

MULLINS LAW GROUP PLLC
Transportation Solutions for the 21st Century

2001 L Street NW, Suite 720
Washington, DC 20036

ENTERED
Office of Proceedings
July 3, 2025
Part of
Public Record

Crystal M. Zorbaugh
czorbaugh@mullinslawgroup.net
mullinslawgroup.net

Telephone: (202) 663-7850
Direct: (202) 663-7831
Facsimile: (202) 464-9123

July 3, 2025

VIA E-FILING

Cynthia T. Brown
Chief of the Section of Administration
Office of Proceedings
Surface Transportation Board
395 E Street, SW
Washington DC 20423-0001

Re: Ohi-Rail Corporation – Discontinuance – In Perry and Muskingum Counties, Ohio, AB 1321X; Conrail Abandonment of Lines in Zanesville, Ohio, AB 167 (Sub-No. 445)
Unopposed Request for Extension of Time

Dear Ms. Brown,

The Ohio-Rail Development Commission (“ORDC”) hereby requests that the Surface Transportation Board (the “STB” or “Board”) issue an order granting ORDC a five (5) day extension of time for ORDC to respond to Z&W’s Petition to Reopen and Vacate Discontinuance (“Petition”) and concurrently filed Motion for Preliminary Injunction (“Motion”) in the above-captioned proceedings. Z&W’s Petition was filed with the Board on June 16, 2025. Z&W has agreed not to oppose ORDC requesting a five-day extension to respond (until July 11, 2025).¹ In exchange, ORDC agreed not to remove the trackage at issue until the Board issues a decision on the Petition. The unopposed request will not prejudice any party or unduly delay the Board's timely resolution of this matter. Accordingly, ORDC asks leave to respond to the Petition and the Motion until July 11, 2025. If there are any questions about this matter, please contact me directly, either by telephone: 202-663-7831 or by e-mail: czorbaugh@mullinslawgroup.net.

Sincerely,
/s/ Crystal M. Zorbaugh
Crystal M. Zorbaugh
Special Counsel for the Ohio-Rail Development
Commission

cc: Parties of Record

¹ During a meet and confer, Z&W claimed that ORDC does not have an automatic right to reply to the Petition or Motion. ORDC, as the owner of the Line, helped facilitate the discontinuance filed for Ohio-Rail Corporation, and on both bases, ORDC contends that it has standing to file both replies. Nevertheless, ORDC will, out of an abundance of caution, seek leave to file its replies.