamaluna19@gmail.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Debbie Moon <mamaluna19@gmail.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Debbie Moon
PO Box 486
Kalispell, MT 59903-0486
(406) 755-1602



Docket No. FD 30186

Randall Gloege to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 07:24 PM

From: Randall Gloege <rgloege@msubillings.edu>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Randall Gloege <rgloege@msubillings.edu>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Randall Gloege
343 N Rim Rd
Billings, MT 59102-1016
(406) 248-8161



Docket No. FD 30186

Rick Whitman to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 06:54 PM

From: Rick Whitman <whitmanrr@gmail.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Rick Whitman <whitmanrr@gmail.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Rick Whitman
730 Moccasin Trl
Billings, MT 59105-2745
(406) 281-0689



Docket No. FD 30186

Joe Petro to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 06:54 PM

From: Joe Petro <joegpetro@msn.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Joe Petro <joegpetro@msn.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Joe Petro
PO Box 18
Jefferson City, MT 59638-0018
(987) 765-4321



Docket No. FD 30186

Jessie Haun to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 06:54 PM

From: Jessie Haun <crazyperson90@gmail.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Jessie Haun <crazyperson90@gmail.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Jessie Haun
34 11th St N
Columbus, MT 59019-7236
(406) 321-4164



Docket No. FD 30186

Richard Lamma to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 06:24 PM

From: Richard Lamma <rick.lamma@gmail.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Richard Lamma <rick.lamma@gmail.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Richard Lamma
PO Box 817
Missoula, MT 59806-0817
(406) 721-1318



Docket No. FD 30186

Virginia Hanson to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 06:24 PM

From: Virginia Hanson <vhanson39@gmail.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Virginia Hanson <vhanson39@gmail.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Virginia Hanson
71 Wendt Way
Kalispell, MT 59901-6911
(406) 756-6102



Docket No. FD 30186

Emperor Bazooka to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 05:53 PM

From: Emperor Bazooka <corpus_delicious@hotmail.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Emperor Bazooka <corpus_delicious@hotmail.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Emperor Bazooka
721 Rollins St Apt 2
Missoula, MT 59801-4502
(406) 579-7872



Docket No. FD 30186

Patricia Simmons to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 05:24 PM

From: Patricia Simmons <psimmons@imt.net>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Patricia Simmons <psimmons@imt.net>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The Tongue Railroad does not meet requirements to be for public convenience and necessity. It is entirely for Arch Coal Company to sell their coal to China.

This proposed railroad ruins the largest industry in Montana - Agriculture, in Eastern Montana. This is a private property takings!

The railroad would ruin wildlife habitat - a major recreational activity in Montana.

The noise from the trains and the coal dust are terrible for all of the people who live in the area. In addition, approving this railroad, would impact many other towns in Montana as the number of coal trains will expand in Livingston, Bozeman, Helena, etc. They block emergency vehicles and other people needing to get to their work.

The impacts on human health from mercury at coal plants and global warming is outrageous,

Stop this insanity, stop favoring private businesses at the expense of the people of Montana and the Earth!

Sincerely,

Patricia Simmons
1123 Woodland Dr
Bozeman, MT 59718-2767
585-0101



Docket No. FD 30186

Carl Clark to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 05:23 PM

From: Carl Clark <ccarlrm@juno.com>
To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov
Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>
Please respond to Carl Clark <ccarlrm@juno.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Carl Clark
604 34th Ave NE
Great Falls, MT 59404-1117
(406) 761-8322



Docket No. FD 30186

James Pahre to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 04:53 PM

From: James Pahre <jpahre@netscape.net>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to James Pahre <jpahre@netscape.net>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

James Pahre
27 N Dutch Ln
Bridger, MT 59014-9551
(406) 585-8208



Docket No. FD 30186

Janine Baker to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 04:53 PM

From: Janine Baker <janineabaker@gmail.com>
To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov
Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>
Please respond to Janine Baker <janineabaker@gmail.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

I am writing to ask that you reject the proposed railroad line through the Tongue River Valley. This line will destroy good Montana ranchland and help promote global warming through the additional coal burned in Asia. As a Montana resident, I strongly oppose this railroad line.

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for

shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Janine Baker
2901 Annie St
Bozeman, MT 59718-2745
(406) 600-2350



Docket No. FD 30186

Lee Bridges to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 04:53 PM

From: Lee Bridges <leebridges@montana.com>
To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov
Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>
Please respond to Lee Bridges <leebridges@montana.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Lee Bridges
203 Clyde St
Missoula, MT 59802-5406
(406) 549-4543



Docket No. FD 30186

Matt Arno to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 04:23 PM

From: Matt Arno <marnowood@gmail.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Matt Arno <marnowood@gmail.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

If this coal is worth mining we should be mining it and burning it in the US to get the full economic benefits. The prices for the coal in Asia are way too low to justify the cost to US and Montana citizens.

Sincerely,

Matt Arno
PO Box 956
Bonner, MT 59823

Sincerely,

Matt Arno
PO Box 956
Bonner, MT 59823-0956
(406) 244-6265



Docket No. FD 30186

Linda Corbin to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 04:23 PM

From: Linda Corbin <jstamesngr@gmail.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Linda Corbin <jstamesngr@gmail.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states. Climate change would get a real big boost for the worst if this goes through.

Sincerely,

Linda Corbin
3303 River Rd
Laurel, MT 59044-8809
(406) 694-2299



Docket No. FD 30186

David Beale to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 04:23 PM

From: David Beale <bealerboy@gmail.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to David Beale <bealerboy@gmail.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

David Beale
534 Woodworth Ave
Missoula, MT 59801-6045
(720) 466-0921



Docket No. FD 30186

Sperry DesRosier to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 04:23 PM

From: Sperry DesRosier <sperryberry@hotmail.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Sperry DesRosier <sperryberry@hotmail.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Sperry DesRosier
PO Box 445
East Glacier Park, MT 59434-0445
(406) 226-4443



Docket No. FD 30186

Cheryl Kindschy to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 03:53 PM

From: Cheryl Kindschy <shan43@bresnan.net>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Cheryl Kindschy <shan43@bresnan.net>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Kindschy
801 11th Ave
Helena, MT 59601-3747
(406) 439-8156



Docket No. FD 30186

Mark Kersting to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 03:53 PM

From: Mark Kersting <kergraham@yahoo.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Mark Kersting <kergraham@yahoo.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Mark Kersting
314 N 1st St W
Missoula, MT 59802-3626
Lets get beyond Coal.



Docket No. FD 30186

James Petersen to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 03:53 PM

From: James Petersen <tentenkyong2008@gmail.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to James Petersen <tentenkyong2008@gmail.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

James Petersen
34574 White Coyote Rd
Arlee, MT 59821-9075
(406) 224-3122



Docket No. FD 30186

Charles Paden to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 03:53 PM

From: Charles Paden <padenc0302@msn.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Charles Paden <padenc0302@msn.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Charles Paden
114 Hitching Post Rd
Bozeman, MT 59715-8027
(406) 587-0302



Docket No. FD 30186

Sarah Jakober to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 03:53 PM

From: Sarah Jakober <jakobersarah@yahoo.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Sarah Jakober <jakobersarah@yahoo.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Sarah Jakober
121 Patti Ln
Darby, MT 59829-8641
(406) 821-3259



Docket No. FD 30186

Tom Sullivan to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 03:53 PM

From: Tom Sullivan <millietom1@msn.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Tom Sullivan <millietom1@msn.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Tom Sullivan
301 N Maple St
Townsend, MT 59644-2413
(406) 266-4918



Docket No. FD 30186

David Orndoff to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 03:23 PM

From: David Orndoff <dkorndoff@gmail.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to David Orndoff <dkorndoff@gmail.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

David Orndoff
715 Highland St
Helena, MT 59601-4327
(406) 449-3216



Docket No. FD 30186

Linda Blair to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 03:23 PM

From: Linda Blair <rockstarlinda1@gmail.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Linda Blair <rockstarlinda1@gmail.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Linda Blair
1905 S Johnson St
Missoula, MT 59801-5516
(406) 755-6588



Docket No. FD 30186

Anthony Szpilka to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 03:23 PM

From: Anthony Szpilka <aszpilka@carroll.edu>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Anthony Szpilka <aszpilka@carroll.edu>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also affect those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Anthony Szpilka
701 Glendale St
Helena, MT 59601-5915
(406) 447-5449



Docket No. FD 30186

Paul Lincoln to: BlodgettK

Sent by: **Greenpeace** <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

01/09/2013 03:23 PM

From: Paul Lincoln <paul.lincoln@rcn.com>

To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov

Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Please respond to Paul Lincoln <paul.lincoln@rcn.com>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Paul Lincoln
1817 4th St S
Great Falls, MT 59405-4147
(406) 452-0514



Fw: Docket No. FD 30186
Kenneth Blodgett to: Joshua Wayland

01/09/2013 02:44 PM

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 01/09/2013 02:44 PM -----

From: "ColleenM. Barcus" <cmbarcus@yahoo.com>
To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov
Date: 01/09/2013 01:53 PM
Subject: Docket No. FD 30186
Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would

require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

ColleenM. Barcus
PO Box 1123
Browning, MT 59417-1123
(406) 338-7217



Fw: Docket No. FD 30186
Kenneth Blodgett to: Joshua Wayland

01/09/2013 02:44 PM

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 01/09/2013 02:44 PM -----

From: Wade Sikorski <wds@midrivers.com>
To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov
Date: 01/09/2013 01:53 PM
Subject: Docket No. FD 30186
Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would

require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Wade Sikorski
PO Box 202
1511 Hwy 7
Baker, MT 59313-0202
(406) 775-6378



Fw: Docket No. FD 30186
Kenneth Blodgett to: Joshua Wayland

01/09/2013 02:45 PM

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 01/09/2013 02:44 PM -----

From: Littlebird Parks <birdfrog@blackfoot.net>
To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov
Date: 01/09/2013 01:53 PM
Subject: Docket No. FD 30186
Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would

require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Littlebird Parks
Hwy 83
Swan Lake, MT 59911
(414) 828-6631



Fw: Docket No. FD 30186
Kenneth Blodgett to: Joshua Wayland

01/09/2013 02:45 PM

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 01/09/2013 02:45 PM -----

From: Gerry Jennings <gerger1@bresnan.net>
To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov
Date: 01/09/2013 01:53 PM
Subject: Docket No. FD 30186
Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would

require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Gerry Jennings
317 Fox Dr
Great Falls, MT 59404-3835
(406) 452-3476



Fw: Docket No. FD 30186
Kenneth Blodgett to: Joshua Wayland

01/09/2013 02:45 PM

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 01/09/2013 02:45 PM -----

From: Mary Stoffregen <marystoffregen@yahoo.com>
To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov
Date: 01/09/2013 01:53 PM
Subject: Docket No. FD 30186
Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would

require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Mary Stoffregen
HC 42 Box 515
Busby, MT 59016-9701
Jobs created are not balanced by



Fw: Docket No. FD 30186
Kenneth Blodgett to: Joshua Wayland

01/09/2013 02:45 PM

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 01/09/2013 02:45 PM -----

From: Julie Telfer <jules.telfer@gmail.com>
To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov
Date: 01/09/2013 01:53 PM
Subject: Docket No. FD 30186
Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would

require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Julie Telfer
1589 Red Crow Rd
Victor, MT 59875-9790
(406) 961-3067



Fw: Docket No. FD 30186
Kenneth Blodgett to: Joshua Wayland

01/09/2013 02:46 PM

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 01/09/2013 02:46 PM -----

From: Leesa Brown <leesab2@hotmail.com>
To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov
Date: 01/09/2013 01:53 PM
Subject: Docket No. FD 30186
Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would

require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Leesa Brown
1134 Harrison St
Great Falls, MT 59404-6223
(406) 590-7702



Fw: Docket No. FD 30186
Kenneth Blodgett to: Joshua Wayland

01/09/2013 02:47 PM

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 01/09/2013 02:46 PM -----

From: "Clinton J. Sennett" <jimjsennett@aim.com>
To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov
Date: 01/09/2013 01:53 PM
Subject: Docket No. FD 30186
Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would

require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Clinton J. Sennett
1430 Joyland Road
Lewistown, MT 59457
(406) 366-2644



Fw: Docket No. FD 30186
Kenneth Blodgett to: Joshua Wayland

01/09/2013 02:47 PM

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 01/09/2013 02:47 PM -----

From: "Clinton J. Sennett" <jimjsennett@aim.com>
To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov
Date: 01/09/2013 01:53 PM
Subject: Docket No. FD 30186
Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would

require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Clinton J. Sennett
1430 Joyland Road
Lewistown, MT 59457
(406) 366-2644



Fw: Docket No. FD 30186
Kenneth Blodgett to: Joshua Wayland

01/09/2013 02:47 PM

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 01/09/2013 02:47 PM -----

From: "Clinton J. Sennett" <jimjsennett@aim.com>
To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov
Date: 01/09/2013 01:53 PM
Subject: Docket No. FD 30186
Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would

require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Clinton J. Sennett
PO Box 106
Lewistown, MT 59457-0106
(406) 366-2644



Fw: Docket No. FD 30186
Kenneth Blodgett to: Joshua Wayland

01/09/2013 02:48 PM

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 01/09/2013 02:47 PM -----

From: Jim Davis <bnbadenuf22@bresnan.net>
To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov
Date: 01/09/2013 01:53 PM
Subject: Docket No. FD 30186
Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would

require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Jim Davis
2004 Phoebe Dr
Billings, MT 59105-3743
(406) 259-3452



Fw: Docket No. FD 30186
Kenneth Blodgett to: Joshua Wayland

01/09/2013 02:48 PM

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 01/09/2013 02:48 PM -----

From: Anthony Sciolino <ans_1026@yahoo.com>
To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov
Date: 01/09/2013 01:54 PM
Subject: Docket No. FD 30186
Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would

require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Anthony Sciolino
Dove Ct
Bozeman, MT 59718
(406) 599-0539



Fw: Docket No. FD 30186
Kenneth Blodgett to: Joshua Wayland

01/09/2013 02:48 PM

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 01/09/2013 02:48 PM -----

From: Bryan Foster <bfooster@blackfoot.net>
To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov
Date: 01/09/2013 02:23 PM
Subject: Docket No. FD 30186
Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

This is another great example of our public property and domain being used for entirely "individual" (business or corporate) gain. The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic

substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Bryan Foster
500 Sloway
St. Regis, MT 59866-9761
(406) 822-1824



Fw: Docket No. FD 30186
Kenneth Blodgett to: Joshua Wayland

01/09/2013 02:48 PM

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 01/09/2013 02:48 PM -----

From: Miriam Ascher <brugmansia1@ymail.com>
To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov
Date: 01/09/2013 02:23 PM
Subject: Docket No. FD 30186
Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

I feel very strongly about this issue! Where does this end?

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic

substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Miriam Ascher
757 Lost Creek Dr
Kalispell, MT 59901-7095
(406) 212-1595



Fw: Docket No. FD 30186
Kenneth Blodgett to: Joshua Wayland

01/09/2013 02:49 PM

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 01/09/2013 02:49 PM -----

From: "Elizabeth A. Taylor" <montanabiz@gmail.com>
To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov
Date: 01/09/2013 02:23 PM
Subject: Docket No. FD 30186
Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would

require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth A. Taylor
19215 Houle Creek Rd
Frenchtown, MT 59834-9610
(406) 626-4048



Fw: Docket No. FD 30186
Kenneth Blodgett to: Joshua Wayland

01/09/2013 02:54 PM

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 01/09/2013 02:54 PM -----

From: Bonnie Goodman <bonnie@mordamart.com>
To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov
Date: 01/09/2013 02:53 PM
Subject: Docket No. FD 30186
Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would

require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Goodman
109 S Main St
Livingston, MT 59047-2623
(406) 222-0321



Fw: Docket No. FD 30186
Kenneth Blodgett to: Joshua Wayland

01/09/2013 02:54 PM

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 01/09/2013 02:54 PM -----

From: Kayla Nagle <kaylanagle@yahoo.com>
To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov
Date: 01/09/2013 02:53 PM
Subject: Docket No. FD 30186
Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would

require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Kayla Nagle
945 Ronan St
Missoula, MT 59801-3519
999999999



Fw: Docket No. FD 30186
Kenneth Blodgett to: Joshua Wayland

01/09/2013 02:55 PM

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 01/09/2013 02:54 PM -----

From: Regie Saxerud <eiger16@gmail.com>
To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov
Date: 01/09/2013 02:53 PM
Subject: Docket No. FD 30186
Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would

require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Regie Saxerud
506 1/2 S H St
Port Angeles, WA 98363-1828
(406) 223-6577



Fw: Docket No. FD 30186
Kenneth Blodgett to: Joshua Wayland

01/09/2013 02:55 PM

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 01/09/2013 02:55 PM -----

From: Jerry Kenyon <rideshorse1@q.com>
To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov
Date: 01/09/2013 02:53 PM
Subject: Docket No. FD 30186
Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would

require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Jerry Kenyon
2228 Rosewyn Ln
Billings, MT 59102-2148
(406) 656-1018



Fw: Docket No. FD 30186
Kenneth Blodgett to: Joshua Wayland

01/09/2013 02:55 PM

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 01/09/2013 02:55 PM -----

From: Donna Gleaves <donna0220@gmail.com>
To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov
Date: 01/09/2013 02:53 PM
Subject: Docket No. FD 30186
Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would

require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Donna Gleaves
1226 Wildflower Trl
Livingston, MT 59047-8981
(406) 333-2427



Fw: Docket No. FD 30186
Kenneth Blodgett to: Joshua Wayland

01/09/2013 02:55 PM

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 01/09/2013 02:55 PM -----

From: William Letson <mudchem2003@yahoo.com>
To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov
Date: 01/09/2013 02:53 PM
Subject: Docket No. FD 30186
Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would

require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

William Letson
171 Erickson Ct S
Billings, MT 59105-2348
(406) 696-0454



Fw: Docket No. FD 30186
Kenneth Blodgett to: Joshua Wayland

01/09/2013 02:55 PM

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 01/09/2013 02:55 PM -----

From: Toddy Perryman <tperryman@bitterroot.com>
To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov
Date: 01/09/2013 02:53 PM
Subject: Docket No. FD 30186
Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would

require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Toddy Perryman
1525 Silver Sage Ln
Corvallis, MT 59828-9573
(406) 961-0000



Fw: Docket No. FD 30186
Kenneth Blodgett to: Joshua Wayland

01/09/2013 02:55 PM

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 01/09/2013 02:55 PM -----

From: J Williams <jeannette@montana.com>
To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov
Date: 01/09/2013 02:53 PM
Subject: Docket No. FD 30186
Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would

require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

J Williams
10025 Oral Zumwalt Way
Missoula, MT 59803-9777
(406) 239-2049



Fw: Docket No. FD 30186
Kenneth Blodgett to: Joshua Wayland

01/09/2013 02:56 PM

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 01/09/2013 02:56 PM -----

From: "Clinton J. Sennett" <jimjsennett@aim.com>
To: BlodgettK@stb.dot.gov
Date: 01/09/2013 02:53 PM
Subject: Docket No. FD 30186
Sent by: Greenpeace <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>

Jan 9, 2013

Ken Blodgett

Dear Blodgett,

Attention of: Mr. Ken Blodgett

The environmental impact statement for the proposed Tongue River Railroad should analyze the following:

Public convenience and necessity: This proposed railroad is not for the "public convenience and necessity" as required for a body to get the power of eminent domain and condemnation authority. This railroad would serve only one coal company giant, Arch Coal, which intends to sell the coal to China and other Asian countries. The public neither needs nor would be inconvenienced by this rail line. Instead, the rail line would be a disservice to the community, the region and the planet, and would cause more than minor inconveniences.

Impacts on property values: The project would devalue property and infringe on property rights. This railroad would cause fires, spread invasive weeds, devalue property (especially riverfront property), make ranching and farming more difficult and expensive, split ranch land in half and separate fields from the river, and shift the liability of train crossings to the landowner.

Wildlife: The Tongue River Valley is rich in wildlife habitat and home to outstanding elk and mule deer populations as well as upland birds. Industrializing this valley with a railroad and the coal strip mine it serves would seriously degrade this excellent sportsman's resource.

Flooding: The railroad bed would act as an earthen dam and potentially worsen the flooding problems now experienced in Miles City in the winter with ice jams.

Noise: The loud trains would ruin the quiet enjoyment of recreation areas near Miles City.

Infrastructure, traffic and safety: Taxes would go up for residents in communities along the rail lines as the coal heads to coastal ports for shipment. The proposed railroad would increase coal train traffic substantially causing traffic delays, noise, diesel pollution and safety issues at crossings. Coping with the increased traffic would

require expensive over passes and safety crossing which are paid for primarily by local taxpayers.

Greenhouse gas and diesel pollution: The Tongue River Railroad would allow publicly owned coal that should stay in the ground to be burned in Chinese and other Asian countries' dirty power plants and will fuel intolerable, decades-long increases in carbon dioxide emissions. The greenhouse gas costs of Otter Creek coal go far beyond just burning the coal. It must be mined, hauled by trains fueled by diesel to West Coast terminals, shipped overseas by ships fueled by diesel, and then hauled in Asia to the plants. Increased mercury deposition from Asian coal fired plants will also impact those who catch and eat fish in Montana and other western states.

Sincerely,

Clinton J. Sennett
PO Box 106
Lewistown, MT 59457-0106
(406) 366-2644