

EO-2288



RE: FD 35523: CSX's Proposed Joint Use of an L&I Railroad Line
David Navecky to: Keeton, Burcum (Heritage Council)

11/05/2013 01:52 PM

Burcum -

Following up on my voice mail message this afternoon, I understand that Melanie Griffin has requested a letter from you regarding the APE and survey scope for this project (i.e., undertaking). Until you get a chance to get that letter out, I would like to use this email and your reply below to confirm the APE and survey scope so we can get the cultural resources contractor out in the field to begin the survey.

As agreed to below, the APE will be based on the 70 dBA contour under the proposed increase in train numbers. The 70 dBA contour is approximately 75 feet from the center line of the rail line. The scope of survey within the APE will be of above ground resources over 50 years of age.

Ground disturbance is not a component of the proposed undertaking, and therefore, an archaeological survey will not be conducted at this time.

A short reply of confirmation to this email would be appreciated.

Thanks,

Dave Navecky
202-245-0294

"Keeton, Burcum (Heritage Council)"

No worries - I have read throu...

09/20/2013 08:59:33 AM

From: "Keeton, Burcum (Heritage Council)" <Burcum.Keeton@ky.gov>
To: "David.Navecky@stb.dot.gov" <David.Navecky@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 09/20/2013 08:59 AM
Subject: RE: FD 35523: CSX's Proposed Joint Use of an L&I Railroad Line

No worries -

I have read through the materials, and we can accept a proposed APE based on the 70dba contours, which I understand to be approximately 75 feet from the center line of the rail.

Since there is potential for direct and indirect effects to cultural resources along the path of the Line, we will need a survey of above ground resources over 50 years of age, and also any planned ground disturbance will require an archeological survey.

I hope that answers your questions. Thanks,

Burcum H. Keeton, MHP

Transportation Historic Architecture Review Coordinator
Kentucky Heritage Council/State Historic Preservation Office
300 Washington St.
Frankfort, KY 40601
P (502) 564-7005, ext. 147

From: David.Navecky@stb.dot.gov [mailto:David.Navecky@stb.dot.gov]
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2013 8:44 PM

To: Keeton, Burcum (Heritage Council)

Subject: Re: FD 35523: CSX's Proposed Joint Use of an L&I Railroad Line

I apologize, Burcum for the prior email in which I addressed you as Robin. I just sent two emails to two different "Robins" and had Robin on my mind.

Dave

-----"Keeton, Burcum (Heritage Council)" <Burcum.Keeton@ky.gov> wrote: -----

To: "David.Navecky@stb.dot.gov" <David.Navecky@stb.dot.gov>

From: "Keeton, Burcum (Heritage Council)" <Burcum.Keeton@ky.gov>

Date: 09/11/2013 11:39AM

Subject: Re: FD 35523: CSX's Proposed Joint Use of an L&I Railroad Line

Thank you - I have received the email with attachments. I am out of the office this afternoon, but will look at this tomorrow when I am back at my desk.

Burcum

On Sep 11, 2013, at 10:36 AM, "David.Navecky@stb.dot.gov" <David.Navecky@stb.dot.gov> wrote:

> Good morning, Burcum -

>

> As we discussed yesterday, please find attached a PDF document (3 pages)

> that shows the Kentucky portion (i.e., Louisville) of this project. My

> suggestion is to use the "Proposed 70 dBA Contour" (orange line) as the

> limit of the APE. These figures are insufficient in scale to show the

> total width of the right-of-way of the rail line in Louisville, but it is

> approximately 75 feet wide in most areas.

>

> My rationale for the 70 dBA contour is that it is one of the thresholds the

> Surface Transportation Board (STB) uses to identify noise sensitive

> receptors that might be eligible for mitigation of project-related noise

> increases. The STB defines noise-sensitive receptors to include

> residences, schools, libraries, hospitals and nursing homes.

>

> I have also attached the Executive Summary of the Draft EA, which was

> issued August 30, 2013. It contains some information about the project

> that you might find useful.

>

> If you could, please acknowledge receipt of this email out of
concern that
> delivery of the email could be blocked because of the size of
the file
> attachments.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Dave
>
> (See attached file: Draft EA_Appendix G_Louisville Section
Only.pdf) (See
> attached file: Draft EA_Executive Summary.pdf)
> <Draft EA_Appendix G_Louisville Section Only.pdf>
> <Draft EA_Executive Summary.pdf>