
From: Rachael Mangum <rmangum@achp.gov>
Sent: Monday, September 29, 2025 3:08 PM
To: Luhnnow, Glennnda
Cc: Jaime Loichinger; Tabachnick, Alan; Assenza, Adam; Buckley, Maggie; Powell, Kris; McNeish, Sabra; Phoebus, Elizabeth (Betsi)
Subject: Re: [External] UPRR PIRATE Continuing Section 106 Consultation-Revised Final Addendum Survey Report
Attachments: Re: [External] UPRR PIRATE - Continuing Section 106 Consultation - September 15, 2025 Meeting

This Message Is From an External Sender

This message came from outside your organization.

Hi Glennnda,

I am responding on behalf of Director Loichinger at the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) to share our prior response regarding further notifications related to the continuing consultation for the Surface Transportation Board's (STB) Section 106 review for this undertaking. Since the ACHP has previously decided not to participate in this review, and that prior decision stands, STB is not required to notify the ACHP of its continuing efforts to consult. You can remove us from the distribution list for these notifications. We only ask that STB file a copy of the Section 106 agreement once it is executed.

If you have any questions or need assistance, please let me know.

Thanks,
Rachael

Rachael Mangum, MA, RPA
Office of Federal Agency Programs
Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
(202) 517-0214
rmangum@achp.gov

From: Luhnnow, Glennnda <Glennnda.Luhnnow@jacobs.com>
Sent: Friday, September 19, 2025 3:31 PM
To: Jaime Loichinger <jloichinger@achp.gov>
Cc: Rachael Mangum <rmangum@achp.gov>; Tabachnick, Alan <alan.tabachnick@stb.gov>; Assenza, Adam <adam.assenza@stb.gov>; Buckley, Maggie <Maggie.Buckley@jacobs.com>; Powell, Kris <Kris.Powell@jacobs.com>; McNeish, Sabra <Sabra.McNeish@jacobs.com>; Phoebus, Elizabeth (Betsi) <Betsi.Phoebus@jacobs.com>
Subject: [External] UPRR PIRATE Continuing Section 106 Consultation-Revised Final Addendum Survey Report

Dear Director Loichinger:

On June 30, 2022, the Union Pacific Railroad Company (UP) filed a petition with the Surface Transportation Board (Board) requesting authority to construct and operate approximately six miles of new rail line in Maricopa County, Arizona (the proposed Pecos Industrial Rail Access and Train Extension [PIRATE] rail line). The Board's Office of Environmental Analysis (OEA) is now continuing with the final step of the Section 106 process for the project.

As part of the Section 106 process. OEA provided a draft Addendum Survey Report (Powell 2023) to consulting parties on May 19, 2023 and requested comments. All comments have been fully addressed in the revised final Addendum Survey Report, which is available for download by clicking on the following secure file transfer link within the next 14 days: [Link for Addendum Survey Report](#).

Please feel free to contact Alan Tabachnick, the Board's Federal Preservation Officer, at Alan.Tabachnick@stb.gov with any questions.

Respectfully,

Glennnda Luhnnow

Glennnda Luhnnow, M.A., RPA | JACOBS | Manager/Archaeology and Cultural Resources |

C: 480-510-0830 | glennnda.luhnnow@jacobs.com

1501 West Fountainhead Parkway, Suite 401 | Tempe, Arizona 85282 | USA

NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.



December 22, 2022

Mr. Alan Tabachnick
Deputy FPO/Historic Preservation Specialist
Surface Transportation Board
Office of Environmental Analysis
395 E Street, SW
Washington, DC 20423

Ref: *Pecos Industrial Rail Access and Train Extension Project*
City of Mesa, Town of Queen Creek, Maricopa County, Arizona
ACHP Project Number: 19032

Dear Mr. Tabachnick:

On December 8, 2022, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) received your notification and supporting documentation regarding the potential adverse effects of the referenced undertaking on a property or properties listed or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. Based upon the information you provided, we have concluded that Appendix A, *Criteria for Council Involvement in Reviewing Individual Section 106 Cases*, of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) and its implementing regulations, "Protection of Historic Properties" (36 CFR Part 800), does not apply to this undertaking. Accordingly, we do not believe our participation in the consultation to resolve adverse effects is needed.

However, if we receive a request for participation from the Arizona State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), Tribal Historic Preservation Officer, affected Indian tribe, a consulting party, or other party, we may reconsider this decision. Should the undertaking's circumstances change, consulting parties cannot come to consensus, or you need further advisory assistance to conclude the consultation process, please contact us.

Pursuant to 36 CFR § 800.6(b)(1)(iv), you will need to file the final Section 106 agreement document (Agreement), developed in consultation with the Arizona SHPO and any other consulting parties, and related documentation with the ACHP at the conclusion of the consultation process. The filing of the Agreement and supporting documentation with the ACHP is required in order to complete the requirements of Section 106 of the NHPA.

Thank you for providing us with your notification of adverse effect. If you have any questions or require our further assistance, please contact Ms. Emily Choi at (202) 517-0207 or by e-mail at echoi@achp.gov and reference the ACHP Project Number above.

Sincerely,

LaShavio Johnson
Historic Preservation Technician
Office of Federal Agency Programs

ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION

401 F Street NW, Suite 308 • Washington, DC 20001-2637
Phone: 202-517-0200 • Fax: 202-517-6381 • achp@achp.gov • www.achp.gov