



Re: REVIEW: PMRE - Revised Segment 6 survey report and transmittal letter



Catherine Glidden to: Grover, Elizabeth

08/10/2012 12:55 PM

"bsworts@matsugov.us", "Gasek, Douglas F (DNR)", Fran
Cc: Seager-Boss, Heather Ralston, "Barbara Hotchkin", "Brian
Lindamood (LindamoodB@akrr.com)", "Richard.Starzak@icfi.com",

Hi Elizabeth,

I have attached our minor comments to your revisions of the letter and report as attached below. Thanks for making the updates and changes!

Also, please know that we are not requiring that AKRR report its archaeological survey results segment by segment.

We are comfortable with the AKRR bundling reporting for different segments into a single report if this would be helpful to you. Given the current delayed schedule for this project, it might be more efficient for you to just send in one report for several different segments. This would be fine.

I do have a problem with creating addendums to previous reports as this might be confusing. However, bundling your results from future surveys conducted in several segments into one report makes sense to me. As I noted before, you could make reference to sections of previous reports if you believe that certain items (i.e. context, background research, models etc.) remain unchanged.

Also, we will provide our opinion on effects to National Register eligible or listed resources identified within the project APE (these opinions will not be provided per segment, but per resource).

-Cathy



PMRE Segment 6 letter STB rev.docx



PMRE-2012SurveyReport-Seg6_061512STB.docx