

From: Phoebus, Elizabeth (Betsi)
Sent: Wednesday, August 3, 2022 11:10 AM
To: Kevin Rice; Buckley, Maggie
Cc: Adam.Assenza@stb.gov
Subject: RE: UPRR Pecos Industrial Rail Access and Train Extension (PIRATE) Preliminary Environmental Consultation

Thank you, Kevin.

We appreciate the follow-up and will let you know of any questions.

- Betsi

From: Kevin Rice <kprice@up.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 3, 2022 11:02 AM
To: Buckley, Maggie <Maggie.Buckley@jacobs.com>
Cc: Phoebus, Elizabeth (Betsi) <Betsi.Phoebus@jacobs.com>; Adam.Assenza@stb.gov
Subject: [EXTERNAL] FW: UPRR Pecos Industrial Rail Access and Train Extension (PIRATE) Preliminary Environmental Consultation

Maggie:

See response from our utilities group regarding the Cox conflict review. It appears there is only one location of conflict identified so far and a plan to mitigate it.

Let me know if you need any additional information regarding this collaboration with COX.

Kevin Rice, PE
Sr. Manager M/W Environmental
Union Pacific Railroad
(402) 544-2213

From: Mark Mentele <mrmentel@up.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 3, 2022 12:58 PM
To: Kevin Rice <kprice@up.com>
Cc: Levi Hawkins <lhawkin@up.com>
Subject: RE: UPRR Pecos Industrial Rail Access and Train Extension (PIRATE) Preliminary Environmental Consultation

Kevin,

I apologize for the slow response. Yes, we have been engaged with Cox and they have been reviewing their facilities and analyzing what modifications they need to perform in order to ensure there is sufficient clearance to our proposed projects. There is only a conflict at the Pecos Rd crossing requiring a lowering of their fiber to clear a proposed culvert. There are three other crossings which Cox has determined is clear for our project.

Thank you,



BUILDING

Mark Mentele

Sr. Manager Real Estate

Phone: 402-544-8532

Mobile: 402-618-8370

Email: mrmentel@up.com

1400 Douglas St, MS 1690 Omaha, NE 68179

From: Kevin Rice <kprice@up.com>

Sent: Thursday, July 28, 2022 3:08 PM

To: Mark Mentele <mrmentel@up.com>

Cc: Levi Hawkins <lhawkin@up.com>

Subject: FW: UPRR Pecos Industrial Rail Access and Train Extension (PIRATE) Preliminary Environmental Consultation

Hi Mark, can you comment on the email string below? Has this coordination already occurred with COX?

Kevin Rice, PE

Sr. Manager M/W Environmental

Union Pacific Railroad

(402) 544-2213

From: Kevin Rice

Sent: Monday, July 11, 2022 4:44 PM

To: Mark Mentele <mrmentel@up.com>

Cc: Levi Hawkins <lhawkin@up.com>

Subject: FW: UPRR Pecos Industrial Rail Access and Train Extension (PIRATE) Preliminary Environmental Consultation

Looks like this was lost in the shuffle.

Mark, have you been engaged with Cox regarding utility conflicts? Not sure if this email string is relevant anymore, but thought I should track it down.

Kevin Rice, PE

Sr. Manager M/W Environmental

Union Pacific Railroad

(402) 544-2213

From: Kevin Rice

Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 3:17 PM

To: Levi Hawkins <lhawkin@up.com>

Subject: FW: UPRR Pecos Industrial Rail Access and Train Extension (PIRATE) Preliminary Environmental Consultation

Levi: who is handling utilities, Mark Mentele?

Kevin Rice, PE

Sr. Manager M/W Environmental

Union Pacific Railroad

(402) 544-2213

From: Buckley, Maggie <Maggie.Buckley@jacobs.com>

Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 1:46 PM

To: Kevin Rice <kprice@up.com>

Cc: Adam.Assenza@stb.gov; Phoebus, Elizabeth (Betsi) <Betsi.Phoebus@jacobs.com>

Subject: FW: UPRR Pecos Industrial Rail Access and Train Extension (PIRATE) Preliminary Environmental Consultation

*** PROCEED WITH CAUTION - This email was sent from outside the Company ***

Hi Kevin,

In response to our initial agency outreach effort in December, Cox provided the email below and suggested that the attached form be used to conduct a utility conflict review. This seems like a design and construction-related issue, so I'm thinking it would be more appropriate for your designers to take the lead on this request, if they haven't already done so as part of the 25% design.

Thank you,

Maggie Buckley

O: 425.233.3117

M: 206.949.5955

From: Kann, Ryan S. (CCI-Southwest) <Ryan.Kann@cox.com>

Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2022 12:19 PM

To: Assenza, Adam <Adam.Assenza@stb.gov>

Cc: Aguirre, Jose (CCI-Southwest) <Jose.Aguirre3@cox.com>; Buckley, Maggie <Maggie.Buckley@jacobs.com>; Phoebus, Elizabeth (Betsi) <Betsi.Phoebus@jacobs.com>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: UPRR Pecos Industrial Rail Access and Train Extension (PIRATE) Preliminary Environmental Consultation

Adam,

No questions at this time, but the sooner you can provide us with the plans that you decide to go with the better. COX requires information to be sent to the ConstructionSupport@cox.com so a conflict review can be performed on your project site. The attached form must be submitted along with the PDF's on the civil plans. The submitted civil plans to be reviewed must show all utilities and potential conflicts. If COX facilities are not identified, the review will be sent back requesting this information and extending your response date. You may also visit the self-service portal to help determine if there are COX facilities within your project boundary, and you can access the site here Map Request (cox.com).

The expected response time is 30-45 days after the email is received at the national mail box. Cox not meeting your accelerated response date does not mean we do not have a conflict, proceeding without our response may cause delays to your project.

Thank you,

Ryan Kann

Construction Planner II

COX Communications

4120 E Valley Auto Dr.

Mesa, AZ, 85206
Office: 623-328-2202
Cell: 602-694-1662



From: Assenza, Adam <Adam.Assenza@stb.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2022 11:03 AM
To: Kann, Ryan S. (CCI-Southwest) <Ryan.Kann@cox.com>
Cc: Aguirre, Jose (CCI-Southwest) <Jose.Aguirre3@cox.com>; Buckley, Maggie <Maggie.Buckley@jacobs.com>; Phoebus, Elizabeth (Betsi) <Betsi.Phoebus@jacobs.com>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: UPRR Pecos Industrial Rail Access and Train Extension (PIRATE) Preliminary Environmental Consultation

Hello Ryan,

Apologies for the delayed response.

We are currently developing alternatives and have not decided which will advance through the review process at this time. The initial letter we sent provided a high level project overview and the project area being evaluated. Do you have any specific questions that we could address?

Thanks,

ADAM ASSENZA

Environmental Protection Specialist (he/him)
Office of Environmental Analysis
Surface Transportation Board
P: 202-245-0301
E: Adam.Assenza@STB.gov

From: Kann, Ryan S. (CCI-Southwest) <Ryan.Kann@cox.com>
Sent: Friday, December 17, 2021 10:45 AM
To: Assenza, Adam <Adam.Assenza@stb.gov>
Cc: Aguirre, Jose (CCI-Southwest) <Jose.Aguirre3@cox.com>
Subject: UPRR Pecos Industrial Rail Access and Train Extension (PIRATE) Preliminary Environmental Consultation

Good Morning Adam,

This made its way to me and I was wondering if there was any additional info I could get on the project. Do you have a project overview package or civil plans yet you could share?

Thank you,

*Ryan Kann
Construction Planner II
COX Communications
4120 E Valley Auto Dr.
Mesa, AZ, 85206
Office: 623-328-2202
Cell: 602-694-1662*



NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.

This email and any attachments may contain information that is confidential and/or privileged for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any use, review, disclosure, copying, distribution or reliance by others, and any forwarding of this email or its contents, without the express permission of the sender is strictly prohibited by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender immediately, delete the e-mail and destroy all copies.