

E1-17990



"Blythe Semmer"
<bsemmer@achp.gov>

11/25/2009 10:07 AM

To "Starzak, Richard" <rstarzak@jsanet.com>,
<David.Navecky@stb.dot.gov>
cc "Bittner, Judith E (DNR)" <judy.bittner@alaska.gov>

bcc

Subject RE: Revised Alaska Railroad Northern Rail Extension PA

Rick and Dave:

Please incorporate the following minor edits (for clarity or form) in the final PA:

XIII.B. revise to read: "A federal agency that is not a Signatory may use this Agreement to satisfy its Section 106 responsibilities for this Undertaking and the selected alternative by notifying the Signatories in writing that it agrees to the terms of this Agreement. An amendment need not be executed to add the federal agency requesting to use this Agreement, and to grant it all the rights and responsibilities stated therein."

In the preamble, page 1, second clause, add as underlined: "that the proposed project is an Undertaking subject to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (Section 106), 16 U.S.C. § 470(f), which may..." This means that the full citation for the NHPA does not have to be repeated in the following whereas clause.

On page 3, clause includes "WHEREAS, the STB has deferred..." Add or revise to include a short statement referencing that the Signatories have agreed to develop this Agreement in accordance with 36 CFR 800.14(b)(1)(ii) to provide for the phased identification of historic properties and evaluate effects to historic properties caused by construction of the Undertaking that cannot be fully assessed at this time.

In the "Now, therefore" clause concluding the preamble, stop the text at "...on historic properties." Delete remainder of sentence.

For signatures, delete the "Cooperating agencies" and "Other federal agencies" subheadings from the Signatories list.

Remember, if you plan to execute signature pages in counterpart, each Signatory would have their own page with the complete Agreement title.

I look forward to seeing the revised document. I am in today should you have questions.

Thanks,
Blythe

Blythe Semmer
Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
202.606.8552

From: Starzak, Richard [mailto:rstarzak@jsanet.com]

Sent: Monday, November 23, 2009 8:24 PM
To: Bittner, Judith E (DNR); Ludwig, Stefanie L (DNR); Blythe Semmer
Cc: David.Navecky@stb.dot.gov; Summerville, Alan; Bauer, David
Subject: Revised Alaska Railroad Northern Rail Extension PA

Hi Judy, Stefanie and Blythe,

This version of the PA shows the changes made since this morning's call and from comments from Fort Wainwright. If Fort Wainwright's Commander is unable to commit to a signature by Wednesday, then they will move to invited signatory status, same as the 354th.

Please use this version for your final review tomorrow morning. For convenience, I will also send you the Word version (12 MB) via our secure ftp site.

We will follow up with you tomorrow. I left voice mails for BLM and FRA to expect to get a document for signing in the next 24-48 hours.

Thank you so much for being on the call this morning, and helping us get this ready to wrap up.

Rick

Richard Starzak
Senior Architectural Historian/Principal

ICF Jones & Stokes

811 West 7th Street, Suite 800 • Los Angeles, CA 90017
p 213-627-5376 x222 | f 213-627-6853 | c 213-840-7480

e rstarzak@icfi.com

jonesandstokes.com | icfi.com

Visit our resource for the Obama Administration at: www.icfi.com/transition

Passion. Expertise. Results.

Please consider our environment before printing this message