
From: Jaime Loichinger <jloichinger@achp.gov>
Sent: Friday, November 17, 2023 2:56 PM
To: Tabachnick, Alan; Luhnnow, Glennda
Cc: Emily Choi; Rachael Mangum; Assenza, Adam; Buckley, Maggie; Powell, Kris; McNeish, Sabra; Phoebus, Elizabeth (Betsi)
Subject: Re: [External] UPRR PIRATE Damage Framework Memo

Hello Alan and Glennda,

Thank you for this update, and for the invitation to the November 21 consultation meeting. Unfortunately, I will not be able to attend, but Emily plans to be there.

Staffing-wise, I'd like to request that you replace me with Rachael Mangum, who recently moved into the Assistant Director position. She'll be working with Emily on this case going forward.

Finally, we want to reiterate the pressing need for STB to address the applicability of Section 110(k) before moving forward with any Section 106 consultation. Notwithstanding the Board's decision-making authority, Section 110(k) is a threshold matter; no subsequent Section 106 review should be conducted prior to acknowledging Section 110(k). From the ACHP's vantage point, it appears that discussion and planning around these two separate issues are becoming muddled.

Thank you again, and please let Emily and Rachael know should you have further questions or concerns regarding Section 110(k) or Section 106.

Best,

Jaime Loichinger (she/her)
 Director, Office of Federal Agency Programs
Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
 (202) 517-0219 | jloichinger@achp.gov

From: Luhnnow, Glennda <Glennda.Luhnnow@jacobs.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 14, 2023 4:55 PM
To: Jaime Loichinger <jloichinger@achp.gov>
Cc: Emily Choi <echoi@achp.gov>; Alan Tabachnick <Alan.Tabachnick@stb.gov>; Assenza, Adam <adam.assenza@stb.gov>; Buckley, Maggie <Maggie.Buckley@jacobs.com>; Powell, Kris <Kris.Powell@jacobs.com>; McNeish, Sabra <Sabra.McNeish@jacobs.com>; Phoebus, Elizabeth (Betsi) <Betsi.Phoebus@jacobs.com>
Subject: [External] UPRR PIRATE Damage Framework Memo

Dear Ms. Loichinger,

As the Surface Transportation Board's (Board) Office of Environmental Analysis (OEA) stated in previous Section 106 consultation conducted under the National Historic Preservation Act, on June 30, 2022, the Union Pacific Railroad Company (UP) filed a petition with the Board requesting authority to construct and operate approximately six miles of new rail line in Maricopa County, Arizona (the proposed Pecos Industrial Rail Access and Train Extension [PIRATE] rail line).

OEA recently extended an invite to the project's consulting parties to attend a virtual Teams meeting on **November 21, 2023, at 1–3 pm (Arizona time)**. The purpose of the virtual meeting is to discuss the proposed goals and methods for an

archaeological site damage assessment. To facilitate the discussion, OEA has prepared the attached memo for your review.

We look forward to your continued participation in the Section 106 process. Please feel free to contact Alan Tabachnick, STB's Federal Preservation Officer, at Alan.Tabachnick@stb.gov with any questions.

Regards,
Glennnda Luhnnow

Glennnda Luhnnow, M.A., RPA | JACOBS | Manager/Archaeology and Cultural Resources |
C: 480-510-0830 | glennnda.luhnnow@jacobs.com
1501 West Fountainhead Parkway, Suite 401 | Tempe, Arizona 85282 | USA

NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.