

Catherine Glidden/STB

05/13/2010 07:05 AM

To dianne desrosiers <dyandancer@yahoo.com>

cc Ben Rhodd <brhodd1@yahoo.com>, Brady Grant
<ccbrady@utma.com>, Conrad Fisher
<esevone1@yahoo.com>, Lana Gravatt

bcc

Subject Re: DM&E Project - WY SHPO Objections (Re. Tribal
Information) 

Hi Dianne,

That's fine. I spoke with Randy following my sending the email to you and he too said that you were not speaking on behalf of all of the tribes involved. This was my error in interpretation. Sometimes things can get lost when spoken second hand. I will not act on anything then until I have confirmation from the other tribes on this issue.

I think a conference call is a good idea just to confirm where everyone is at with this. I will send around another email to the greater group regarding some proposed dates and times. Is there any way that you could solicit a good time for a call from the other concerned tribes? Randy had mentioned that you use a list serve to keep in touch with other tribes...

A call sometime this week or next would be best. Mornings are preferable for me given the time difference.

I will be in today if you would like to discuss this further. Work : 202-245-0293, Home: 240-446-5086.

-Cathy

dianne desrosiers <dyandancer@yahoo.com> wrote on 05/12/2010 06:37:11 PM:

> Catherine,

>

> Good afternoon, I know right we are playing telephone tag I think!

> Well I hope all is well with you. I just wanted to provide some

> clarity re: my conversation with Randy Withrow we did have some good

> dialog, although I would like to clarify that I only speak for

> Sisseton Wahpeton, in our conversation I did not state or did not

> infer that I am speaking for any of the other tribes and want you to

> know that. I stated, I had spoken to Russell Eagle Bear and said I

> had sent out an email to tribes asking for input as to how we should

> handle the issue with Wyo SHPO.

> We discussed possibilities of what we thought Wyo would accept as

> far as site info. like site location; measurements, site description

> (only, not interpretation) photos of the site as well as expansion

> of the site area, I said I would be comfortable with giving that

> information but not divulging any sensitive information. To my

> recollection this was the extent of our conversation.

> I would feel much more comfortable if the rest of the tribes had

> input or if we need a conference call lets do that. I feel like our

> conversation made the decision on this and that was not the intent.

> I would like tribes to reach consensus on this issue. Let me know

> what your hearing back. Thanks

>

>

> Dianne Desrosiers

> Tribal Historic Preservation Officer

> Sisseton Wahpeton Oyate

> 205 Oak St. E, Suite 207

> Sisseton, SD 57262
> (605)698-4972 office
>
>
> "Every part of this Earth is sacred to my people. We are part
> of the earth and it is part of us".-Chief Seattle,1854
>
>
> --- On Mon, 5/10/10, Catherine.Glidden@stb.dot.gov <Catherine.
> Glidden@stb.dot.gov> wrote:
>
> From: Catherine.Glidden@stb.dot.gov <Catherine.Glidden@stb.dot.gov>
> Subject: DM&E Project - WY SHPO Objections (Re. Tribal Information)
> To: dyandancer@yahoo.com
> Cc: mentz_tim@yahoo.com, rstthpo@yahoo.com, redturtlegirl@hotmail.
> com, brhodd1@yahoo.com, reaglebear@yahoo.com, rwithrow@louisberger.
> com, Vicki.Rutson@stb.dot.gov, gravattlana@yahoo.com
> Date: Monday, May 10, 2010, 7:27 AM

>
> Hi Dianne,
>
> Sorry I missed your call the other day. I understand,
> however, that you were able to speak with Randy Withrow and that you
> were able to clarify a few things with him with respect to the site
> form/confidentiality issue. Based on your response, I think it may
> be that we have come to some sort of compromise that would satisfy
> the Wyoming SHPO. As Randy explained to me, you have met with
> Russell and some of the other tribal members to discuss this issue
> and there is now a consensus amongst the tribes regarding how to
> proceed. As I understand it, you and the other tribes with
> concerns about this issue, are now comfortable with us updating the
> site forms with all the information that we have available including
> updating the location and morphology of individual features on the
> site forms and site maps and expanding site boundaries.
> Information that you don't want to be included on the site forms has
> to do with the actual meaning of the features from a tribal perspective.
>
> In a conversation with Randy, Richard Currit stated that he
> would be willing to accept the above: the completion of site forms
> with the proviso that the meaning of a specific site (in terms of
> its spiritual significance) in question not be stated on the form.
> There are some other details that we would need to work out with the
> WY SHPO, but I think we are good to go on updating site forms as
> long as you and the other tribes agree to the above.
>
> Let me know if I have captured your position accurately.
> If so, I will contact Richard Currit and let him know that we are
> prepared to submit updated site forms pursuant to his request and
> your position on this issue.
>
> All the best,
>
> Cathy Glidden
> Surface Transportation Board
> Section of Environmental Analysis
> Washington, DC 20423
> (202) 245-0293
>
>

