

COVINGTON

BEIJING BOSTON BRUSSELS DUBAI FRANKFURT
 JOHANNESBURG LONDON LOS ANGELES NEW YORK
 PALO ALTO SAN FRANCISCO SEOUL SHANGHAI WASHINGTON

Covington & Burling LLP
 One CityCenter
 850 Tenth Street, NW
 Washington, DC 20001-4956
 T +1 202 662 6000

310124

By E-Filing

Chief of Case Administration
 Office of Chief Counsel
 Surface Transportation Board
 395 E Street, SW
 Washington, DC 20423-0001

ENTERED September 29, 2025
 Office of Chief Counsel
 September 29, 2025
 Part of
 Public Record

Re: Docket No. FD 36873, *Union Pacific Corp., et al. - Control - Norfolk Southern Corp., et al.*

Dear Chief of Case Administration:

In response to the Board's Decision No. 3, Union Pacific Corporation and Union Pacific Railroad Company (collectively, Union Pacific) are providing the following information:

1. Timetables, station lists, and track charts. Union Pacific is providing current versions of timetables, track profiles, and station books for its entire system, as well as a list of stations extracted from Railinc's Centralized Station Master. Other than the list of stations from Railinc's Centralized Station Master, none of the documents are available in machine-readable format.
2. Geospatial Information System (GIS) maps. Union Pacific is providing GIS maps for the Union Pacific system in shapefile format. Union Pacific is providing polylines to illustrate track centerlines. The GIS maps contain layers reflecting operating divisions, operating subdivisions, mileposts, crossings, switches, and permanent speed restrictions, as well as layers reflecting joint facility, passenger, and short line agreements.
3. Joint facilities. Union Pacific is providing a list of joint facilities and joint use agreements on the entire Union Pacific system. As noted above, Union Pacific is providing GIS layers that show these agreements, along with a key that can be used to identify the particular agreements within the GIS layers. Union Pacific is not including agreements for use of passenger depots/stations, interchange agreements, or agreements for crossing diamonds, interlockings, or use of connecting, switching, side, industrial, or customer track, which it does not understand to be joint facility agreements as the term was used in Decision No. 3. To the best of Union Pacific's knowledge, none of the joint use arrangements by their terms would no longer be operative following proposed change in control.

COVINGTON

Chief of Case Administration
September 29, 2025
Page 2

4. Interchange commitments. Union Pacific is providing a list of interchange commitments that specifies the location of the affected interchange(s) and the carrier subject to the agreement.

Due to the size of these materials, Union Pacific's responsive materials are being submitted to the Board via Kiteworks (Covington's secure FTP site).

Except for the lists of joint facilities and interchange commitments, all materials being provided to the Board in response to Decision No. 3 should be treated as Confidential, as that term is defined in the Protective Order served August 5, 2025.

Sincerely,

/s/ Michael L. Rosenthal

Michael L. Rosenthal
*Attorney for Union Pacific
Corporation and Union
Pacific Railroad Company*

cc: All Parties of Record