

**Submission Number:** *FD-30186-000329*

**Received:** 9/23/2015 7:24:16 PM

**Commenter:** Colin Lauderdale

**Organization:** Northern Plains Resource Council

**State:** Montana

**Agency:** Surface Transportation Board

**Initiative:** Tongue River Railroad

**Submission Text**

Please accept the attached comments from members of the Western Organization of Resource Councils. These comments are 292 individual actions taken by 292 individuals, and should be considered as such. Thank you.

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Sandra Cole  
806 NE Pinebrook Ave  
Vancouver, WA 98684

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

janna piper  
po box 15072  
portland, OR 97293

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Luther E. Franklin  
19510 SE May Valley Rd.  
Issaquah, WA 98027

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

James Mulcare  
1110 Benjamin St  
Clarkston, WA 99403

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Tirso Moreno  
1050 S Hawthorne Ave  
Apopka, FL 32703

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Alethea Putnam  
11550 35th Ave NE  
Seattle, WA 98125

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Kerry Kovarik  
341 N 102nd St.  
Seattle, WA 98133

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad’s construction. Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust. The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” to the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

LeeAnn Richardson  
4105 Silverbell Way  
Bellingham, WA 98226

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the "no action alternative."

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say "no" the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

terry moore  
6707 no corte calabaza  
Tucson, AZ 85704

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years. If we survived that long!

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Janet J. Slobin  
2340 NW Brewer Lane  
Portland, OR 97229

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Berklee Robins  
14071 Chatham Court  
Lake Oswego, OR 97035

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Bob Zeigler  
1102 A Creekwood Ct SE  
Olympia, WA 98501

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Tracy Farwell  
PO Box 86449  
Portland, OR 97286

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Harmony Wolfe  
4196 Chestnut Street  
Riverside, CA 92501

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the "no action alternative."

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say "no" the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

debbi pratt  
3535 27th pl. w #505  
seattle, WA 98199

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

I live in Portland, Oregon, and can tell you that there is little support in Oregon and Washington for the export of coal and the chance of these plants being built is close to zero. As consciousness about the terrible climate impact of coal increases, the chances of coal export plants being approved drops further.

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Bill Bigelow  
2814 NE Mason St.  
Portland, OR 97211

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Lela Perkins  
15109 50th Ave SE  
Everett, WA 98208

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Celia Roberts  
PO Box 5  
Paonia, CO 81428

970-527-4457

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

David S. Nichols  
5107 NE Couch Street  
Portland  
Portland, OR 97213

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Karen Hedwig Backman  
31010 18th Ave S Apt 4  
Federal Way, WA 98003

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Ric Bernat  
4004 NE Failing St.  
Portland, OR 97212

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would disastrously contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Philip Wright  
650 Muckleshoot Circle  
La Conner, WA 98257

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Glenn Phillips  
1711 23rd Ave S Apt 217  
Seattle, WA 98144

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Linda Curry  
253 Crescent Dr.  
Kelso, WA 98626

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

I Sherwood  
1550 sv  
bham, WA 98229

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Ruth Darden  
900 University St  
Seattle, WA 98101

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Gary MacKenzie  
2349 Sapphire Ridge Way  
Reno, NV 89523

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Shaun Hubbard  
PO Box 805  
286 Flicker Road  
Friday Harbor, WA 98250

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Fred Morrison  
31211 Faircliff St.  
Hayward, CA 94544

(510) 435-5326

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the "no action alternative."

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say "no" the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

joseph herrin  
6602 e green lake way n  
seattle, WA 98103

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Jeb Taylor  
PO Box 882  
Buffalo, WY 82834

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Shirly Barron  
3450 N 800th E  
Castleford, ID 83321

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Darren Smith  
12195 Charles Pl  
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the "no action alternative."

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say "no" the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

m juz  
13 mock st  
middletown, NJ 07748

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Steve Allerton  
1945 N 9TH ST  
GRAND JUNCTION, CO 81501

970-241-5951

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Carol Ryan  
290 Shamrock Ln  
St. Maries, ID 83861

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Richard Bergner  
15515 Yokeko  
Anacortes, WA 98221

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

William Blair  
11561 W Colony St  
Boise, ID 83709

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Kimberly Winnie  
620 Daley St  
Apt 4  
Edmonds, WA 98020

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

brian longley  
525 NW Savage St.  
Grants Pass, OR 97526

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Conor Corkrum  
2230 Yale Ave E Unit D  
Seattle, WA 98102

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Sandra Perkins  
13226 42nd Avenue NE  
Seattle, WA 98125

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Nancy Terrill  
5 Cognac Court  
Grand Junction, CO 81507

970-242-0383

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Why are we permitting the export of coal at all? In this time of diminishing fossil fuel reserves. it is plain idiotic to export coal.

Thank you,

Robert Spies  
9271 SE Clay St  
Portland, OR 97216

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Brian Debasitis  
6283 Mahan Dr  
San Jose, CA 95123

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Suzanne Clarke  
PO Box 712  
Paonia, CO 81428

970-527-8280

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

David Edwards  
1607 East Bay Drive  
Olympia, WA 98506

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Debra Rehn  
5130 SE 30th Av. #9  
Portland, OR 97202

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Adina Parsley  
20420 Marine Dr, Apt P-2  
Stanwood, WA 98292

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Eric Edwards  
30435 234th Ave. SE  
Black Diamond, WA 98010

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

Coal is a dying source of energy. I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad’s construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Lehman Holder  
8916 NE 11th St  
Vancouver, WA 98664

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

David Westphal  
1520 Melrose  
Seattle, WA 98122

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Betty Walters  
13 Rex Circle  
Salida, CO 81201

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Mr. Shelley Dahlgren  
4449 242nd Ave. S. E.  
Issaquah, WA 98029

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the "no action alternative."

Coal is on a downward trend in all markets. It is foolish to destroy needed land for the future to gamble away the public good for the short term gain of a few..."studies suggest that globally a third of oil reserves, half of gas reserves and >80% of coal reserves would have to remain unused before 2050 for us to have a chance of staying below the 2oC limit. We examine the issue of unburnable carbon and stranded assets, in particular in which countries, industries and companies they are located, and find that at current prices, around \$100 trillion of assets could be 'carbon stranded', if not already economically so. The clear loser stands to be the coal industry, though we examine the economics and potential offered by carbon capture and storage...."ENERGY DARWINISM II:Why a Low Carbon Future Doesn't Have to Cost the Earth  
<https://ir.citi.com/hsq32Jl1m4alzicMqH8sBkPnbsqfnwy4Jgb1J2kIPYWIw5eM8yD3FY9VbGpK%2Baax>

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction. Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say "no" the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Carol Follett  
2605 LOakeridge lane  
Bellingham, WA 98226



Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Gary Albright  
21613 Echo Lake Rd  
Snohomish, WA 98296

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Eleanor Dowson  
2007 Millpointe Drive SE  
Mill Creek, WA 98012

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Enid Havens  
9858 26 Ave SW  
SEATTLE, WA 98106

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Brent Rocks  
1518 SW Upper Hall st  
Portland, OR 97201

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

, WA 98117

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Rashid Patch  
3100 Coolidge Ave.  
Oakland, CA 94602

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Don Singh-Cundy  
1900 E. Lopez Court  
Bellingham, WA 98229

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those who live along the rail line. Just say “no” to the Tongue River Railroad.

Not to mention that the Asian markets are not stable at this time and nobody knows when and how China will stabilize. There are too many assumptions to assure viability of this project and by the time the damage has been done to this area environmentally, economic factors may be unfavorable. At the very least, the project should be tabled at this time.

Thank you,

Valerie Patten  
1528 Rosita Road  
Pacifica, CA 94044

650-327-2013

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Suzanne O'Shea  
2253 E Irwin Way  
Eugene, OR 97402

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Patricia O'Leary  
6010 Westchester Park Drive, #301  
College Park, MD 20740

301-474-7506

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Janet Feiring  
7806 Birch Bay Dr. 712  
Blaine, VA 98230

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

kevin orme  
502 N 80th  
seattle, WA 98103

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Jon Kirkpatrick  
PO Box 1549  
Silverthorne, CO 80498

303-887-9422

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Nancy Kurtz  
139 Arches drive  
Moab, UT 84532

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

AnnaMarie Dill  
1104 N Avenue  
La Grande, OR 97850

541-403-2481

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Jack Stansfield  
16314 62nd Ave NW  
Stanwood, WA 98292

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the "no action alternative."

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say "no" the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Beedy Parker  
68 washington st  
Camden, ME 04843

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Susan Wechsler  
1820 NE Vine Ave  
Corvallis, OR 97330

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

John Brash  
PO Box 2261  
Friday Harbor, WA 98250

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

Docket #30186

Tongue River Railroad proposal.

I heard Warren Buffet or BNSF railroad recently sate that their Powder River lines were an "isolated resource" This due to declining demand for coal, in part due to low price of Natural Gas.

That being the case construction of yet another rail line to mine USA coal, at give away lease rates, being transported by rail and burned in places like China is a real stupid idea.

Coal simply should be left in the ground. Conserve Energy - wind and solar power!

Frankly it's too late to save the planet but another few million tons of coal to Asia power plants will only make disaster move a little closer.

No on the Tongue River Railroad (docket #30186)

Dennis Olmstead  
1124 S 11th Street  
Montrose, CO 81401

970-249-4099

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Judy Leggett  
Box 4272  
2111 daybreak rd  
Gillette, WY 82717

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Brenda Michaels  
15617 258th Pl. SE  
Issaquah  
Issaquah, WA 98027

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

marguery lee zucker  
1966 Orchard St.  
Eugene, OR 97403

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Georgia Johnston  
13935  
Secretariat Court  
Beaverton, OR 97008

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Colin Hermans  
42 Wild Turkey Lane  
Friday Harbor, WA 98250

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. The U.S. should lead the way in climate change and 1.3 billion tons of new coal is supporting the opposite. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Emily Geyman  
34 Oak Hill Drive  
Friday Harbor, WA 98250

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Annie Trost  
317 Harpeth Ridge Dr  
Nashville , TN 37221

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Rein van West  
453 County Road 5  
Ridgway, CO 81432

970-626-9702

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

John Hoffman  
PO Box 816  
Carbondale, CO 81623

970-963-1930

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Mauria Mcclay  
8125 NE Wygant  
Portland, OR 97218

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

David Ellenberger  
3010 Webster St.  
Wheat Ridge  
Wheat Ridge, CO 80033

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Gerry Milliken  
1441 Laree Ave  
Clarkdale, AZ 86324

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Most of these speculative ventures have not received final approval and are meeting stiff opposition from citizens effected. This may well be a railroad to nowhere for nothing except speculation from rail corporations.

Thank you,

Howard Shapiro  
7426 SE 21st Ave.  
Portland, OR 97202

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Brian Gunn  
2305 27TH PL SE  
Auburn, WA 98002

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Sharon Holford  
2580 SE Courtney, Apt. 5  
Milwaukie, OR 97222

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world, while the proposed port facilities in the Pacific Northwest are being opposed by citizens and State governments alike (so there is no assurance those facilities will ever be built).

The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad’s construction. Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Kimberly Olson  
PO Box 1731  
Yreka, CA 96097

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

joel mulder  
4312 29th ave w  
Seattle  
seattle, WA 98199

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Kathleen Davis  
425 E Tamarack Ct  
425 E Tamarack Ct  
Hermiston , OR 97838

5415677670

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Alan Mooers  
4113 Dumas ave  
Bellingham, WA 98229

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Mary Ann Sheets  
5015 35th. Av, #130  
Minneapolis, MN 55417

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Mike Conlan  
6421 139th Place NE, #52  
Redmond, WA 98052

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Erika Shriner  
8190 NE Baker Hill Rd.  
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Stan Parker  
2520 Jefferson st  
Bellingham, WA 98225

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Christopher Benjamin  
13190 Washington Drive #B  
Largo, FL 33774

7276003612

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Sammy Low  
20420 Marine Dr, Apt P-2  
Stanwood, WA 98292

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Jean Clark  
P.O.Box 220  
Pleasant Hill, TN 38578

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Lynn Lichtenberg  
501 Colby Ave.  
Everett, WA 98201

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Susan Peirce  
143 Eagle Feather Way  
Lyons, CO 80540

303-823-5913

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Elizabeth Ellis  
424 Mckinley Ave  
Aberdeen, WA 98520

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Howard Pellett  
5293 Guemes Island Road  
Anacortes, WA 98221

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Judith                      Landy  
north 30th  
Mount Vernon, WA, WA 98273

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Diane Puckett  
3225 200th Pl. SE  
Bothell, WA 98012

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Deanna Jenne  
PO Box 245  
Mesa, CO 81643

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Rebecca Buell  
1206 S 33rd Ave  
Yakima  
Yakima, WA 98902

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

NO, NO, NO A THOUSAND TIMES NO. I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad’s construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Marie Markesteyn  
PO Box 404  
Alcalde, NM 87511

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Elizabeth Erfurth  
1890 Tigertail Road  
Eugene, OR 97405

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Stephanie Walker  
638  
Los Ninos Way  
Los Altos, CA 94022

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Thomas Thorson  
12281 Reno Gulch Rd  
Custer, SD 57730

605 574-9021

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

George Keefe  
960 5th Avenue South #203  
Edmonds, WA 98020

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Judy Fjell  
707 Highland St  
Helena, MT 59601

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Susan Boyd  
farmer  
PO Box 6  
Union, OR 97883

541-562-5465

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Claire and Hilkka Egtvedt  
5904 96th St SW  
Mukilteo, WA 98275

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR) and support the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Mike Zotter  
1038 SE 29th Ave.  
Portland, OR 97214

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

David R. Hirst  
P.O. Box 898  
Tacoma, WA 98401

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Katherine Novak  
2000 Harris Avenue  
Bellingham, WA 98225

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Chad Brock  
PO Box 316  
PO Box 316  
New Plymouth, ID 83655

(208) 695-3468

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Jon Schulz  
PO Box 125  
Paonia, CO 81428

970-527-5809

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Temma Pistrang  
15603 36th Ave.NE  
Lake Forest Park, WA 98155

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Vera Feldman  
4601 Sagebrush Trail, Apt.103  
Austin, TX 78745

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Nancy Richardson  
93 California St  
Ashland, OR 97520

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Gloria Skouge  
326 NW 182nd Street  
Shoreline, WA 98177

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Gillian Malone  
PO Box 666  
Big Horn, WY 82833

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Kathryn Christian  
960 White Avenue  
Grand Junction, CO 81501

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Cathleen Lindsay  
2025 NE 100th St  
Seattle, WA 98125

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Dianna MacLeod  
720 Edgecliff Drive  
Langley, WA 98260

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the "no action alternative."

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say "no" the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

robert turner  
po bx 29035  
portland, OR 97296

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Dave Carman  
1695 Ponderosa Dr  
Ridgway, CO 81432

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

John Nettleton  
4311 SE 37th Ave. #21  
Portland, OR 97202

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Phyl Morello  
984 Harrison Ferry  
White Pine, TN 37890

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

William Broadbent  
75 Pecksland Road  
Greenwich, CT 06831

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Teresa Koschmeder  
20384 Alpine Dr  
Lawrenceburg, IN 47025

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Martha McGinnis  
5151 Burlingame Drive  
Dunwoody, GA 30360

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

William Jones  
3819 112th Ave4  
Allegan, MI 49010

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Randy Harrison  
4051 Wagner St  
Eugene, OR 97402

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Gabe Magtutu  
719 S 6th  
Montrose, CO 81401

970-249-3323

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Kathleen Lowney  
4541 244th Place SE  
Issaquah, WA 98029

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

James Van Dinter  
12088 W. Tidewater Dr.  
Boise, ID 83713

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

ELIZABETH SLOSS  
2228 40th Ave East  
Seattle, WA 98112

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Bill Dvorak  
17921 hwy 285  
Nathrop, CO 81236

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Carol Jolles  
4113 Evanston Ave N  
Seattle, WA 98103

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Hal Glidden  
419 Briar Rd  
Bellingham, WA 98225

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Tammy Domike  
823 Bluff Ave  
Hoquiam, WA 98550

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

DR DELWTON YOUNG  
7731 21st Ave NE  
Seattle, WA 98115

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Mlou Christ  
sw greenburg Rd  
tigard, OR 98052

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Helen Glidden  
419 Briar Rd  
Bellingham, WA 98225

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

No, No, NO! We want CLEAN air and water and this is NOT the way to get them.

STOP sacrificing every single thing we hold dear to the greedy fucking rich!

I ADAMANTLY oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the "no action alternative."

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say "no" the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Kelly McConnell  
11375 SW Erste  
Tigard, OR 97223

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Indigo Summer  
100 Melrose Ave E. #711  
Seattle, WA 98102

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the "no action alternative."

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say "no" the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Pamela Joy  
2929 SE Ocean Blvd  
bldg 113-4  
Stuart, FL 34996

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Mary Anne Rangel-Guerrero  
251 South Garden St.  
Bellingham, WA 98225

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Eric Holtz  
716 2nd St  
Kirkland, WA 98033

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Eric Lemberg  
2622 N.W. 95th St  
Seattle, WA 98117

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Ted Kozlowski  
1312 SW Texas St  
Portland, OR 97219

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

Please find the courage to do the right thing. It is the right thing to do and the only thing that matters in the long run for future generations.

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the "no action alternative."

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say "no" the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Stuart Halpern  
PO Box 6530  
Denver, CO 80206

303-321-8496

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Joe Wiederhold  
1405 Edwards St  
Bellingham, WA 98229

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Daniel Brant  
310 willow st.  
Port Townsend, WA 98368

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

mary neuendorf  
1933 Rockland Drive NW  
Salem, OR 97304

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts

from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26

additional coal trains per day.

Coal mining and transport is toxic to the environment. I hope you consider this, and consider that the train traffic impacts on the area would be considerable, in terms of coal powder pollution of the area.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Lois Johnston  
2429 N Normandie st  
Spokane, WA 99205

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Susanna Askins  
14640 NE Russell Ct  
Portland, OR 97230

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Sandra Smith  
329 17th Ave  
Seattle, WA 98122

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

James Rankin  
111 NW 11th  
Corvallis, OR 97330

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Jennifer Purcell  
218 Sea Pines Rd  
BELLINGHAM, WA 98229

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Anne Daletski  
1800 SE Camano Drive  
Camano Island, WA 98282

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Among these considerations are that China has ruled that they will no longer use coal for heat or industry after 2025. This ruling means that this Tongue River Mine will become financially insolvent by the middle to end of the 2020's.

For this reason alone you need to stop this railroad and the Tongue river Mine!

Thank you,

Richard Baerlocher  
17610 SE Stark St  
Portland, OR 97233

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the "no action alternative."

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say "no" the Tongue River Railroad.

From an environmental standpoint this makes absolutely no sense, why would you hasten your own destruction and allow for profit motives to steal your grandchildren's legacy and a right to life.

From an economic standpoint it makes even less sense, the huge investment that will have an extremely short useful life span. You would be destroying permanently not only the Created beauty of the land, but also the private property of those who have held it together through blizzards, drought, depressed markets and financial hard times. They have done this from their love of the land. The coal companies have no love for anything but their own bottomlines and the Chinese would be the end users don't even care enough about their own welfare to quit burning coal. They too are focused on economics and world power.

We can do better and we must start now. How will your grandchildren remember your legacy? Will they ask how could you let this happen or will they say where did you find the courage to do the right thing?

Thank you,

Dan Carpita  
39235 258th Ave SE  
Enumclaw, WA 98022

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Walter Jorgensen  
823 North St SE  
Tumwater, WA 98501

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Barbara Rosenkotter  
201 Crest Drive  
Deer Harbor, WA 98243

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

NO COAL TRAINS!!!

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad’s construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Allison Ostrer  
1107 E Denny Way  
Seattle, WA 98106

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

mark harbaugh  
3618 Hwy. 32  
Ashton, ID 83420

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the Otter Creek mine at a time with crumbling coal markets, domestically and worldwide. The Otter Creek mine is a connected impact of the railroad’s construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Oppose the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Nitz  
802 Front  
Missoula, MT 59802

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

William Franklin  
7016 Edmond  
Waco, TX 76710

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Helen Curtis  
8523 N Stevens Drive  
Spokane, WA 99208

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

James French  
Apt. #301  
9233 Interlake Avenue North  
Seattle, WA 98103

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Michael Goldberg  
29621 K St.  
Ocean Park  
Ocean Park, WA 98640

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Linda Glasier  
726 Frederick St SE  
Olympia, WA 98501

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

When do citizens have a say over the Companies laying waste to our land and water and endangering the health and even the lives of people? Something is badly broken and it need fixing.

Thank you,

GRACE NEFF  
800 SE 28TH  
ALBANY, OR 97322

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Arnold Reich  
6221 82nd Ave SE  
Mercer Island, WA 98040

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,  
Derek Gendvil  
Las Vegas, NV

Derek Gendvil  
9030 W. Sahara Ave. #360  
Las Vegas, NV 89117

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Charles Barber  
906 3rd St. NW  
Mandan, ND 58554

701-400-1922

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Charles Barber  
906 3rd St. NW  
Mandan, ND 58554

701-400-1922

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Joe Joyner  
2212 27th Ave ct  
Greeley, CO 80634

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Rebecca and Greg Durr  
2703 Riverview Dr.  
Aberdeen, WA 98520

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Sidne Baglini  
4103 Battles Lane  
Newtown Square, PA 19355

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Marisol Dominguez  
7840 Gilmore Rd  
Linden, CA 95236

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Archie Gress  
PO Box 49367  
Austin, TX 78765

512-350-4864

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Irene Derosier  
1811 29th Ave. W  
Seattle, WA 98199

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Mr and Mrs Richard N Huff  
4918 Nevada Avenue  
Fort Wayne, IN 46815

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Carl Carter  
3164 SE Timberlake Dr  
Hillsboro, OR 97123

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Paul Coe  
12855 E. Border Street  
12855 E. Border Street  
Mayer, AZ 86333

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

S.F. Brown  
4611 159th St. S.W.  
Lynnwood, WA 98087

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Tom Oken  
PO Box 8068  
Aspen, CO 81612

970-923-5274

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Lin Provost  
42nd Ave S  
Seattle, WA 98144

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Ellen Atkinson  
2115 Gridley Ave  
Reno, NV 89503

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Bob Eugene  
121 Woodard Ed  
Newport, WA 99156

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Joyce Grajczyk  
12026 SE 216th St.  
Kent, WA 98031

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Patty Corbett  
1133 Harrison St  
Missoula, MT 59802

4063278757

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Ardeth L. Weed  
520 Hemlock Way, #4  
Edmonds, WA 98020

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Sarah Howard  
916 Stewart Ct.  
New Orleans, LA 70119

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Gregory Esteve  
3655 North Scenic Highway  
Lake Wales, FL 33898

863-676-8015

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Tika Bordelon  
1400 Hubbell Pl.  
Seattle, WA 98101

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the "no action alternative."

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say "no" the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

joe symons  
3222 pt lawrence rd  
olga, WA 98279

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

David Reed  
2341 County Rd 100  
Carbondale, CO 81623

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Betsy Leonard  
71 River View Place  
Parachute, CO 81635

970-285-9874

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Paul Van Steenberghe  
287 Fourth St.  
Old Town, ME 04468

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Carol Hiltner  
700 NW 185th St Apt 404  
Shoreline, WA 98177

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Art Birtkmeyer  
2802 Northlake Ave.  
Longview, WA 98632

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Esther Faber  
2716 Williams  
Bellingham, WA 98225

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Tina Corbett  
450 Colorado Ave  
Whitefish, MT 59937

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Sally Mackey  
2127 SW 162nd St.  
Burien, WA 98166

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Mary Ann Kirsling  
p.o. box 3063  
Pasco, WA 99302

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Chris Munp  
153 Kildeer rd  
Sandpoint, ID 83864

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Danielle Montague-Judd  
1820 Fox Run Rd.  
Wanship, UT 84017

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Judith Reilly  
13556 Paoletti  
Caldwell, ID 83607

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Randall Webb  
2328 NW Glisan St.#10  
Portland, OR 97210

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Barb Scavezze  
Amhurst Ct SE  
Olympia, WA 98501

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Ineke Deruyter  
9322 N Oswego Ave  
Portland, OR 97203

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Tom Ricketts  
40033 CEDAR DR  
40033 Cedar Drive  
Paonia, CO 81428

970-527-6367

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Melba Dlugonski  
6735 SE 78th  
Portland, OR 97206

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Elyette Weinstein  
5000 Orvas Ct SE  
Olympia, WA 98501

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

We don't need coal. This RR will only benefit the mine owners, and will harm everybody in the world, and particularly children who live along its route who suffer from asthma.

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Nancy Schimmel  
1639 Channing Way  
Berkeley, CA 94703

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Carole Ebner  
142 Lafayette Circle  
Cincinnati, OH 45220

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Lynn Colson  
4740 Austin ct  
Bellingham, WA 98229

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Larry Richardson  
7603 SE Thompson Ct.  
Milwaukie, OR 97222

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Ms. Meryle A. A. Korn  
2821 Huron St.  
Bellingham, WA 98226

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Carol Lapetino  
6441 Loomes Ave.  
Downers Grove, IL 60516

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Christopher Brunje  
30494 Camas Swale Rd.  
Creswell, OR 97426

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Lynda Alfred  
18525 Spring Canyon  
Montrose, CO 81401

970-249-1814

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Sigrid Asmus  
4009 24 Ave W  
Seattle, WA 98199

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Juli ames-Curtis  
11936 Hay Creek rd  
Custer, SD 57730

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Laurie Puca  
16 Thornwood Dr  
New City, NY 10956

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Joanna Taylor  
601 Hemlock St  
Buffalo, WY 82834

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day. What happened to the transparency and the real truth in what may become reality?

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad. It is not worth the cost to these families, to Earth, and to our global commons. It appears that the only one benefiting is the coal company.

Thank you,

J. Venneman  
4707 Lynn Lea Road  
Louisville, KY 40216

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Kimberly Leeper  
3936 SW 97th St.  
Seattle, WA 98136

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Chuck Heywood  
2131 Pleasant Hills Court  
Ridgway, CO 81432

970-626-9840

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Susan L D Shamblin  
1230 Kinglet Drive  
Morganton, NC 28655

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Jeanne Poirier  
P.O. Box 228  
Cashmere, WA 98815

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Peggy Page  
24324 Miller Rd  
Stanwood, WA 98292

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Bob Simmons  
1124 Toledo St  
Bellkngham , WA 98229

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Tracy Ouellette  
14078 MacTaggart Ave  
Bow  
Bow, WA 98232

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Marilou Cheff  
50806 Cheff Trl  
Ronan, MT 59864

4066443346

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

The construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), is a BAD idea, and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the "no action alternative."

Coal mining is on it's way out!! American's must make new choices about where we get our energy needs met. And there are many options that do not harm the environment the way coal does.

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say "no" the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

H.M. Sustaita  
505 River Road  
Eugene  
Eugene, OR 97404

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

John Brinkley  
2582 W 28th Ave  
Eugene, OR 97405

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

We do not need to be putting efforts into developing more coal. There are so many better alternatives.

Clark Elms  
8322 9th Ave NW  
Seattle, WA 98117

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the "no action alternative." Do NOT condemn private landowners, many of whom are in a more sustainable business, to loss of income and property value.

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are in a slump in the US and worldwide. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction. Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement has incorrect assumptions that there are domestic coal markets for high-sodium coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies who pay a falsely lowered royalty to the taxpayer (and Asian energy users) while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line and in the intermountain west.. Just say "no" the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Mary McCutchan  
624 1/2 Shadowbrook Dr  
Grand Junction, CO 81504

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Joyce Tattershall  
3746 H Street Road  
Blaine, WA 98230

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

jonnell covault  
14114 SE Redwood Ave  
Portland, OR 97267

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Max Jones  
1601 J Street  
Bellingham, WA 98225

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Mark M Giese  
1520 Bryn Mawr Ave  
Racine, WI 53403

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

Coal is decidedly yesterday's energy source, and new money for coal transportation is a waste of money. I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the "no action alternative."

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say "no" the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Michael Marquardt  
580 Reeder Mesa Rd  
Whitewater, CO 81527

970-263-9065

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Mary Caron  
1001 14th St NW  
Rochester, MN 55901

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Randy Tashjian  
1031 Trafalger Drive  
Glendale, CA 91207

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Richard Niemeier  
Ridgway, CO

Richard Niemeier  
2220 Ponderosa Dr  
Ridgway, CO 81432

970-964-5325

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Heather Chapin  
7126 N.Interstate Ave  
Portland, OR 97217

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Carol Peterson  
8901 NE 59th St.  
Vancouver, WA 98662

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Diane Helt  
3744 E 58 Street  
Tulsa, OK 74135

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Carol Lynn Harp  
24430 Morgan Street  
PO Box 36  
Black Diamond, WA 98010

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Greg Steuck  
3005 Sunset Dr.  
Golden, CO 80401

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the "no action alternative."

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say "no" the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,  
Jeff Black

Jeff Black  
1815 W. Jefferson  
Boise, ID 83702

208-422-0566

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Sandy Brown  
800 Hwy 238  
Jacksonville, OR 97530

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Alexandra Tufnell  
24226 26th Ave SE  
Bothell, WA 98021

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Sarah Hafer  
12111  
Vancouver, WA 98684

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Joy Gohl  
725 Snowden Rd  
White Salmon, WA 98672

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Celinda Miller  
PO Box 812  
Halfway, OR 97834

541-742-6499

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Amy Mower  
PO Box 2004  
Maple Falls, WA 98266

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

Those of us who live in the Pacific Northwest have been saying NO to coal trains for years. We have already stopped one company, and I am not looking forward to protesting yet another set of coal trains running through our communities.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad. Residents don't want to have to protest to say it for you, but I can guarantee you, we will if we are forced.

Thank you,

C J Matthews  
2879 Longbow Loop  
Las Cruces, NM 88011

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Nick Barcott  
1318 N. Lake Stickney Dr.  
Lynnwood, WA 98087

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Elizabeth Erfurth  
1890 Tigertail Road  
Eugene, OR 97405

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Dennis Lenz  
82 Frankel Road  
Massapequa, NY 11731

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Joel Kay  
10707 SE Stanley Ave  
Milwaukie, OR 97222

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Joseph Hayes  
185 Rainbow Drive  
Grand Junction, CO 81503

970-263-7474

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Sarah Delaloye  
403 Mirada Ct  
Grand Junction, CO 81507

970-241-1946

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Charla Brown  
PO Box 170  
Crested Butte, CO 81224

9703497703

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Suzanne Foster Porter  
2646 Dahlia Dr.  
Grand Junction, CO 81506

970-242-1664

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Paul Dukes  
594 Gold Mountain Drive  
Sagle, ID 83860

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the "no action alternative."

Get into this year not a century ago. Coal is killing the planet one mercury molecule at a time. If you allow this railroad, then the robber barons will want to put the nail in the coffin of wild salmon in Puget Sound by building GPT at Cherry Point in Washington. No, simply put, NO.

Also, the American public has been raped on the profits of the coal in a 'give a way' scheme that is about to stop if justice is to be served. So try to look at the big picture, I know that is not what you are tasked, or used to doing. The big picture includes the Future. You know the years beyond our lifetime. Take a moment and plan for that, please.

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction. Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Let me tell you trains impact millions of people, animals and they are destroying quality of life for those that live, work, or drive and wait, by railroads and their crossings.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say "no" the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Laura Brakke  
585 Pleasant Bay Rd

Bellingham, WA 98229

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Nancy L Young  
827-O Via Alhambra  
Laguna Woods, CA 92637

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Katrina Davis  
1686 Amador Ct  
Montrose, CO 81401

970-471-4515

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the "no action alternative."

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day. There are plenty of clean energy alternatives including solar, wind, and geothermal to supply the future energy needs domestically and abroad.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say "no" the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,  
Patrick D. O'Meara, DO

Patrick OMeara  
1597 Stellar Place  
Montrose, CO 81401

970-240-9060

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Jan Dank  
433 16th St  
Bellingham, WA 98225

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Missy Rogers  
7567 2200 RD  
DELTA, CO 81416

970-874-5520

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Nathan Robinson  
1310 N 20th St  
Grand Junction, CO 81501

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad’s construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute substantially to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day!

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Please, just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Emilie Marlinghaus  
753 SW Silverlake Blvd.  
Bend, OR 97702

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Rachel Budelsky  
505 Ridgeway Drive  
Bellingham, WA 98225

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the "no action alternative."

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say "no" the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

kathy schroeder  
6638 31st ave  
kenosha, WI 53142

Ken Blodgett  
Surface Transportation Board  
395 E Street, S.W.  
ATTN: Office of Environmental Analysis Docket No. 30186  
Washington, DC 20423

Dear Mr. Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR), and urge the Surface Transportation Board (STB) to take the “no action alternative.”

The railroad proposes to haul coal from the speculative Otter Creek mine at a time when coal markets are crumbling both domestically and around the world. The Otter Creek mine is clearly a connected impact of the railroad's construction.

Otter Creek is the largest proposed coal mine in the United States, and represents 1.3 billion tons of coal that, if mined, would contribute to climate change for the next 55 years.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes zero train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could transport 18-26 additional coal trains per day.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The TRR and coal export only benefit private coal companies and Asian energy users while it hurts those of us who live along the rail line. Just say “no” the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you,

Gary Weidner  
51477 KE Rd.  
Mesa, CO 81643