

ENTERED
Office of Proceedings
October 26, 2017
Part of
Public Record

LAW OFFICES OF
LOUIS E. GITOMER, LLC

LOUIS E. GITOMER
Lou@lgraillaw.com

600 BALTIMORE AVENUE, SUITE 301
TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204-4022
(410) 296-2250 • (202) 466-6532
FAX (410) 332-0885

October 26, 2017

MELANIE B. YASBIN
Melanie@lgraillaw.com
410-296-2205

Ms. Cynthia T. Brown
Chief of the Section of Administration, Office of Proceedings
Surface Transportation Board
395 E Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20423-0001


Re: Docket No. FD 36131, *Utah Central Railway Company, LLC v. Kenco Logistics Services, LLC, Kenco Group, and Specialized Rail Service, Inc.*

Dear Ms. Brown:

Utah Central Railway Company, LLC ("UCRY") and Kenco Logistics Services, LLC, Kenco Group, and Specialized Rail Service, Inc. ("Respondents" and with UCRY the "Parties") are jointly e-filing a Motion for Protective Order.

Thank you for your assistance. If you have any questions, please contact either of us.

Sincerely yours,



Louis E. Gitomer
Attorney for Utah Central Railway
Company, LLC



Shawn T. Richards
Jackie Bosshardt
Attorneys for Kenco Logistics Services,
LLC, Kenco Group, and Specialized Rail
Service, Inc.

BEFORE THE
SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

Docket No. FD 36131

UTAH CENTRAL RAILWAY COMPANY, LLC
v.
KENCO LOGISTICS SERVICES, LLC, KENCO GROUP,
AND SPECIALIZED RAIL SERVICE, INC.

MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER
BY UTAH CENTRAL RAILWAY COMPANY, LLC AND KENCO LOGISTICS SERVICES,
LLC, KENCO GROUP, AND SPECIALIZED RAIL SERVICE, INC.

Eric Crossman, Esq.
Attorney
Patriot Rail Company LLC
10060 Skinner Lake Drive
Jacksonville, FL 32246
(904) 425-5744
eric.crossman@patriotrail.com

Louis E. Gitomer, Esq.
Law Offices of Louis E. Gitomer
600 Baltimore Avenue, Suite 301
Towson, MD 21204
(410) 296-2250
Lou@lgraillaw.com
Attorneys for Utah Central Railway
Company, LLC

Shawn T. Richards, Esq.
Jackie Bosshardt, Esq.
Kirton McConkie
Kirton McConkie Building
50 East South Temple, 4th Floor
P.O. Box 0120
Salt Lake City, UT 84145-0120
srichards@kmclaw.com
Attorneys for Kenco Logistics Services,
LLC, Kenco Group, and Specialized
Rail Service, Inc.

Dated: October 26, 2017

BEFORE THE
SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

Docket No. FD 36131

UTAH CENTRAL RAILWAY COMPANY, LLC
v.
KENCO LOGISTICS SERVICES, LLC, KENCO GROUP,
AND SPECIALIZED RAIL SERVICE, INC.

MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER
BY UTAH CENTRAL RAILWAY COMPANY, LLC AND KENCO LOGISTICS SERVICES,
LLC, KENCO GROUP, AND SPECIALIZED RAIL SERVICE, INC.

Utah Central Railway Company, LLC (“UCRY”) and Kenco Logistics Services, LLC, Kenco Group, and Specialized Rail Service, Inc. (“Respondents” and with UCRY the “Parties”), pursuant to 49 C.F.R. §1104.14(b), jointly file this Motion for Protective Order (the “Motion”) to govern the handling of CONFIDENTIAL and HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL in this proceeding.

Granting this motion will facilitate the potential exchange in discovery and use of commercially sensitive material in this proceeding, between the Parties. These materials, if publicly disclosed, could cause commercial or other harm to the disclosing party. The proposed protective order complies with Board rules and is modeled after protective orders the Board has issued in prior adjudications. *See Dyno Nobel, Inc. and Dyno Nobel Louisiana Ammonia, LLC v. Nustar Pipeline Operating Partnership, L.P.*, STB Docket NOR 42147 (STB served April 26, 2017).

For the reasons set forth above, the Parties respectfully request that the Board grant this Motion and adopting the protective order attached hereto.



Eric Crossman, Esq.
Attorney
Patriot Rail Company LLC
10060 Skinner Lake Drive
Jacksonville, FL 32246
(904) 425-5744
eric.crossman@patriotrail.com

Louis E. Gitomer, Esq.
Law Offices of Louis E. Gitomer
600 Baltimore Avenue, Suite 301
Towson, MD 21204
(410) 296-2250
Lou@lgraillaw.com
Attorneys for Utah Central Railway
Company, LLC

Dated: October 26, 2017

Respectfully submitted,



Shawn T. Richards, Esq.
Jackie Bosshardt, Esq.
Kirton McConkie
Kirton McConkie Building
50 East South Temple, 4th Floor
P.O. Box 0120
Salt Lake City, UT 84145-0120
srichards@kmclaw.com
Attorneys for Kenco Logistics Services,
LLC, Kenco Group, and Specialized
Rail Service, Inc.

APPENDIX

PROTECTIVE ORDER

1. Any party producing information, data, documents, or other material (hereinafter collectively referred to as “material”) in discovery to another party to this proceeding, or submitting material in pleadings, that the party in good faith believes reflects proprietary or confidential information, may designate and stamp such material as “CONFIDENTIAL,” and such material must be treated as confidential. Such material, any copies, and any data or notes derived therefrom:

- (a) Shall be used solely for the purpose of this proceeding and any judicial review proceeding arising herefrom, and not for any other business, commercial, or competitive purpose.
- (b) May be disclosed only to employees, counsel, or agents of the party requesting such material who have a need to know, handle, or review the material for purposes of this proceeding and any judicial review proceeding arising herefrom, and only where such employee, counsel, or agent has been given and has read a copy of this Protective Order, agrees to be bound by its terms, executes the attached Undertaking for Confidential Material prior to receiving access to such materials, and provides a copy of the executed Undertaking to counsel for the party providing the CONFIDENTIAL material.
- (c) Must be destroyed by the requesting party, its employees, counsel, and agents, at the completion of this proceeding and any judicial review proceeding arising herefrom. However, counsel and consultants for a party are permitted to retain file copies of all pleadings which they are authorized to review under this Protective Order, including Paragraph 11.
- (d) If contained in any pleading filed with the Board, shall, in order to be kept confidential, be filed only in pleadings submitted in a package clearly marked on the outside “Confidential Materials Subject to Protective Order.” See 49 C.F.R. § 1104.14.

2. Any party producing material in discovery to another party to this proceeding, or submitting material in pleadings, may in good faith designate and stamp particular material, such as material containing shipper-specific rate or cost data, or other competitively sensitive information, as “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL.” If any party wishes to challenge such designation, the party may bring such matter to the attention of the Board. Material that is so designated may be disclosed only to outside counsel or outside consultants of the party requesting such materials who have a need to know, handle, or review the materials for purposes of this proceeding and any judicial review proceeding arising herefrom, provided that such outside counsel or outside consultants have been given and have read a copy of this Protective Order, agree to be bound by its terms, execute the attached Undertaking for Highly Confidential Material prior to receiving access to such materials, and provides a copy of the executed Undertaking to counsel for the party providing the HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL material.

Material designated as “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” and produced in discovery under this provision shall be subject to all of the other provisions of this Protective Order, including without limitation Paragraph 1.

3. In the event that a party produces material which should have been designated as “CONFIDENTIAL” or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” and inadvertently fails to designate the material as “CONFIDENTIAL” or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL,” the producing party may notify the other party in writing within 5 days of discovery of its inadvertent failure to make the confidentiality designation. The party who received the material without the confidentiality designation will return the non-designated portion (including any and all copies) or destroy it, as directed by the producing party, or take such other steps as the parties agree to in writing. The producing party will promptly furnish the receiving party with properly designated material.

4. In the event that a party inadvertently produces material that is protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or any other privilege, the producing party may make a written request within a reasonable time after the producing party discovers the inadvertent disclosure that the other party return the inadvertently produced privileged document. The party who received the inadvertently produced document will either return the document to the producing party or destroy the document immediately upon receipt of the written request, as directed by the producing party. By returning or destroying the document, the receiving party is not conceding that the document is privileged and is not waiving its right to later challenge the substantive privilege claim, provided that it may not challenge the privilege claim by arguing that the inadvertent production waived the privilege.

5. If any party intends to use “CONFIDENTIAL” and/or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” material at hearings in this proceeding, or in any judicial review proceeding arising herefrom, the party so intending shall submit any proposed exhibits or other documents setting forth or revealing such “CONFIDENTIAL” and/or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” material to the Board, or the court, as appropriate, with a written request that the Board or the court: (a) restrict attendance at the hearings during discussion of such “CONFIDENTIAL” and/or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” material, and (b) restrict access to the portion of the record or briefs reflecting discussion of such “CONFIDENTIAL” and/or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” material in accordance with the terms of this Protective Order.

6. If any party intends to use “CONFIDENTIAL” and/or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” material in the course of any deposition in this proceeding, the party so intending shall so advise counsel for the party producing the materials, counsel for the deponent, and all other counsel attending the deposition, and all portions of the deposition at which any such “CONFIDENTIAL” and/or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” material is used shall be restricted to persons who may review the material under this Protective Order. All portions of deposition transcripts and/or exhibits that consist of or disclose “CONFIDENTIAL” and/or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” material shall be kept under seal and treated as “CONFIDENTIAL” and/or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” material in accordance with the terms of this Protective Order.

7. Except for this proceeding, the parties agree that if a party is required by law or order of a governmental or judicial body to release “CONFIDENTIAL” or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” material produced by the other party or copies or notes thereof as to which it obtained access pursuant to this Protective Order, the party so required shall notify the producing party in writing within 3 working days of the determination that the “CONFIDENTIAL” material, “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” material, or copies or notes are to be released, or within 3 working days prior to such release, whichever is soonest, to permit the producing party the opportunity to contest the release.

8. Information that is publicly available or obtained outside of this proceeding from a person with a right to disclose it publicly shall not be subject to this Protective Order even if the same information is produced and designated as “CONFIDENTIAL” or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” in this proceeding.

9. Each party has a right to view its own data, information, and documentation (i.e., information originally generated or compiled by or for that party), even if that data, information, and documentation has been designated as “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” by a producing party, without securing prior permission from the producing party. If a party (the “filing party”) files and serves upon the other party (the “reviewing party”) a pleading or evidence containing “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” material of the filing party, the filing party shall also contemporaneously provide to outside counsel for the reviewing party a list of the “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” information of the filing party contained in the pleading that must be redacted from the “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” version prior to review by the in-house personnel of the reviewing party.

10. Any party filing with the Board a “CONFIDENTIAL” or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” pleading in this proceeding should simultaneously file a public version of the pleading.

UNDERTAKING
CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL

I, _____, have read the Protective Order served on October __, 2017 governing the production of confidential documents in STB Docket No. FD 36131, understand the same, and agree to be bound by its terms. I agree not to use or permit the use of any data or information obtained under this Undertaking, or to use or permit the use of any techniques disclosed or information learned as a result of receiving such data or information, for any purposes other than the preparation and presentation of evidence and argument in STB Docket No. FD 36131 or any judicial review proceeding arising herefrom. I further agree not to disclose any data or information obtained under this Protective Order to any person who has not executed an Undertaking in the form hereof. At the conclusion of this proceeding and any judicial review proceeding arising herefrom, I will promptly destroy any copies of such designated documents obtained or made by me or by any outside counsel or outside consultants working with me, provided, however, that counsel and consultants may retain copies of pleadings which they were authorized to review under the Protective Order.

I understand and agree that money damages would not be a sufficient remedy for breach of this Undertaking and that parties producing confidential documents shall be entitled to specific performance and injunctive or other equitable relief as a remedy for any such breach, and I further agree to waive any requirement for the securing or posting of any bond in connection with such remedy. Such remedy shall not be deemed to be the exclusive remedy for breach of this Undertaking but shall be in addition to all remedies available at law or equity.

Dated: _____

UNDERTAKING
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL

As outside [counsel] [consultant] for _____, for which I am acting in this proceeding, I, _____, have read the Protective Order served on October __, 2017 governing the production of confidential documents in STB Docket No. FD 36131, understand the same, and agree to be bound by its terms. I further agree not to disclose any data, information or material designated "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL" to any person or entity who: (i) is not eligible for access to "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL" material under the terms of the Protective Order, or (ii) has not executed an Undertaking for Highly Confidential Material in the form hereof. I also understand and agree, as a condition precedent to my receiving, reviewing, or using copies of any documents designated "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL" that I will limit my use of those documents and the information they contain to this proceeding and any judicial review proceeding arising herefrom; that I will take all necessary steps to assure that said documents and information will be kept on a confidential basis by any outside counsel or outside consultants working with me; that under no circumstances will I permit access to said documents or information by personnel of my client, its subsidiaries, affiliates, or owners; and that at the conclusion of this proceeding and any judicial review proceeding arising herefrom I will promptly destroy any copies of such designated documents obtained or made by me or by any outside counsel or outside consultants working with me, provided, however, that outside counsel and consultants may retain file copies of pleadings filed with the Board. I further understand that I must destroy all notes or other documents containing "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL" information in compliance with the terms of the Protective Order. Under no circumstances will I permit access to documents designated "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL" by, or disclose any information contained therein to, any persons or entities for which I am not acting in this proceeding.

I understand and agree that money damages would not be a sufficient remedy for breach of this Undertaking and that parties producing confidential documents shall be entitled to specific performance and injunctive or other equitable relief as a remedy for any such breach, and I further agree to waive any requirement for the securing or posting of any bond in connection with such remedy. Such remedy shall not be deemed to be the exclusive remedy for breach of this Undertaking but shall be in addition to all remedies available at law or equity.

OUTSIDE [COUNSEL] [CONSULTANT] TO

[Party name]

Dated: _____