
Subject: FW: Pirate I/L - Securing UPRR ROW

From: Kevin Rice <kprice@up.com>

Sent: Tuesday, September 12, 2023 2:31 PM

To: Buckley, Maggie <Maggie.Buckley@jacobs.com>; Assenza, Adam <adam.assenza@stb.gov>

Cc: Tabachnick, Alan <alan.tabachnick@stb.gov>; Luhnnow, Glennda <Glennda.Luhnnow@jacobs.com>; Phoebus, Elizabeth (Betsi) <Betsi.Phoebus@jacobs.com>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Pirate I/L - Securing UPRR ROW

Maggie/Adam/Alan:

After OEA indicated that camera systems in lieu of fencing in non-cultural sites may be acceptable, UP began investigating the cameras more in-depth with our vendor. Due to the expected duration that they would be in use and the number of cameras needed to get overlap, we have found it would be orders of magnitude higher in cost versus fencing.

Therefore, we are reconsidering possible (green) options and would like to get OEA's feedback. Can we consider an option to continue utilizing the security service that is in use now? This would continue to provide daily monitoring and real time feedback should any issues arise.

Details of the service include:

- 1 security guard (with vehicle), 8-hr shift M-F
- Able to make 7 circuits/day
- Daily report provided
- Immediate notification to UPRR management for trespassing incident
- Service to remain active until all sites are clear for construction

Please let us know if you have any questions or want to discuss in more detail. Appreciate OEA's feedback regarding this option, thank you.

Kevin Rice, PE
Sr. Manager M/W Environmental
Union Pacific Railroad
(402) 544-2213

This email and any attachments may contain information that is confidential and/or privileged for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any use, review, disclosure, copying, distribution or reliance by others, and any forwarding of this email or its contents, without the express permission of the sender is strictly prohibited by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender immediately, delete the e-mail and destroy all copies.