

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

CHAPTER 1: PURPOSE AND NEED FOR ACTION	1-1
1.1 BACKGROUND	1-2
1.2 PURPOSE OF PROPOSED ACTION	1-3
1.3 NEED FOR PROPOSED ACTION	1-4
1.4 PROPOSED ACTION	1-4
1.5 PROJECT CONTEXT	1-5
1.6 AGENCY RESPONSIBILITIES	1-8
1.6.1 Lead Agency	1-9
1.6.2 Cooperating Agencies	1-9
1.6.3 Other Agencies	1-10
1.7 SCOPING AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT	1-12
1.8 EIS ORGANIZATION AND FORMAT	1-14
1.9 REQUEST FOR COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT EIS	1-16
1.10 PUBLIC MEETINGS	1-17
 CHAPTER 2: PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES	 2-1
2.1 ALTERNATIVES DEVELOPMENT	2-1
2.2 ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED IN SEA’S ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW	2-2
2.2.1 Proposed Action (Applicants’ Preferred Alternative)	2-2
2.2.1.1 Proposed Action Construction	2-6
2.2.1.2 Proposed Action Operations	2-6
2.2.2 Original Taylor Bayou Crossing	2-11
2.2.3 Alternative 1C	2-11
2.2.4 Alternative 2B	2-14
2.2.5 Alternative 2D	2-14
2.2.6 No-Build Alternative	2-17
2.2.7 No-Action Alternative	2-18
2.3 ALIGNMENTS AND ALTERNATIVES ELIMINATED FROM DETAILED STUDY	2-19
2.3.1 Alignment 1A	2-19
2.3.2 Alignment 2	2-19
2.3.3 Alignment 2A	2-21
2.3.4 Alignment 2C	2-21
2.3.5 Alignment 3	2-21
2.3.6 Alignment 4	2-22

CONTENTS (continued)

	<u>Page</u>
2.3.7 Construction of New Rail Line in SH 225 Corridor to Access Alignments 3 or 4	2-22
2.3.8 Construction of New Rail Line Along Fairmont Avenue	2-23
2.3.9 Rate Negotiation with Union Pacific	2-23
2.4 COMPARISON OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES	2-23
2.4.1 Rail Operations and Rail Operations Safety	2-23
2.4.1.1 Rail Operations	2-23
2.4.1.2 Rail Operations Safety	2-25
2.4.2 Hazardous Materials Transportation Safety	2-26
2.4.3 Pipeline Safety	2-26
2.4.4 Grade Crossing Delay and Safety	2-26
2.4.4.1 Grade Crossings–Traffic Delays	2-26
2.4.4.2 Grade Crossings–Traffic Safety	2-26
2.4.5 Noise and Vibration	2-27
2.4.6 Climate and Air Quality	2-27
2.4.7 Water Resources	2-27
2.4.7.1 Groundwater	2-27
2.4.7.2 Floodplains	2-27
2.4.7.3 Surface Waters	2-28
2.4.7.4 Wetlands	2-28
2.4.8 Biological Resources	2-28
2.4.8.1 Plant Communities	2-28
2.4.8.2 Fish and Wildlife Resources Including EFH	2-29
2.4.8.3 Protected Species	2-29
2.4.9 Topography, Geology and Soils	2-29
2.4.10 Land Use	2-29
2.4.10.1 Coastal Zone Management	2-30
2.4.10.2 Prime Farmlands	2-30
2.4.11 Socioeconomics	2-30
2.4.11.1 Demographic and Employment	2-30
2.4.11.2 Public Services	2-30
2.4.11.3 Recreation	2-31
2.4.11.4 Aesthetics	2-31
2.4.12 Energy	2-31
2.4.13 Hazardous Materials/Waste Sites	2-32
2.4.14 Cultural Resources	2-32
2.4.15 Navigation	2-32
2.4.16 Environmental Justice	2-32
2.4.16.1 Noise	2-32
2.4.16.2 Hazardous Materials Transportation	2-32
2.4.16.3 Grade Crossings	2-33
2.4.17 Section 4(f) Evaluation	2-33

CONTENTS (continued)

	<u>Page</u>
CHAPTER 3: AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	3-1
3.1 RAIL OPERATIONS AND RAIL OPERATIONS SAFETY	3-1
3.1.1 Background	3-1
3.1.2.1 Rail Operations Context	3-2
3.1.2.2 Existing Conditions	3-5
3.1.2.3 Build Alternatives	3-6
3.1.2.4 No-Build Alternative	3-9
3.1.2.5 No-Action Alternative	3-10
3.2 HAZARDOUS MATERIALS TRANSPORTATION SAFETY	3-11
3.2.1 Background	3-11
3.2.2 Existing Conditions	3-12
3.2.2.1 Existing Industrial Activities in the Project Area that Handle Large Quantities of Hazardous Materials	3-12
3.2.2.2 Existing Hazardous Materials Rail Traffic in the Project Area	3-13
3.2.3 Existing Emergency Management Capabilities	3-14
3.2.3.1 Emergency Management Capabilities Associated with Local Agencies and Other Parties	3-15
3.2.3.2 Emergency Management Capabilities within the Railroads ..	3-16
3.3 PIPELINE SAFETY	3-17
3.3.1 Background	3-17
3.3.2 Existing Conditions	3-18
3.3.2.1 Pipeline Locations	3-18
3.3.2.2 Accident Frequencies	3-19
3.4 GRADE CROSSING DELAY AND SAFETY	3-20
3.4.1 Background	3-20
3.4.2 Existing Conditions	3-21
3.4.2.1 Proposed Action and Alternatives	3-21
3.4.2.2 No-Action Alternative	3-24
3.4.3 Emergency Vehicle Response	3-26
3.5 NOISE AND VIBRATION	3-27
3.5.1 Background	3-27
3.5.2 Definition of Railroad Noise	3-28
3.5.3 Existing Conditions	3-28
3.5.3.1 Ambient Noise Measurements for Build Segments	3-28
3.5.3.2 Noise Environment for Build Segments	3-29
3.5.3.3 Ambient Noise Measurement Results for Build Segments ...	3-29
3.6 CLIMATE AND AIR QUALITY	3-30
3.6.1 Background	3-30
3.6.2 Existing Conditions	3-31
3.6.2.1 Climate	3-31
3.6.2.2 Air Quality	3-35

CONTENTS (continued)

		<u>Page</u>
3.7	WATER RESOURCES	3-37
3.7.1	Background	3-37
3.7.2	Existing Conditions	3-39
	3.7.2.1 Groundwater	3-39
	3.7.2.2 Floodplains	3-40
	3.7.2.3 Surface Waters	3-41
	3.7.2.4 Wetlands	3-46
3.8	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES	3-50
3.8.1	Background	3-50
3.8.2	Existing Conditions	3-51
	3.8.2.1 Plant Communities	3-51
	3.8.2.2 Fish and Wildlife Resources	3-52
	3.8.2.3 Threatened, Endangered and Rare Species	3-57
3.9	TOPOGRAPHY, GEOLOGY, AND SOILS	3-60
3.9.1	Background	3-60
3.9.2	Existing Conditions	3-60
	3.9.2.1 Topographic Conditions	3-60
	3.9.2.2 Geologic Conditions	3-60
	3.9.2.3 Soil Conditions	3-61
3.10	LAND USE	3-62
3.10.1	Background	3-62
3.10.2	Existing Conditions	3-63
	3.10.2.1 Proposed Action and Alternatives	3-63
	3.10.2.2 No-Action Alternative	3-69
	3.10.2.3 Coastal Zone Management	3-69
	3.10.2.4 Prime Farmland	3-69
3.11	SOCIOECONOMICS	3-69
3.11.1	Background	3-69
3.11.2	Existing Conditions	3-69
	3.11.2.1 Demographics and Employment	3-69
	3.11.2.2 Public Services	3-71
	3.11.2.3 Recreation	3-71
	3.11.2.4 Aesthetics	3-72
3.12	ENERGY	3-72
3.12.1	Background	3-72
3.12.2	Existing Conditions	3-72
3.13	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS/WASTE SITES	3-73
3.13.1	Background	3-73
3.13.2	Existing Conditions	3-73
3.14	CULTURAL RESOURCES	3-74
3.14.1	Background	3-74
3.14.2	Existing Conditions	3-75

CONTENTS (continued)

	<u>Page</u>
3.15 NAVIGATION	3-76
3.15.1 Background	3-76
3.15.2 Existing Conditions	3-77
3.15.2.1 Armand Bayou	3-77
3.15.2.2 Spring Gully	3-77
3.15.2.3 Big Island Slough	3-77
3.15.2.4 Taylor Bayou	3-78
3.15.2.5 Horsepen Bayou	3-78
3.16 ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE	3-78
3.16.1 Background	3-78
3.16.2 Existing Conditions	3-79
3.16.2.1 Population Characteristics for the Project Area	3-79
3.16.2.2 Proposed Action and Alternatives	3-79
 CHAPTER 4: ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	 4-1
4.1 RAIL OPERATIONS AND RAIL OPERATIONS SAFETY	4-1
4.1.1 Methodology	4-1
4.1.2 Impact Analysis – Rail Operations	4-2
4.1.2.1 Build Alternatives	4-2
4.1.2.2 No-Build Alternative	4-3
4.1.2.3 No-Action Alternative	4-4
4.1.3 Impact Analysis – Rail Operations Safety	4-4
4.1.3.1 Build Alternatives	4-4
4.1.3.2 No-Build Alternative	4-5
4.1.3.3 No-Action Alternative	4-6
4.2 HAZARDOUS MATERIALS TRANSPORTATION SAFETY	4-6
4.2.1 Methodology	4-6
4.2.2 Impact Analysis	4-8
4.2.2.1 Frequency of Release	4-8
4.2.2.2 Consequences of Release	4-13
4.2.2.3 Overall Risk	4-18
4.3 PIPELINE SAFETY	4-19
4.3.1 Methodology	4-19
4.3.2 Impact Analysis	4-20
4.3.2.1 Build and No-Build Alternatives	4-20
4.3.2.2 No-Action Alternative	4-22
4.4 GRADE CROSSINGS DELAY AND SAFETY	4-23
4.4.1 Methodology	4-23
4.4.2 Impact Analysis – Traffic Delay	4-23
4.4.2.1 Proposed Action and Alternatives	4-23
4.4.3 Impact Analysis – Grade Crossing Safety	4-25
4.4.3.1 Proposed Action and Alternatives	4-25

CONTENTS (continued)

	<u>Page</u>
4.5 NOISE AND VIBRATION	4-27
4.5.1 Methodology	4-27
4.5.1.1 Wayside Noise Model	4-27
4.5.1.2 Horn Noise Model	4-28
4.5.1.3 Construction Noise	4-28
4.5.1.4 Vibration	4-29
4.5.2 Impact Analysis	4-29
4.5.2.1 Wayside Noise	4-29
4.5.2.2 Horn Noise	4-29
4.5.2.3 Rail Operations Noise Summary	4-34
4.5.2.4 Rail Construction Noise Impacts	4-35
4.5.2.5 Vibration	4-35
4.6 CLIMATE AND AIR QUALITY	4-37
4.6.1 Methodology	4-37
4.6.2 Impact Analysis	4-38
4.6.2.1 Build and No-Build Alternatives	4-38
4.6.2.2 No-Action Alternative	4-40
4.7 WATER RESOURCES	4-41
4.7.1 Methodology	4-41
4.7.2 Impact Analysis – Groundwater	4-41
4.7.2.1 Build Alternatives	4-41
4.7.2.2 No-Build Alternative	4-42
4.7.2.3 No-Action Alternative	4-43
4.7.3 Impact Analysis – Floodplains	4-43
4.7.3.1 Build Alternatives	4-43
4.7.3.2 No-Build Alternative	4-45
4.7.3.3 No-Action Alternative	4-45
4.7.4 Impact Analysis – Surface Water	4-45
4.7.4.1 Build Alternatives	4-46
4.7.4.2 No-Build Alternative	4-50
4.7.4.3 No-Action Alternative	4-50
4.7.5 Impact Analysis – Wetlands	4-50
4.7.5.1 Build Alternatives	4-51
4.7.5.2 No-Build Alternative	4-54
4.7.5.3 No-Action Alternative	4-55
4.8 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES	4-55
4.8.1 Methodology	4-55
4.8.2 Impact Analysis – Plant Communities	4-55
4.8.2.1 Build Alternatives	4-56
4.8.2.2 No-Build Alternative	4-58
4.8.2.3 No-Action Alternative	4-58

CONTENTS (continued)

	<u>Page</u>
4.8.3 Impact Analysis – Fish and Wildlife Resources Including EFH	4-58
4.8.3.1 Build Alternatives	4-59
4.8.3.2 No-Build Alternative	4-62
4.8.3.3 No-Action Alternative	4-63
4.8.4 Impact Analysis – Endangered, Threatened and Rare Species	4-63
4.8.4.1 Build Alternatives	4-63
4.8.4.2 No-Build Alternative	4-64
4.8.4.3 No-Action Alternative	4-64
4.9 TOPOGRAPHY, GEOLOGY AND SOILS	4-65
4.9.1 Methodology	4-65
4.9.2 Impact Analysis	4-65
4.9.2.1 Topographic Impacts	4-65
4.9.2.2 Geologic Impacts	4-65
4.9.2.3 Soil Impacts	4-65
4.10 LAND USE	4-66
4.10.1 Methodology	4-66
4.10.2 Impact Analysis – Land Use	4-66
4.10.2.1 Build Alternatives	4-66
4.10.2.2 No-Build Alternative	4-69
4.10.2.3 No-Action Alternative	4-69
4.10.3 Impact Analysis – Coastal Zone Management	4-69
4.10.4 Impact Analysis – Prime Farmland	4-69
4.11 SOCIOECONOMICS	4-70
4.11.1 Methodology	4-70
4.11.2 Impact Analysis – Demographics and Employment	4-70
4.11.2.1 Build Alternatives	4-70
4.11.2.2 No-Build Alternative	4-71
4.11.2.3 No-Action Alternative	4-71
4.11.3 Impact Analysis – Public Services	4-71
4.11.3.1 Build Alternatives	4-71
4.11.3.2 No-Build Alternative	4-72
4.11.3.3 No-Action Alternative	4-72
4.11.4 Impact Analysis – Recreation	4-72
4.11.4.1 Build Alternatives	4-72
4.11.4.2 No-Build Alternative	4-73
4.11.4.3 No-Action Alternative	4-73
4.11.5 Impact Analysis – Aesthetics	4-73
4.11.5.1 Build Alternatives	4-73
4.11.5.2 No-Build Alternative	4-74
4.11.5.3 No-Action Alternative	4-74
4.12 ENERGY	4-74
4.12.1 Methodology	4-74
4.12.2 Impact Analysis	4-75

CONTENTS (continued)

	<u>Page</u>
4.12.2.1 Build Alternatives	4-75
4.12.2.2 No-Build Alternative	4-76
4.12.2.3 No-Action Alternative	4-77
4.13 HAZARDOUS MATERIALS/WASTE SITES	4-77
4.13.1 Methodology	4-77
4.13.2 Impact Analysis	4-77
4.13.2.1 Build Alternatives	4-77
4.13.2.2 No-Build Alternative	4-78
4.13.2.3 No-Action Alternative	4-78
4.14 CULTURAL RESOURCES	4-78
4.14.1 Methodology	4-78
4.14.2 Impact Analysis	4-79
4.14.2.1 Build Alternatives	4-79
4.14.2.2 No-Build Alternative	4-80
4.14.2.3 No-Action Alternative	4-80
4.15 NAVIGATION	4-80
4.15.1 Methodology	4-80
4.15.2 Impact Analysis	4-80
4.15.2.1 Build and No-Build Alternatives	4-80
4.15.2.2 No-Action Alternative	4-81
4.16 ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE	4-81
4.16.1 Methodology	4-81
4.16.2 Impact Analysis	4-82
4.16.2.1 Potential Impacts to Environmental Justice Populations ...	4-91
4.16.2.2 Potential High and Adverse Impacts to Environmental Justice Populations	4-91
4.16.2.3 Spatial Distribution of Environmental Justice Populations .	4-92
4.16.2.4 Minority and Low-Income Population Densities	4-92
4.17 SECTION 4(f) EVALUATION	4-93
4.17.1 Background	4-93
4.17.2 Methodology	4-93
4.17.3 Impact Analysis	4-94
4.17.3.1 Armand Bayou	4-94
4.17.3.2 Taylor Bayou	4-94
4.17.3.3 Sylvan Rodriguez Park	4-95
 CHAPTER 5: ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES - CUMULATIVE IMPACTS .	 5-1
5.0 METHODOLOGY	5-1
5.1 IMPACT ANALYSIS	5-2
5.1.1 Rail Operations and Safety	5-2
5.1.2 Hazardous Materials Transportation Safety	5-4
5.1.3 Pipeline Safety	5-4
5.1.4 Grade Crossings Delay and Safety	5-5

CONTENTS (continued)

	<u>Page</u>
5.1.5 Noise and Vibration	5-6
5.1.6 Climate and Air Quality	5-7
5.1.7 Water Resources	5-7
5.1.8 Biological Resources	5-8
5.1.9 Topography, Geology, and Soils	5-8
5.1.10 Land Use	5-8
5.1.11 Socioeconomics	5-9
5.1.12 Energy	5-10
5.1.13 Hazardous Materials/Waste Sites	5-10
5.1.14 Cultural Resources	5-10
5.1.15 Navigation	5-10
5.1.16 Environmental Justice	5-10
 CHAPTER 6: MITIGATION	 6-1
6.0 INTRODUCTION	6-1
6.1 OVERVIEW OF SEA’S APPROACH TO RECOMMENDED MITIGATION	6-1
6.1.1 Limits of the Board’s Conditioning Power	6-1
6.1.2 Voluntary Mitigation and Negotiated Agreements	6-2
6.1.3 Preliminary Nature of Environmental Mitigation	6-2
6.2 PRELIMINARY ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES	6-3
6.2.1 Rail Operations and Rail Operations Safety	6-3
6.2.2 Hazardous Materials Transportation Safety	6-3
6.2.3 Pipeline Safety	6-3
6.2.4 Grade Crossings Delay and Safety	6-3
6.2.5 Noise and Vibration	6-4
6.2.6 Climate and Air Quality	6-4
6.2.7 Water Resources	6-4
6.2.8 Biological Resources	6-4
6.2.9 Topography Geology and Soils	6-5
6.2.10 Land Use	6-5
6.2.11 Socioeconomics	6-5
6.2.12 Energy	6-5
6.2.13 Hazardous Materials/Waste Sites	6-5
6.2.14 Cultural Resources	6-5
6.2.15 Navigation	6-5
6.2.16 Environmental Justice	6-5
6.3 APPLICANTS’ VOLUNTARY MITIGATION MEASURES	6-6
 CHAPTER 7: SHORT-TERM USE VERSUS LONG-TERM PRODUCTIVITY OF THE ENVIRONMENT	 7-1

CONTENTS (continued)

	<u>Page</u>
CHAPTER 8: IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENT OF RESOURCES	8-1
CHAPTER 9: LIST OF PREPARERS	9-1
CHAPTER 10: LIST OF AGENCIES, ORGANIZATIONS, AND PERSONS TO WHOM COPIES OF EIS ARE SENT	10-1
10.1 FEDERAL AGENCIES	10-1
10.2 STATE AGENCIES	10-1
10.3 COUNTY/LOCAL GOVERNMENTS	10-1
10.4 ELECTED OFFICIALS	10-2
10.5 ORGANIZATIONS	10-3
10.6 OTHER	10-4
REFERENCES	1
 INDEX	
APPENDIX A: PUBLIC PARTICIPATION MATERIALS	A-1
APPENDIX B: CONSULTATION WITH AGENCIES	B-1
APPENDIX C: RAIL OPERATIONS	C-1
APPENDIX D: HAZARDOUS MATERIALS TRANSPORTATION	D-1
APPENDIX E: PIPELINE SAFETY	E-1
APPENDIX F: GRADE CROSSING DELAY AND SAFETY	F-1
APPENDIX G: NOISE METHODOLOGY	G-1
APPENDIX H: AIR QUALITY	H-1
APPENDIX I: WATER RESOURCES ANALYSIS AND METHODOLOGY	I-1
APPENDIX J: BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES ANALYSIS AND METHODOLOGY .	J-1
APPENDIX K: HAZARDOUS MATERIALS SPILL SITES AND HAZARDOUS WASTE SITES	K-1
APPENDIX L: ARCHEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF BAYPORT LOOP RAIL PROJECT HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS	L-1

CONTENTS (continued)

Page

APPENDIX M: ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE IMPACT ANALYSIS

METHODOLOGY M-1

APPENDIX N: CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN SEA AND THE APPLICANTS .. N-1

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
 CHAPTER 2	
2.4-1 Comparison of the Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action and Alternatives	2-24
 CHAPTER 3	
3.1-1 System-Wide Accident Frequencies for Top Five Freight Railroads	3-6
3.3-1 Estimated Accident Frequency For All Rail Traffic Under Current Conditions for Existing Track That Would be Used by the Proposed Action and Alternatives	3-20
3.4-1 Existing Grade Crossings and Rail Operations for Build Alternatives	3-22
3.4-2 Existing Grade Crossings and Rail Operations for the No-Build Alternative	3-26
3.5-2 Ambient Noise Measurement Locations	3-28
3.5-3 Ambient Noise Measurement Results	3-30
3.6-1 National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQSs)	3-32
3.6-2 Locations of Air Quality Monitoring Stations in the Project Area	3-33
3.6-3 Maximum 1-hour, 8-hour and Annual Average Concentrations for Monitored Criteria Pollutants in the Project Area	3-36
3.8-1 Vegetation Observed in the Project Area	3-53
3.8-2 Wildlife Species Observed in the Project Area	3-55
3.8-3 Aquatic Species that Occur or Probably Occur in Taylor and Armand Bayous	3-56
3.8-4 Threatened, Endangered and Rare Species Known to Occur in Harris County, Texas	3-58
3.11-1 Ethnic Composition of Harris County, Texas	3-70

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
3.11-2 Percentage of Employment by Occupation	3-71
3.16-1 Percent Minority for Jurisdictions in Project Area	3-79
 CHAPTER 4	
4.2-1 Summary of Estimated Train Accident and Hazardous Materials Release Frequencies and Intervals Between Releases Under the Build Alternatives	4-10
4.2-2 Summary of Changes in Train Accident and Hazardous Materials Release Frequencies Under the Build Alternatives Compared to Existing Conditions	4-12
4.2-3 Summary of Estimated Train Accident and Hazardous Materials Release Frequencies and Intervals Between Releases Under the No-Build Alternative	4-14
4.2-4 Summary of Changes in Train Accident and Hazardous Materials Release Frequencies Under the No-Build Alternative Compared to Existing Conditions	4-14
4.2-5 Summary of Calculated Train Accidents and Hazardous Materials Release Frequencies Under the No-Action Alternative	4-15
4.2-6 Estimates of Population That Could Potentially be Evacuated Under a Worst Case Scenario of a Hazardous Materials Release Under All Alternatives	4-17
4.3-1 Estimated Change in Accident Frequency (per Year) Under Implementation of the Proposed Action or Alternatives	4-21
4.4-1 Traffic Delay Analysis of Grade Crossings for Build Alternatives	4-24
4.4-2 Traffic Delay Analysis of Grade Crossings for No-Build Alternative	4-25
4.5-1 Summary of Distances to 65 L _{dn} Noise Levels	4-30
4.5-2 Estimated Construction Vibration Levels	4-36
4.6-1 Estimated Maximum* Emissions from Construction and Operation	4-38

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
4.6-2 Average Daily Diesel PM Emission at Three Roadway Intersections and Along the GH&H Line in the Vicinity of the Build Alternatives	4-40
4.6-3 Estimated Increase in Emissions from Idling Vehicles Delayed at Grade Crossings	4-40
4.6-4 Comparison of Harris County Total Emissions with Bayport Loop Emissions Due to Delay from the Proposed Action and No-Build Alternative	4-41
4.7-1 Area of Rights-of-Way Within 100-Year and 500-Year Floodplains by Alternative	4-44
4.7-2 Jurisdictional Surface Water Impacts for the Build Alternatives	4-47
4.7-3 Approximate Wetland Impacts of Build Alternatives (within the proposed right-of-way)	4-52
4.8-1 Acreage of Plant Community Types Affected by the Alternatives	4-56
4.8-2 EFH Impacts for the Alternatives	4-59
 CHAPTER 6	
1 Proposed Highway/Rail Grade-Crossing Mitigation	6-6

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Page</u>
 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	
ES-1 Alternatives Considered in SEA’s Environmental Review	ES-6
ES-2 Alternatives Eliminated from Further Study	ES-9
 CHAPTER 1	
1.4-1 Proposed Action	1-6
 CHAPTER 2	
2.2-1 Alternatives Considered in SEA’s Environmental Review	2-3
2.2-2 Proposed Action - Applicants’ Preferred Alternative	2-4
2.2-3 Typical Cross-Section	2-7
2.2-4 Original Taylor Bayou Crossing	2-12
2.2-5 Alternative 1C	2-13
2.2-6 Alternative 2B	2-15
2.2-7 Alternative 2D	2-16
2.3-1 Alignments Eliminated from Further Study	2-20
 CHAPTER 3	
3.1-1 Existing Rail Lines in Eastern Houston	3-7
3.4-1 New Grade Crossings for the Build Alternatives	3-25
3.6-1 Locations of Air Quality Monitoring Stations in the Project Area	3-34
3.7-1 Floodplains	3-42
3.7-2 Surface Water	3-43
3.7-3 Wetlands Shown on National Wetlands Inventory Map	3-47

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Page</u>
3.7-4a Delineated Wetland Areas Along the Right-of-Way for the Build Alternatives	3-48
3.7-4b Delineated Wetland Areas Along the Right-of-Way for the Build Alternatives	3-49
3.8-1 Plant Communities	3-54
3.10-1 Land Use	3-64
3.10-2 Ellington Field Runways and Runway Protection Zones	3-66
3.10-3 Ellington Field Property Lines and Runway Protection Zones	3-67
 CHAPTER 4	
4.5-1 FRA Horn Noise Contour	4-32
4.5-2 Example “Teardrop” Horn Noise Contour	4-33
4.5-3 Horn Noise Spectrum	4-34
4.5-4 Wayside Noise Spectrum	4-34
4.7-1 Berm Construction to Protect Coastal Prairie Wetlands	4-53
4.8-1 Proposed Mitigation Sites Near Space Center Boulevard	4-57
4.8-2 Applicants’ Proposed Mitigation Sites Near Taylor Bayou and Port Road ...	4-61
4.16-1a Census Blocks with a Minority Population That Exceeds 50 Percent	4-83
4.16-1b Census Blocks with a Minority Population That Exceeds 50 Percent	4-84
4.16-2a Census Blocks with a Proportion of Low Income Households That Exceeds 28.7 Percent	4-85
4.16-2b Census Blocks with a Proportion of Low Income Households That Exceeds 28.7 Percent	4-86
4.16-3a Density of Minority Population	4-87
4.16-3b Density of Minority Population	4-88

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Page</u>
4.16-4a Density of Low Income Population	4-89
4.16-4b Density of Low Income Population	4-90
 CHAPTER 6	
Exhibit 1 Remnant Coastal Prairie Habitat	6-17
Exhibit 2 Sensitive Habitats	6-18
Exhibit 3a Existing Conditions -	6-19
Exhibit 3b Proposed Essential Fish Habitat Mitigation at Taylor Bayou	6-20
Exhibit 4 Wetland Berm Cross-Section	6-21
Exhibit 5 In-Channel Stormwater Retention/Post-Construction TSS Control for Use Within 1,000 Feet of Perennial Waters	6-22
Exhibit 6 Golf Course Entrance Drive	6-23