

E1 - 20736



RE: FD 35523 Flat Rock River Bridge MOA
Louis E. Gitomer to: 'David.Navecky@stb.dot.gov'

12/17/2014 06:14 PM

History: This message has been replied to.

Dave - CSXT believes that a 100 foot radius is too expansive, but that a 50-foot radius is acceptable. Is this compromise acceptable? Lou

Louis E. Gitomer
600 Baltimore Avenue, Suite 301
Towson, MD 21204
(410) 296-2250
Lou@lgrailaw.com

This email message may contain information that is privileged and/or confidential. The information contained in this email message is intended only for use of the person to whom it is addressed. If the reader of this message is not (1) the intended recipient or (2) the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone (410) 296-2250 or email at Lou@lgrailaw.com, and destroy the original message. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----

From: David.Navecky@stb.dot.gov [mailto:David.Navecky@stb.dot.gov]
Sent: Thursday, December 04, 2014 8:00 AM
To: Louis E. Gitomer
Subject: Re: FD 35523 Flat Rock River Bridge MOA

Lou -

I have spoken with Cathy Draeger-Williams at the Indiana SHPO's office about your changes. We concur with the deletion of "dating on or before December 31, 1939." However, we both feel that defining the area subject to a stop work order in the event of an unanticipated discovery to the land within a 25-foot radius of the discovery to be too loose. The areal extent of an unanticipated discovery could readily extend beyond a 25-foot radius; and therefore, that radius could provide insufficient protection to the subject discovery. Cathy and I agree that a 100-foot radius would be more appropriate. The 100-foot distance mirrors that used by Indiana to define when a cemetery plan is required (i.e., when ground-disturbing activities would occur within 100-feet of a cemetery).

If these revisions are acceptable to you, I'll circulate the MOA for signature.

Thanks,

Dave

Dave Navecky
Office of Environmental Analysis
Surface Transportation Board
395 E Street SW
Washington, DC 20423
202-245-0294
www.stb.dot.gov

From: "Louis E. Gitomer" <Lou@lgraillaw.com>
To: "David.Navecky@stb.dot.gov" <David.Navecky@stb.dot.gov>,
Date: 11/28/2014 11:05 AM
Subject: FD 35523 Flat Rock River Bridge MOA

Dave - Attached is a redline of the MOA for the Flat Rock River Bridge. With these minor changes the MOA is acceptable to CSXT. If you have any questions or disagree with the changes, let me know. Thanks. Lou

Louis E. Gitomer
600 Baltimore Avenue, Suite 301
Towson, MD 21204
(410) 296-2250
Lou@lgraillaw.com

This email message may contain information that is privileged and/or confidential. The information contained in this email message is intended only for use of the person to whom it is addressed. If the reader of this message is not (1) the intended recipient or (2) the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone (410) 296-2250 or email at Lou@lgraillaw.com, and destroy the original message. Thank you.

[attachment "20141126 Draft MOA Redline.doc" deleted by David Navecky/STB]